
Prepared for OPEN publication in relation to the Independent Inquiry Relating to Afghanistan



RMP-221-0008781-A

Op Northmoor meeting 18th September 2014

1. The police understanding is that there is already an Operation Northmoor Gold Group in existence. **Is the Gold Group the same thing as the Strategic Management Group referred to by Brig. Bill WARREN PM (A) in his correspondence dated 10/09/14** or are these distinct and separate bodies?

2. Is Brig WARREN PM (A) **advocating the creation of a new body in his reference to a Strategic Management Group.** There have been references in previous correspondence to Strategic Planning Meetings attended by the same core management personnel?

3. **If they're not the same why does Northmoor require both** and what is the specific function of each?

4. If the Strategic Management Group (SMG) is something new (and not the same as Gold) **who will sit on it?**

5. If they are the same **is there a degree of confusion by both the** RMP & Civil police **around terminology** or is something more fundamental than that?

6. Point 7 in the PM (A) correspondence from 10/9/14 refers to the role of the CO SIB Regt in Operation Northmoor. We understand that Lt. Colonel Waterworth has now been confirmed in post as the CO SIB Regt. The PM (A) appears to be advocating a role for Lt Colonel Clare Waterworth in the Op Northmoor Strategic Management Group as follows:

   > *"To ensure that CO SIB Regt can effectively manage other defence tasks, personnel and investigative resource, active consultation between the strategic commander and CO SIB is to be maintained* ***including participation in the Strategic Management Group".***

   If this is the case how does this fit with the conflict of interest / recusal discussed during the video conference on 27/08/14?

8. What does the phrase *"Ensuring that MS reporting is maintained on all Op NORTHMOOR staff"* in point 7(a) mean?

9. Point 7(e) appears to be worded as a question. Is this deliberate and if so is there now a definitive answer. If the answer is yes does this also highlight the same potential for a conflict of interest to arise (the same issue discussed in point 6 above)