

Prepared for OPEN Publication in relation to the Independent Inquiry Relating to Afghanistan



MOD-198-0000328-A



Prepared for OPEN Publication in relation to the Independent Inquiry Relating to Afghanistan

MOD FORM 247

Exhibit Identifying Mark: LD.f	=/1	***************************************
Property Register Serial Number	or:	
	-	
Description of Article:		
EMAIL CHAIN	A TPE	MEETING
RELATING TO TYRI	ren (secee-	<u>1)</u>
	***************************************	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

Number. Details of Person Origin Service Number	, , ,	Name: FARLY
Unit: NORTHMOOR	······	······································
Location Exhibit Found	/Recovered:	

Location Exhibit Found/Recovered:

NORTHMODE, LAF ST MANGAN, TRE LHP

Time: Q.8.35 hrs. Date: S DEC 13

Other Agency Reference No:

Produced By MOD. TES TI 5 Graphics/Photography Tel 01225 468669


For Court Use Only

Signature of Persons Handling Exhibit

Name (Block Capitals)	Date	Signature
SGT FABRY	8 DEC 17	Signature
1140	70ec 17	Signature //山
		-

```
sibnm009_00000000-textExtract--Stored E-mails.pst
  N2108
 Yes,
 brief this tomorrow. A You might escape having to produce the
 note...
N1466
           ___ Â
 From: SFHQ(UK) J1-S01-LEGAL-S
 Sent: DG May
 2011 \ 18:02
 To: SFHQ(UK) COMD-ACOS-OPS-S
 Cc:
SFHQ(UK) J35-CAMPAIGNS-SO1-S; SFHQ(UK) J35-CAMPAIGNS-SO2-A-S;
SFHQ(UK)-J35-CAMPAIGNS-S<u>O2-B-S</u>
 Subject: FW: 201105 DG A TPE MEETING
 READOUT-S
   N1466
 I forward to you the immediate down load from TYBURN meeting - in essence it seems to have gone quite
 well.
 You will also note that TFH had neglected to tell us about aTPE's concerns over Obj 9 (12 Mar 11, 8 EKIA, TTP review case) which was raised today. Again this seems to have been dealt with in a sensible manner. However see aTPE's comments about the review of the
 TTP.
 Â
 I don't know if you want me to brief this in Ops Update tomorrow - I suspect that I will end up having to do a note on it
 anyway.
     N2108
 SO1 Legal
        Contact Details
 Â
            _Â
 From:
            Op J
                    -0C-
 Sent: DG May
 2011 \ 1\overline{5:17}
 To: SFHQ(UK)-J1-SO1-LEGAL-S; SFHQ(A)-COS-S
  SFHQ(A) SU1 OC-S; UKSF3 OPS-J9-LEGAD-S
 Subject: 201105DG-aTPE's MEETING
 READOUT-S
  N2108
                N889, N1141
 Ã
 I met
 with
                         A Third Party Entity attendees
                                                                     at TFH HQ. The meeting was the
 latter part of the normal dialogue with aTPE and TFH.
 present for the restricted attendance session to deal with Obj Tyburn and
```

```
sibnm009_00000000-textExtract--Stored E-mails.pst
were SO2 and SO3 Consequence management staff and TFH SO2 Legad andA RMP Sqt
waller ( not SIB -liaison man only for detainees- i do not anticipate any 'chatter' from him).
Â
briefed on the documents you have seen and did not step outside them. I did not confirm the provenance of the units involved, though they had clearly realised who it was and were polite enough to respect that. The briefing was thorough and
they appeared very satisfied with the level of detail given as it enabled them
to make a reasoned assessment of the allegations made. The accounts they had
bore some consistencies.
emphasised the deliberate, measured nature of the operations aginst the context
of the high threat environment / the target. I explained that nothwithstanding
this the threat on / within compounds was dealt with under Card A self defence).
The outcome i stressed very much depended on the compliance of those on the
compounds with instructions and their choice as to reaction to the troops. They
appreciated this.
They
seemed curious about the call out process which i explained as a incremental
procedure to get inhabitants of a compund out voluntarily with minimal threat to
troops or risk to detainees. They appeared A interested (and perhaps positive
about) the steps taken to elicit positive compliant response from compound occpuants. Â  They had heard various stories in relation to the 'call out' which had filtered through generally through allegations re 'night raids' in theatre generally.
The
greater issue which they focused on was the issue of sending a male inhabitant back into a house uncuffed so he could then potentially present a threat and then could end up being killed. I explained that reasoning for being sent in was
that it was to check there were no other occupants therein who could either be a
                                                       Operational Details
threat to tps or risk CIVCAS
                                                                                   and to open doors and
curtains for entry/egress routes.
                                                                      Operational Details
                                                                                              ATPE seemed
                                      Operational Details
ok with this but had the concern that we reviewthe TTP given it allows a male under control to tehn become a threat, or if mis directed deliberately to be told to pick up a weapon, to be engaged i.e. a manufactured self defence
scenario. I explained many operations where males sent in do not react in a
dangerous manner and are detainedA - the outcomes hinges on the individual's
conduct.
Notwithstanding the detail provided i asked them to
treat whatA they had been told in confidence given the risks of INS learning more than they already know about operations. They reiterated they
would.
asked for copies of my brefing notes and the bland images of the NAI which i politely declined ( i said i would check first and made no promises which they
understood and said it was not a big deal). ATPE indicated they may have a few questions in due course which i said should come via TFH and SO2 Consequence
management. I said we would assist where we could and that the Uk valued and appreciated their role. I expect them to come back with something re the
'TTP'
TFH
consequence management will report back on any developments. The meeting was well supported by N5462, Name Given, and Name Given who are
well supported by N5462
```



Prepared for OPEN Publication in relation to the Independent Inquiry Rel	ating to Afghanistan
·	