



**MOD-221-0001215-A**

**OFFICIAL - SENSITIVE**

CC1

**Commercial in Confidence**

CC1 Logo

Civilian Contractor 1

## **Joint Force Command (JFC)**

---

**GS3**

### **RFQ028 Transition Plan**

---

<b>Organisation ID</b>	<b>GS3</b>
<b>Document ID</b>	<b>E310413-151</b>
<b>Version</b>	<b>1.0</b>
<b>Status</b>	<b>Approved</b>
<b>Date</b>	<b>22 FEB 2016</b>

**Uncontrolled Document When Printed**

CC1

**Commercial in Confidence**

**OFFICIAL - SENSITIVE**

## 7. DECOMMISSIONING / DISPOSAL OF CURRENT ASSETS

### 7.1. Responsibilities

7.1.1. The current storage system contains classified information. CC1 are only responsible for the migration of valid defined data from the existing storage to the new 3PAR Storage Arrays.

7.1.2. The Authority will be responsible for:

- Arranging for the secure de-installation and disposal of the physical elements of the current storage solution.
- Ensuring that the Site Coordinating Installation Design Authority (SCIDA) records and other associated asset management data stores are maintained accordingly.

### 7.2. Redundant Hardware Items for Potential Disposal

7.2.1. Table 10 below contains an indicative list of the hardware being replaced by the Brocade Switches and 3PAR Storage Arrays. It is not a definitive equipment list for disposal as some equipment and data will not be migrated due to legacy compatibility issues. This includes but is not limited to known servers that cannot communicate at sufficient speeds to be connected to the Brocade switches. This is known by the Authority.

7.2.2.

**Table 10: Equipment for Potential Disposal Following FOC**

Name	Model	Site
Details and locations of Equipment for Potential Disposal		

Risk No.	Risk Description: [Assumption], if not, then....	Probability	Impact	Risk Owner	Risk Action Manager (RAM)	Mitigating Actions.
14.	The Authority has not defined any performance or workload levels for the current system. There is a risk that the CC1 3PAR equipment proposed will not be able to meet these levels.	L	L	IIA14	IIA14	<p>Mitigation is to accept CC1 proposed option (at additional cost) to undertake costly and time consuming performance management test using copies of data from current live environment transitioned on to future production configuration and run a full suite of throughput and load tests to quantify the performance.</p> <p>In the unlikely event that the throughput or performance levels are found to be below acceptable levels (due to Storage performance), the CC1 3PAR equipment can be scaled up to 4-node configuration at additional cost. Furthermore, expending additional cost to increase the use of Solid State Disks (SSDs) in the current configuration is also an option. SSD usage radically increases throughput. Both of these scaling options remain available to the Authority regardless of whether performance benchmarking is undertaken.</p>
15.	It is assumed that any planned and approved outages for Transition Events will not be cancelled at short notice to accommodate operational requirements.	M	H	IIA20	IIA20	<p>The requirement for outages across the transition schedule is unavoidable. The use of Operational Readiness Review (ORR) meetings prior to transition events for each service is intended to mitigate this. However, by holding ORR meetings close to transition events, the Go/No-Go decision can be left to the last moment minimising the potential for unexpected operational need.</p> <p>Furthermore, the proposed Transition strategy is based upon a non-destructive approach to migration. This means that during outages, the service under transition can be restored quickly if needed by the Authority.</p> <p>However, both these mitigation approaches may incur extra costs to the Authority to cover work undertaken by CC1 which must be repeated at a later date. There is also an impact to the transition schedule which must also be accepted by the Authority.</p>