



MOD-198-0000238-A

~~SECRET~~

ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION	ACTION /	REG DATE 28/5/19	T 523
--------------------------------	---	---------------------	-------

RECEIVERS INSTRUCTIONS

DOCUMENT TITLE: N2108 EMAIL RE CRT T/B (SIBNMO10) DATED DG 05/2011	IRN: NORTHMOOR
---	--------------------------

REGISTER TO: N2108	PRIORITY	LOW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MEDIUM <input type="checkbox"/> HIGH <input type="checkbox"/>
CLASS CODE: INT IND	TAGGING	F102/SEN/2011/T/B

DOCUMENT SUMMARY

N2108, SO1 Legal, DSF, email regarding a complaint received by a TPE in relation to Obj T/B. N2108 advises on the matter, (SIBNMO10).

SECRET

FAST / URGENT ACTION REQUIRED <small>(If YES compile ACTION precis below)</small>	YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RECEIVER	REGISTRATION INDEXER
		Signature <div style="background-color: black; width: 100px; height: 20px; margin: 5px;"></div> KNIGHT 28/5/19	Signature <div style="background-color: black; width: 100px; height: 20px; margin: 5px;"></div> KNIGHT 28/5/19

FAST ACTIONS RAISED /	URGENT ACTIONS /	MIRSAP ACTIONS RAISED /
--	---	--

DOCUMENT READING

DOCUMENT READER	DOCUMENT INDEXER
INSTRUCTIONS:	
FOOTNOTES READY <input type="checkbox"/>	CHART COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/>
ACTIONS IDENTIFIED <input type="checkbox"/>	ACTIONS RAISED <input type="checkbox"/>

QUALITY CONTROL

READING COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/>	INDEXING COMPLETE <input type="checkbox"/>
MIR OFFICE MANAGER	DISCLOSURE OFFICER
SIO	

1523

From: SFHQ(UK) -SO1-LEGAL-S [redacted]
 [redacted] Email Link
Sent: DG May 2011 11:31
To: SFHQ(A) COS-S
Cc: SFHQ(UK) J35-CAMPAIGNS-SO2-A-S SFHQ(UK) J35-CAMPAIGNS-SO2-B-S
Subject: RE: Obj TYBURN 16 Feb 11

N889

We spoke at the VTC. N910 will be arriving on DG May (late) at LG; he will tie in with N1141 and the C/S Comd and then go to LG and tie in with SO2 CM TFH. He is all over it and I have stressed to him the importance of nipping this in the bud.

I enclose a copy of the note that is going in to the D today - he has just been told about a TPE complaint and has requested further detail of the operation. The note will hopefully scratch the itch. He may raise with N1785 on Fri TELCON.

Let me know if you need anything else.

N2108
[redacted]

SO1 Legal

Contact Details
[redacted]

From: SFHQ(A) COS-S
Sent: DG May 2011 18:15
To: SFHQ(UK) J1-SO1-LEGAL-S
Cc: SFHQ(UK) J35-CAMPAIGNS-SO2-A-S SFHQ(UK) J35-CAMPAIGNS-SO2-B-S
Subject: RE: Obj TYBURN 16 Feb 11

N2108

Gather * is away. I'll assume he cannot make it and we are after alternative solutions. Can we use the TFH lawyer to assist?

Can you re-work your email below into an email I can share with the CM SO2 in TFH as a 'heads-up' on how we might confront the issue. We can discuss tactics tmr on the VTC if you have time. Gather we are going to try and run this earlier than usual - * has the detail.

Regards,
N889

*N2272

From: SFHQ (UK) J1-SO1-LEGAL-S
Sent: DG May 2011 13:11
To: SFHQ(A) COS-S; SFHQ (UK) J35-CAMPAIGNS-SO2-A-S; SFHQ (UK) J35-CAMPAIGNS-SO2-B-S
Cc: SFHQ(UK) Ops other recipient; SFHQ (UK) J35-CAMPAIGNS-SO1-S
Subject: RE: Obj TYBURN 16 Feb 11

N889

Thanks for this. It would seem that the complaint has not yet got as far as the Obj QR complaint - it is still at TFH level as opposed to ISAF level.

If we all agree that the aspiration to 'nip in the bud' at the lowest level is sound, then we will need to be prepared to release something a bit more than the usual 'it's not true' to head this off. I am concerned that if this particular complaint gets elevated to a higher level then we will lose control of it - and our political masters could knee-jerk and apply pressure for a SIB investigation.

Therefore it comes down to crafting a response that gives them an explanation that satisfies their curiosity without jeopardising TTPs/OPSEC. In terms of what we would agree to release, I would propose that we could include:

*

Confirmation that the operation took place;

*

That 4 were EKIA - all were engaged after separate and immediate threats to members of the SU

*

With regard to the central allegation, we could say that an indiv was taken under control but was later allowed to go back into the compound when he attempted to engage members of the SU and was EKIA (if we are content to go into this much detail and that this is a reasonable supposition);

*

That an initial unit investigation was conducted into the operation and N2132 and Gen Mills were briefed: both were content with the actions of the SU (do we have a read out of N2132 /MILLS telecon on 20 Feb 11?);

With regard to the property deeds - can we check any remaining SSE to double check whether we still have it? If we do is there any reason why we cannot return it through a TPE? (give a dog a bone...it appears that this may be motivated more by a desire to get the deeds rather than any concern for the victim). If we do not have it can we say where it has gone.

In terms of presentation of this information we could seek to use the tried and tested method of UKSF Lawyer and the SO2 CM TFH going to meet them and engaging with them. I do not know when he is next out there but this approach seemed to work last time [REDACTED] If we dont think that such an approach is necessary.

N889 - I am in and out but will try to get you on the blower later to discuss.

N2272/
N2444 Comments?

N2108

N2108

[REDACTED]
SO1 Legal

Contact Details

From: SFHQ(A) COS-S
Sent: DG May 2011 10:35
To: SFHQ (UK) J1-SO1-LEGAL-S; SFHQ (UK) J35-CAMPAIGNS-SO2-A-S; SFHQ (UK) J35-CAMPAIGNS-SO2-B-S
Cc: SFHQ(UK) Ops other recipient; SFHQ (UK) J35-CAMPAIGNS-SO1-S
Subject: FW: Obj TYBURN 16 Feb 11

N2108

Please have a scan down this. Similar to a number of recent queries from a TPE I don't think this will require anything more than a conversation once you are read-in - and then potentially some D&G from you N2444/N2272 to N5462 (TFH SO2 CM) on how he engages with a TPE.

Name Given should be able to provide you with further OSW for TYBURN if you need it.

Regards,

N889

N889

COS SFHQ(A)
Contact Details

From: ISAF 2 SFHQ(A) COS IS
Sent: DG May 2011 08:50
To: SFHQ(A) COS-S
Subject: FW: Obj TYBURN 16 Feb 11

Classification: NATO SECRET RELEASABLE TO ISAF

From: ISAF TFH HQ CONSEQUENCE MGR SO2 IS N5462
Sent: DG May 2011 11:50
To: ISAF 2 SFHQ(A) COS IS
Cc: ISAF TFH HQ COMD COS Name Given
Subject: Obj TYBURN 16 Feb 11

Classification: NATO SECRET RELEASABLE TO ISAF

N889

Name Given has given me the SB for this op - thanks.

As you know a TPE have approached me about this, the allegation (informal at the moment) is that one man was seen to be 'under control' - handcuffed and led into a room. He was later found KIA (inferring that he was shot out of hand). I assume that the allegation has been made by one of the Bs who was not detained and who later found the body. They also state that a document was taken that proves the ownership of the compound (equivalent of property deeds) and have requested that it be returned. They pulled an unclas account of the raid off the ISAF website that confirms that a raid took place, 'four armed men were killed' who 'each presented a separate and immediate threat to members of the joint patrol', one detainee was taken, 2 x AK47s, ammo and 2 x grenades were found.

They gave us the names of the EKIA and other info - some are the same as the Obj names mentioned in your storyboard:

EKIA:

Ahmad Shah (Obj TURTON?)
Abdul Khalek (owned Red Compound)
Sadaam (Obj TYBURN?)
Ataullah

Detainee:

Mohammed Bang (owns Green Compound)

Blue compound is owned by Mohammed Anwar

I believe that there is an opportunity to 'nip' this allegation before it becomes an official allegation and is fed into either the national or ISAF chain of commands in KABUL, attracting lots of scrutiny. In order to do so I need consensus about what I can brief to a TPE and a line to take on the compound deeds.

Regards

N5462

N5462

, SO2 Consequence Manager, HQ TFH,

Contact Details

File Link