



MOD-198-0002038-A

SECRET

Statement of: N1466

Form MG11(T)

Page 1 of 2

WITNESS STATEMENT

Criminal Procedure Rules, r27.2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, s.9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, s.5b

Statement of: N1466

Age if under 18: OVER 18 (if over 18 insert 'over 18')

Occupation:

This statement (consisting of 4 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated in it anything which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.

Signature: N1466

Date: 29/01/2019

Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded ☐ (supply witness details on rear)

I am the above named person.

I have been afforded the nominal N1466 which has been generated by the Operation NORTHMOOR Major Incident Room and is my unique Cipher which will remain extant for the duration of the investigation.

Further to my statement dated 30 Jun 15 (30/06/2015) and 19 Sep 18 (19/09/2018), I have today been asked by WO2 WILLIAMS, RMP (SIB) whether or not the TTP review was initiated as a result of the perception or belief that EKIA by SF had risen from the previous deployment. I can state that the TTP review was not as a result of a rise in EKIA from the previous tour and as I recall we did not look at the previous tour statistics. For clarity, I have never stated to RMP/ Op NORTHMOOR that the TTP review was initiated as a result of EKIA Statistics appearing to have risen in comparison to a previous deployment.

As detailed in my previous statement, statistics detailing EKIA Vs Wpns were taken from OSW and also from weekly briefings in the form of Ops Updates Timing . I cannot recall who gave the statistics at these briefings or further details.

Signature: N1466
2020

Signature witnessed by: D WILLIAMS

SECRET

SECRET

Statement of: [REDACTED] N1466

Form MG11(T)

Page 2 of 2

I have been asked to clarify how the statistics contained within the OSW raised concerns. As stated in my previous statement this was due to disparity between EKIA versus weapons recovered. I would have expected there to be at least as many weapons as EKIA.

When discussing with N1788 the Objectives within the TTP review, I did make him aware that I believed the matter should be referred to the SIB because I had strong suspicions that some of the actions of the Callsign's on the ground might not fall within the ROE, Furthermore some of the EKIA were detainees. These were strong suspicions based on the SINCREPs which were short summaries of what had happened therefore I could not know exactly what had happened on the ground. I also mentioned my concerns to ACOS POL, who may have been a Civil Servant called [REDACTED] N1803 but I am not certain of this and it may have been [REDACTED] Name Given and the LEGAD. [REDACTED] N2108

At the conclusion of my previous statement a number of seperate issues were discussed. I have today been asked by the RMP to provide a statement in relation to these seperate issues. At this time I do not want to formally report those separate issues and have no further comment.

I would like to add that when I spoke to ACOS POL and LEGAD this was because I intended to pass on my concerns regarding the TTPs to DSF, again this was due to suspicions that something criminal may have happened during the objectives under review and not a concrete belief.

Signature: N1466
2020

Signature witnessed by: D WILLIAMS

SECRET