



MOD-198-0000328-A

X1045
MOD FORM 247
(Revised 9/97)

Service Police Exhibit Label

Case Reference No: 02003/14
Exhibit Identifying Mark: LDF/1
Property Register Serial Number:

Description of Article:

EMAIL CHAIN A TPE MEETING
RELATING TO TYBURN (SECRET)

Details of Person Originally Identifying Article:

Number: Service Number Rank: SGT Name: FABRY
Unit: NORTHMOOR

Location Exhibit Found/Recovered:

NORTHMOOR, RAF ST MARGAN, TR8 4HP

Time: 0835 hrs. Date: 5 DEC 17

Forensic Laboratory Reference No:

Other Agency Reference No:

For Court Use Only

sibnm009_00000000-textExtract--Stored E-mails.pst

N2108

A
Yes,
brief this tomorrow. A You might escape having to produce the
note...

A
N1466

A _____ A

From: SFHQ(UK) J1-S01-LEGAL-S

Sent: DG May

2011 18:02

To: SFHQ(UK) COMD-ACOS-OPS-S

Cc:

SFHQ(UK) J35-CAMPAIGNS-S01-S; SFHQ(UK) J35-CAMPAIGNS-S02-A-S;

SFHQ(UK) J35-CAMPAIGNS-S02-B-S

Subject: FW: 201105DG A TPE MEETING
READOUT-S

N1466

A
I forward to you the immediate down load from a TPE
TYBURN meeting - in essence it seems to have gone quite
well.

A
You will also note that TFH had neglected to tell us
about a TPE's concerns over Obj 9 (12 Mar 11, 8 EKIA, TTP review case) which
was raised today. Again this seems to have been dealt with in a sensible
manner. A However see a TPE's comments about the review of the
TTP.

A
I don't know if you want me to brief this in Ops Update
tomorrow - I suspect that I will end up having to do a note on it
anyway.

A

N2108

S01 Legal

A

Contact Details

A

A

A _____ A

From: Op J -OC-

Sent: DG May

2011 15:17

To: SFHQ(UK) J1-S01-LEGAL-S; SFHQ(A) -COS-S

Cc:

SFHQ(A) SU1 -OC-S; UKSF3 -OPS-J9-LEGAD-S

Subject: 201105DG a TPE's MEETING
READOUT-S

N2108

N889, N1141

A

I met
with A Third Party Entity attendees at TFH HQ. The meeting was the
latter part of the normal dialogue with a TPE and TFH.

Also

present for the restricted attendance session to deal with Obj Tyburn and 9,

sibnm009_00000000-textExtract--Stored E-mails.pst
were SO2 and SO3 Consequence management staff and TFH SO2 Legad and RMP Sgt
Waller (not SIB - liaison man only for detainees- i do not anticipate any
'chatter' from him).

^

I

briefed on the documents you have seen and did not step outside them. I did not
confirm the provenance of the units involved, though they had clearly realised
who it was and were polite enough to respect that. The briefing was thorough and

they appeared very satisfied with the level of detail given as it enabled them
to make a reasoned assessment of the allegations made. The accounts they had
bore some consistencies.

^

I

emphasised the deliberate, measured nature of the operations against the context
of the high threat environment / the target. I explained that notwithstanding
this the threat on / within compounds was dealt with under Card A self defence).

The outcome i stressed very much depended on the compliance of those on the
compounds with instructions and their choice as to reaction to the troops. They
appreciated this.

^

They

seemed curious about the call out process which i explained as a incremental
procedure to get inhabitants of a compound out voluntarily with minimal threat to

troops or risk to detainees. They appeared^ interested (and perhaps positive
about)^ the steps taken to elicit^ positive compliant response from
compound occupants.^ ^ They had heard various stories in relation
to the^ 'call^ out' which had filtered through generally through
allegations re 'night raids' in theatre generally.

^

The

greater issue which they focused on was the issue of sending a male inhabitant
back into a house uncuffed so he could then potentially present a threat and
then could end up being killed. I explained that reasoning for being sent in was

that it was to check there were no other occupants therein who could either be a

threat to tps or risk CIVCAS [redacted] Operational Details and to open doors and
curtains for entry/egress routes. [redacted] Operational Details

[redacted] Operational Details A TPE seemed
ok with this but had the concern that we review the TTP given it allows a male
under control to tehn become a threat, or if mis directed deliberately to be
told to pick up a weapon, to be engaged i.e. a manufactured self defence
scenario. I explained many operations where males sent in do not react in a
dangerous manner and are detained^ - the outcomes hinges on the individual's
conduct.

^

Notwithstanding the detail provided i asked them to
treat what^ they had been told in confidence given the risks of INS
learning more than they already know about operations. They reiterated they
would.

^

They

asked for copies of my briefing notes^ and the bland images of the NAI which
i politely declined (i said i would check first and made no promises which they

understood and said it was not a big deal). A TPE indicated they may have a few
questions in due course which i said should come via TFH and SO2 Consequence
management. I said we would assist^ where we could and that the UK valued
and appreciated their role. I expect them to come back with something re the
'TTP', [redacted]

A

TFH

consequence management will report back on any developments. The meeting was
well supported by [redacted] Name Given, [redacted] Name Given, and [redacted] Name Given who are

