
Prepared for OPEN publication in relation to the Independent Inquiry Relating to Afghanistan



MOD-198-0000328-A

X1045

MOD FORM 247
(Revised 9/97)

Service Police Exhibit Label

Case Reference No: 02003/14

Exhibit Identifying Mark: LDF/1

Property Register Serial Number:

Description of Article:

EMAIL CHAIN [A TPE] MEETING RELATING TO TYBURN (SECRET)

Details of Person Originally Identifying Article:

Number: [Service Number] Rank: SGT Name: FARRY

Unit: NORTHMOOR

Location Exhibit Found/Recovered:

NORTHMOOR, RAF ST MAWGAN, TR8 4HP

Time: 0835 hrs. Date: 5 DEC 17

Forensic Laboratory Reference No:

Other Agency Reference No:

For Court Use Only

Produced By MOD, TES TI 5 Graphics/Photography Tel 01225 468669

Signature of Persons Handling Exhibit

Name (Block Capitals)	Date	Signature
SGT FABRY	5 DEC 17	[redacted]
1141	7 Dec 17	[redacted] 1141

N2108
A
Yes,
brief this tomorrow.Â You might escape having to produce the
note...
Â
N1466
Â _____ Â

From:SFHQ(UK)J1-SO1-LEGAL-S
Sent: DG May
2011 18:02
To:SFHQ(UK)COMD-ACOS-OPS-S
Cc:
SFHQ(UK)-J35-CAMPAIGNS-SO1-S;SFHQ(UK)J35-CAMPAIGNS-SO2-A-S;
SFHQ(UK)-J35-CAMPAIGNS-SO2-B-S
Subject: FW: 201105DG-A TPE MEETING
READOUT-S

N1466
A
I forward to you the immediate down load from a TPE
TYBURN meeting - in essence it seems to have gone quite
well.
Â
You will also note that TFH had neglected to tell us
about a TPE's concerns over Obj 9 (12 Mar 11, 8 EKIA, TTP review case) which
was raised today. Again this seems to have been dealt with in a sensible
manner.Â However see a TPE's comments about the review of the
TTP.
Â
I don't know if you want me to brief this in Ops Update
tomorrow - I suspect that I will end up having to do a note on it
anyway.
Â
N2108
SO1 Legal
Â
Contact Details
A
Â
Â _____ Â

From: Op J -OC-
Sent: DG May
2011 15:17
To:SFHQ(UK)-J1-SO1-LEGAL-S; SFHQ(A)-COS-S
Cc:
SFHQ(A) SU1-OC-S; UKSF3-OPS-J9-LEGAD-S
Subject: 201105DG-a TPE's MEETING
READOUT-S

N2108, N889, N1141
A
I met
with A Third Party Entity attendees at TFH HQ. The meeting was the
latter part of the normal dialogue with a TPE and TFH.
Also
present for the restricted attendance session to deal with Obj Tyburn and 9,

were SO2 and SO3 Consequence management staff and TFH SO2 Legad andÂ RMP Sgt Waller (not SIB -liaison man only for detainees- i do not anticipate any 'chatter' from him).
Â
I briefed on the documents you have seen and did not step outside them. I did not confirm the provenance of the units involved, though they had clearly realised who it was and were polite enough to respect that. The briefing was thorough and

they appeared very satisfied with the level of detail given as it enabled them to make a reasoned assessment of the allegations made. The accounts they had bore some consistencies.
Â
I emphasised the deliberate, measured nature of the operations aginst the context of the high threat environment / the target. I explained that nothwithstanding this the threat on / within compounds was dealt with under Card A self defence).

The outcome i stressed very much depended on the compliance of those on the compounds with instructions and their choice as to reaction to the troops. They appreciated this.
Â
They seemed curious about the call out process which i explained as a incremental procedure to get inhabitants of a compund out voluntarily with minimal threat to

troops or risk to detainees.They appearedÂ interested (and perhaps positive about)Â the steps taken to elicitÂ positive compliant response from compound occpuants.Â Â Â They had heard various stories in relation to theÂ 'callÂ out' which had filtered through generally through allegations re 'night raids' in theatre generally.
Â
The greater issue which they focused on was the issue of sending a male inhabitant back into a house uncuffed so he could then potentially present a threat and then could end up being killed. I explained that reasoning for being sent in was

that it was to check there were no other occupants therein who could either be a

threat to tps or risk CIVCAS [Operational Details] and to open doors and curtains for entry/egress routes. [Operational Details] [Operational Details] A TPE seemed ok with this but had the concern that we reviewthe TTP given it allows a male under control to tehn become a threat, or if mis directed deliberately to be told to pick up a weapon, to be engaged i.e. a manufactured self defence scenario. I explained many operations where males sent in do not react in a dangerous manner and are detainedÂ - the outcomes hinges on the individual's conduct.
Â
Notwithstanding the detail provided i asked them to treat whatÂ they had been told in confidence given the risks of INS learning more than they already know about operations. They reiterated they would.
Â
They asked for copies of my brefing notesÂ and the bland images of the NAI which i politely declined (i said i would check first and made no promises which they

understood and said it was not a big deal). A TPE indicated they may have a few questions in due course which i said should come via TFH and SO2 Consequence management. I said we would assistÂ where we could and that the Uk valued and appreciated their role. I expect them to come back with something re the 'TTP', [redacted].
A
TFH consequence management will report back on any deveoplments. The meeting was well supported by [Name Given], [Name Given], and [Name Given] who are

definitely sensible hands and well aware of our equity. They apologised for not notifying us earlier about the Obj [redacted] issue being falgged for discussion and will keep an hear to the ground on all such matters and report to [redacted]-COS.

Â

My intent is to return to [redacted] tonight via [redacted] if possible depending on heli flights so i can crack on with SIB and [redacted] Tues am from 1100.

Â

[redacted]

Â

Â

Â

Â

Â

Â

Â

Regards

Â

[redacted]

Â

[redacted]

[redacted]

OC Op

[redacted]

Contact Details

[redacted]