Tuesday, 10 November 2026

(9.58 am)

THE USHER: Good morning. This is an open hearing of the Independent Inquiry into Matters Relating to Afghanistan. Please ensure that all mobile phones and other personal electronic devices are either switched off or in silent mode.

Please may I also draw your attention to the fact that the Chair of the Inquiry, the Right Honourable Lord Justice Haddon-Cave, has made a General Restriction Order for Inquiry hearings which applies to the conduct of those who are attending and/or viewing this hearing. The relevant parts of that order are contained within the specific Restriction Order made in respect of these hearings, which is displayed on the Inquiry website and on the door of this hearing room but I will summarise its purpose.

The first part of the General Restriction Order for Inquiry Hearings prohibits any person from making an audio or video recording of Inquiry proceedings without the express permission of the Chair. Furthermore, no person may take a photograph in any room in which Inquiry proceedings are taking place and at a time the Inquiry is sitting without the express permission of the Chair.

The second part of the General Restriction Order for Inquiry Hearings which applies to this hearing arises as the live stream of these Inquiry proceedings via YouTube will purposely be subject to a 10-minute delay. Where those who have supplied evidence to the Inquiry have applied to restrict information from publication outside of the Inquiry, in some instances the Chair has made Restriction Orders, the effect of which is to protect that information.

The Chair has also made a Restriction Order prohibiting the use, publication or dissemination of certain information that is contained within the evidence and documents associated to these hearings. That Restriction Order is displayed on the door of the hearing room and copies are available on request. Where material is restricted, it is redacted and, where appropriate, a gist of the evidence is provided.

In the event that something is said during the course of this hearing which may breach a Restriction Order made by the Chair, the evidence may be halted and the hearing room may be cleared for closed legal submissions as to whether any Restriction Order has been breached and the live stream YouTube recording will be temporarily stopped for this period.

Counsel to the Inquiry or the Solicitor to the

Inquiry must be informed of any request to make an application for a Restriction Order. If an application is made for a Restriction Order in relation to anything that has been said or done in the Inquiry hearing room in the preceding ten minutes, anything that was said or done during that period is subject to a Restriction Order prohibiting its disclosure, repetition or duplication, until the Chair has finally determined the application.

For the avoidance of doubt, where material or identities in documents shown on screen or subsequently published have been redacted with or without an overwritten gist, the redacted material is restricted and it is a breach of the Restriction Order to disclose what is thought to have been redacted.

All of the above orders are subject to a penal notice. This means that any breach of any element of the Restriction Orders may be certified to the High Court or the Court of Session, who will have the power to fine or imprison anyone found to have been in breach of the order.

(10.02 am)

COLONEL JOHN HARVEY (continued)

Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY (continued)

SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Good morning, Colonel.

THE WITNESS: Morning, sir.

SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Good. Mr Glasgow, thank you.

MR GLASGOW: Thank you, sir.

Colonel, with your help, what I'd like to do is consider the potential impact that the IRT recommendations had on the progress of Northmoor and, in particular, on Team 1. I don't think we'll need to follow through every single recommendation but, if we can look, for example, at ITS1 and the efforts to obtain the dataset from that, please.

A. Yes.

Q. As a starting point, what I'd like to do is take you to file 2, please, and to file 70, and to the second page in that tab. This is one of Captain Wright's policy file reports and the one you should have there is dated 25 January 2017, so immediately after the sign-off of the IRT report.

A. Yeah.

Q. What he wrote here is:

"Following discussion with IRT, Stephen Kell and Gold, Gold directed the following ..."

So your, for want of a better word, order to Captain Wright SIO is set out below in bullet point. The first of those:

"I am to take no action in the recovery of ITS1

until directed otherwise."

If we look at the reason that is set out beneath:

"No detailed rationale behind these decisions rather than explaining that actions will be paused until the PM has had sight of the IRT recommendations, following which he will issue further direction."

So, as you told us yesterday, the recommendations are in, PM(A) needed to look at them to approve or disapprove of them but hold on everything at that moment?

A. Yeah.

Q. Captain Wright articulates a concern that he has at the bottom of this policy file note:

"I articulated the risk to the loss of evidence and the delay in progressing the investigation."

Did you agree with his observation that, in failing to pursue the recovery of ITS1, there was a risk to the loss of evidence?

A. Yes, I understand that but, also, the fact that had been in existence for the previous two years as well.

Q. When you say it had been in existence, what you mean --

A. The attempt that -- the wish to go and recover that had already been in existence, so I suppose saying it then, it was just a -- it's an obvious thing because they'd been trying to do it for the past two years. The

impetus of saying why it is then; well, actually, that had been existing for the previous number of years.

Q. What I'd like to do is to explore whether that is actually a correct reflection of the chronology or whether there might be a different interpretation to put upon it.

A. There may be. Just from memory, sir, yes.

Q. Of course. The same file you have and, as a courtesy to you, turning back to divider 66, please, sir. The reason for taking you back to divider 66 is a policy decision of Captain Wright in place either at about the time you joined as Gold or maybe a matter of a few weeks before you took up position?

A. That's prior to me, yes.

Q. So 1 August, he had recorded:

"ITS1 is the live IT network operated by SFHQ. It holds relevant historic data pertinent to Team 1 investigations. To date, I have pended its entire recovery as to close it down for recovery purposes would jeopardise current SF operations around the world ..."

Then what he identifies is in that last sentence:

"Following migration, the old system can be provided to Northmoor."

A. Yeah.

Q. "This course of action is favoured and is my intent.

Migration is due to commence in September '16 (exact date to be confirmed) but, in principle, this should take a period of weeks. Once migrated, the redundant server can be secured and preserved by RMP for data interrogation."

A. Yeah.

Q. Then he sets out he has been:

"... unable to secure and preserve the server until this time, due to its necessity for ongoing operations."

But his view is that, once the migration has taken place --

A. Yes --

Q. -- he will be able to have the old server because there will be no need for it.

A. Yes.

Q. Right. So whilst there has been, as you rightly identify, a two-year build-up to this moment, would it be fair to observe that it's almost coming to fruition now?

A. Yes.

Q. In some point in September, October, possibly November 2016, Captain Wright believes the longed for server, ITS1, will be available for him because SF won't need it anymore?

A. Yes.

Q. Right. So would it be fair to observe that, if he is right about that, there is a real opportunity here for Northmoor to gain important or potentially important evidence?

A. Yes.

Q. This was one of the very main lines of enquiry that Captain Wright had been pursuing?

A. Yes.

Q. He knew there was information on the server, because he'd had access to part of it, and he wanted access to the rest?

A. Yes.

Q. So your understanding was he wanted to go through the server to see what else there might be?

A. Correct.

Q. In case there was any misunderstanding that you fully appreciated the position, can we look at the very start of file 2 to divider 51. If, in tab 51, please, we were to look at page 17, page 17 is a file note prepared by Captain Wright for you. So divider 51, sir, page 17 in the blue at the bottom or the top.

A. Yeah.

Q. So within a matter of a few weeks after you had arrived, he, Captain Wright, has set out what he regards as the significance of ITS1 to Operation Northmoor and Team 1:

so the forensic recovery of the ITS1 server. If we look to paragraph 3:

"A main line of enquiry for Northmoor is the formal recovery of electronically stored information from a number of MoD data repositories, archives and live servers."

A. Yeah.

Q. Then if we skip down a few lines:

"The formal forensic recovery of ITS1 by RMP will facilitate investigative exploitation of this dataset and ensure that relevant electronically stored information is secured and preserved for any subsequent judicial proceedings in accordance with national guidance and best practice in such matters."

So if you hadn't already appreciated the importance that Captain Wright had placed on the recovery of ITS1, would it be fair to say it jumps off the page when you read this?

A. Yes, it's there.

Q. Okay. He continues:

"In order to preserve the provenance and integrity of the dataset and to minimise the risk of electronically stored information being altered or destroyed, ITS1 will be secured and forensically recovered as soon as practicable."

To the last paragraph, he writes:

"The ITS1 system is currently undergoing a technical replacement process."

Which we'd obviously just mentioned. Then the last three lines:

"It is anticipated that the upgrading process will be complete on or around 16 November ..."

So about five weeks after this note is written for you.

A. Yeah.

Q. "... recovery of the redundant server will obviate any operational impact to the data owner and progress the promptness and effectiveness of the investigation."

So, again, if you hadn't already appreciated what Captain Wright's intent was, it is very clear from this piece of paper that he has set out "We have a chance to get what we've waited two years to get hold of"?

A. Yeah.

Q. "The server we've not been allowed to have they don't need any more"?

A. Yeah.

Q. And "We, as an investigation, need to get hold of it, to ensure that we have any evidence on it properly preserved and available should it point one way or another"?

A. Yes.

SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Am I right in thinking, Mr Glasgow, that in the chronology, SDelete had been completed by 24 August or thereabouts --

A. That's correct.

SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: -- and so the damage had already been done?

A. Yes.

MR GLASGOW: That, of course, was not within the knowledge of the RMP at that time.

A. Correct.

Q. Is that what you were about to point out Colonel --

A. No, I was going to --

Q. Something else? Please.

A. The different -- do you want me to?

Q. Please.

A. Because the obvious thing, from an investigative point of view, I suppose that it begs the question of, if you were going to recover that server in the first instance, would you inform the subject unit that that was your intention? So that is -- the first question is perhaps that was wrong in the first instance, therefore giving them the opportunity to delete or do that delete. I alluded to it yesterday, where there would have been different ways to approach this, in my view, earlier on,

ie if they're saying you can't do that because it will affect the operational deployment, there was something similar with the IHAT where we went in and seized the JFIT computer system and we did that again, and there was the same similar type argument about it was operational, effective around the world but, irrespective, we did it anyway.

Q. That's because there is inherent power that the RMP holds --

A. Yes.

Q. -- to have access to MoD premises --

A. Yes.

Q. -- and to seize MoD equipment?

A. Yeah, again, this I think I didn't articulate very -- about the reasonable grounds to believe and reasonable -- as long as it was the higher threshold, reasonable grounds to believe, you could go and do that, yes.

Q. You were under the impression that you needed reasonable grounds to believe that the piece --

A. When I say that, there's a higher threshold to and go retrieve, ie conduct that. You can either go for a warrant or you can get sign-off by a senior officer to go and conduct that.

Q. I mean --

A. Something you would want to have in hand with that, and I think I made -- I was trying to make the point very purely yesterday, you would want something more tangible to ensure you were on a safe footing to carry out that action.

Q. I'm not going to split hairs with you now about the basis upon which you might be able to go and access the material but let's assume for a moment you are right and reasonable grounds to believe was required. You obviously had that for ITS1 because Captain Wright had seen the material from ITS1 and some of it had been given to Northmoor, so there was no doubt that ITS1 contained relevant information?

A. Okay, I think this is what I was trying to say yesterday about we would want a firsthand account to justify the action that we were going to take.

Q. Well, you asked Captain Wright; there's your firsthand witness. Captain Wright could sign a statement to say "I have been shown relevant data from ITS1 and I have been provided with copies of it, which I exhibit as JW1, 2 and 3". That's tangible evidence, if you want to call it that?

A. Again, this goes back to the point of where it was pended, based upon waiting for the IRT to conduct their review before you are going to --

Q. I'm sorry, I'm going to interrupt you for a moment. We haven't even got to the IRT. We are still dealing with October 2017 and I want to understand how it is that you believe that, based on Captain Wright's direct account of what he has seen on ITS1, that you, the RMP, did not have power to enter military premises and seize military equipment?

A. That isn't what I was saying.

Q. Well, that's then my misunderstanding. Perhaps you can explain it to me and I will allow you to do so.

A. I was talking about at the point of the decision after the IRT made their recommendations, not at this juncture here.

Q. Forgive me, I'm tying to deal with this chronologically, and it'll be my fault, but we're dealing with October 2016. The IRT haven't even been appointed at this stage.

A. Correct.

Q. Captain Wright has written to you and told you that the wait --

A. Yes, sir, I was aware of this and, yes, understood that was his main line of enquiry and that is what he want to do.

Q. Captain Wright had made it clear to you in a note that the waited force server would be available at some point

in the autumn or possibly early winter of 2016 and he wanted to seize it?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you agree that the RMP had the power to seize it?

A. At that time, yes.

Q. Right. But for reasons -- is it above your pay grade -- there had been no seizure?

A. Correct.

Q. So someone higher than you had determined that consent was the way forward, not seizure?

A. No, the consent only came about as a result of the IRT. This --

Q. With respect, I'm going to interrupt you again. It did not come about as a result of the IRT. The approach of consent had been followed for the last two years, hence the frustration of Captain Wright?

A. Okay, but -- okay.

Q. Well, let's see if I can help you with another document, then. To the tab of file 1, please, and to tab 50. So the last divider in file 1 is number 50 and, if you look to page 3, please.

Captain Wright wrote in --

A. *(Unclear)*

Q. Tab 50, page 3.

A. Yeah.

Q. Captain Wright sent an email on 7 October in which he set out the need, in bullet point 1, to secure agreement with the data owner for the RMP to recover in total the redundant ITS1 server. This is an email that was copied to you as well?

A. Yeah.

Q. "Provide assurance that the data owner, the information and the material will be recovered, treated and stored in a manner commensurate with its security classification."

And, if there were any doubt, it has been, in the "Background":

"A main line of enquiry is the formal recovery of the original electronically stored information. This is to ensure that relevant ESI is secured and preserved for evidential exploitation and production at any subsequent judicial proceedings."

He wrote again:

"This cannot be achieved in a piecemeal manner. The whole server in its original format must be recovered, to do otherwise risks loss of evidence."

Do you agree that from this email, it appears that the approach, this is by agreement?

A. Yes, sorry.

Q. Right, and this is --

A. I think --
Q. Sorry? You were going to say something, sir.
A. I think you're right and I crossed wires with you. I'm thinking you're talking about -- you're leading up to this point. I'm with you now, sir. My misunderstanding.
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: We're in 2016 at the moment, all right?
A. Yes.
MR GLASGOW: Again, if there was any misunderstanding about the potential relevance of material that might be on ITS1, presumably you were aware that, at this stage, N1466, who had been involved in the commissioning of the DDO review, provided witness statements to Operation Northmoor back in 2015, in which he'd spoken about emails which had been shared.
A. I don't remember the date I read that but, yes, I was aware, at some point on or around there, that --
Q. It's not just Captain Wright who can say there's relevant information on the server; you've got a member of Special Forces who had told the RMP, "You've got relevant information available that's electronic"?
A. Yes.
Q. Right. So Captain Wright's desire to seize the server is --

A. Is understandable, yes.
Q. Appropriate?
A. Yes.
Q. Proportionate?
A. Yeah.
Q. Sensible?
A. Yes.
Q. Essential?
A. Yeah.
Q. You slightly shrug your shoulders when you say "yes" but do you agree --
A. Sorry, because that's so obvious that -- yes.
Q. Okay. Well, again, forgive me for making what is an obvious point but, as you rightly observed, two years have gone by without progress --
A. Yes.
Q. -- because the approach has been by agreement, not by seizure.
A. Yes.
Q. You are aware there is the power to seize --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and that power could have been exercised by the RMP to take ITS1, couldn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. Right. For reasons above your pay grade, a decision has

been taken that will not be the course of action?
A. Yes.
Q. Captain Wright is waiting for that moment when that server was redundant and, therefore, available to be taken?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay, I'm going to jump around between the files a bit and I'm very sorry, it's not meant to make life particularly difficult for anyone, but can we leave file 1; can we go back, please, to file 2 and to divider 56. Behind divider 56, if you could bear with me and turn first to page 4. It may well be that you will recall these emails from your preparation for the hearings but, to remind you, these are emails that you exchanged with Captain Wright about the approach to be taken to the recovery of ITS1 in November 2016?
A. Yes.
Q. Forgive me for observing, the clock is ticking at this moment, isn't it, because the server is about to become redundant and Captain Wright wants to have the green light to go and get it?
A. Yes.
Q. Right. So he emailed you on 18 November with:
"Is this what you needed?
"Forensic Recovery."

A. Yes.
Q. Then, if there was any doubt:
"The onus is on the prosecution to show to the court that the evidence produced is no more and no less now than when it was first taken into the possession of law enforcement."
He writes in paragraph 3:
"... the original data must be preserved to prove authenticity and integrity of the data and enabling an independent third party to re-examine it and achieve the same result. The preservation process used is called forensic imaging."
Then he writes about the risks of selective imaging and just, if we turn on to page 56-5, two of the obvious risks: lost evidence --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- or limited effective search capability?
A. Yeah.
Q. In other words, he doesn't just want part of the dataset, which given is to him by Special Forces, he wants the whole thing, so that the RMP IT team can search it?
A. Yes.
Q. Your reply to him is set out at the top of our page 56, the beginning of the email is the very bottom of 56-4:

"Jason [you wrote, Captain Wright],
"WO2 P ..."
That's Jim Priddin.
A. Yes.
Q. He's the cyber lead?
A. Yes.
Q. "... P has given me some of the technical. From your perspective I need the why we need it and what we were after. This will go into a demi-official letter from PM(A) to DSF, so needless to say the content will have to be considered carefully.
"... this is the start of the formal recovery process and I am conscious that time is running out."
A. Yes.
Q. So whilst you might not remember it now, you were alive to the clock ticking in November --
A. Yes.
Q. -- '16?
A. Yes.
Q. Why was it necessary for the content of the demi-official letter to be carefully considered?
A. Because, as the unit you're investigating, you don't want to draw the lines to particular things that you would want. So you don't want to declare your hand, so to speak.

Q. Right. So a very crass example being, if you were investigating a criminal organisation, you wouldn't normally tell them what you were looking for before you embarked on the search?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. Now, turn back, please, to page 56-3 and you follow up with another email to Jason Wright with your first draft, which looks something like this.
A. Yes.
Q. Is this your first draft of a proposed demi-official letter from PM(A) to DSF?
A. For me to give the information to PM(A) to allow him to --
Q. Forgive me.
A. Correct.
Q. This is your assistance to PM(A), so that he or others on his behalf --
A. So he can make the decision to then *(unclear)*, sorry.
Q. It then sets out the scope of Op Northmoor, and then:
"A main line of enquiry ... is the formal recovery of relevant, original electronically stored information ...
"The ITS1 server contains relevant electronically stored information in its original state, which is required for evidential purposes."

A. Yes.
Q. The reason you say that is because you know for a fact ITS1 has relevant electronically stored information on it.
A. I believed so, yeah. I believed.
Q. Well, you believed on the basis of (a) what Captain Wright had told you --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- and (b) the documents Captain Wright had produced --
A. Yes.
Q. -- from ITS1?
A. Yes.
Q. So there's a degree of confidence in your belief?
A. Yeah.
Q. Would it be fair to say you were sure?
A. Yes.
Q. Right, okay. Then, at the last sign-off, you write:
"Until such time as this matter is resolved, I would ask that the data held on the ... server is not deleted or altered in any way."
A. Yes.
Q. As the Chair rightly observed, in fact, unbeknownst to you, deletion of deleted data had already taken place.
A. Yes.
Q. But is it fair to observe that you were aware of the

potential damage that loss of data might cause your investigation. So, whilst you can't really tell people what to do, it is a sort of warning shot, isn't it: don't go and delete stuff because we need to see everything?
A. Yes.
Q. Otherwise, there is always the risk is that someone might say, "Well, the really dodgy stuff was in the deleted section, wasn't it?"
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. Now, if we turn back, then, to page 56-2, which is Jason Wright's reply to you. He writes in his second paragraph, echoing what you had already set out:
"The recovery of the redundant server is necessary to prove or disprove the most serious of allegations under the remit of Op Northmoor."
A. Yeah.
Q. It may be that that is a standard phrase used by investigators --
A. It is.
Q. -- but at least he is alive to the fact that it might help or hinder?
A. Yes.
Q. He then sets out, for his penultimate paragraph, the total recovery -- sorry, "Total recovery". Do you see

that, sir?
A. Yes.
Q. "Total recovery of the dataset is essential to prove or disprove the most serious of matters under the remit of Op Northmoor. Not to do so, will result in undue criticism of the RMP in failing to provide for a prompt and effective investigation together with unnecessary focus on UK Special Forces. I'm sure HQ DSF would wish to be open and transparent, any perceived suggestion of suppressing information or evidence will not look good; if there is no 'smoking gun', let us find no evidence of it. Equally, if there is evidence that supports a referral for charge, we must demonstrate the duty of candour and due diligence."
Would you agree with the sentiments expressed by Captain Wright there?
A. I think, yes.
Q. Right. Again, obvious point to make, but he recognises there may be nothing to find, in which case it's almost a way of lifting the cloud of suspicion from Special Forces?
A. Yes.
Q. But it may be that there is a smoking gun --
A. Yes.
Q. -- in which case, the possibility of someone or some

people being referred for charge raises its head?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. But he, Captain Wright, is recognising that Northmoor -- and that's the investigation you are Gold Commander of -- will face criticism if you don't get ITS1 and don't examine it?
A. Yes.
Q. And you never got ITS1, did you?
A. No, we didn't.
Q. You never examined it yourselves?
A. No.
Q. You were able to ask Special Forces to look at it for you?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that the ideal position to find yourself in?
A. No, I mean, as I said, you know -- yes.
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: And you never got any back-up tapes either?
A. From recollection, no, we didn't, no.
MR GLASGOW: If we turn on in file 2 to tab 59, so divider 59, and to a policy file report. This is now early December. So if the clock is ticking, it's ticking quite loudly by this stage.
"Direction from Gold on the recovery of ITS1. No decision will be made on the recovery in full of the

dataset until such time as full migration has been completed."
I mean, in terms of wanting to get it, was it not easy to say, "We are going to get it, we are just waiting for full recovery"?
A. Um, again, I don't recall that verbatim but that -- I think the previous note, it just said -- where it was saying that that was being migrated, so we were waiting for, I believe, SF to confirm it had been mitigated, so there wouldn't be a move before then.
Q. It may be, when we look at the reason, that you were also cognisant of other things that SF might think:
"Gold accepted that recovery of the ITS1 system would be it deeply unpopular on the behalf of DSF ..."
So did you appreciate that DSF wouldn't like the server being seized?
A. Um, yes, yeah. It's not the seizure but the actual handing over of the server, full stop.
Q. Why would the handing over of a redundant server be deeply unpopular?
A. I don't think it's the point of it being redundant; I think it's the contents on the server, full stop.
Q. So your perception was that DSF didn't want the RMP to get the contents of ITS1, full stop?
A. Yes, yeah.

Q. Did that surprise you?
A. From my previous experience in the IHAT, not really, no.
Q. What do you mean by that?
A. Insofar as, I suppose, they're an organisation that has secret operations and, therefore, they don't want those sort of things being ...
Q. I mean, obviously there is operational sensitivity to be borne in mind.
A. Yes.
Q. But that's why the RMP, as a military police force, are investigating this --
A. Yes, yeah.
Q. -- and you have officers with the appropriate security clearance in order to be able to view material and to determine whether it is or is not relevant.
A. Yes, irrespective, I think the -- in my view, I think it was fair to say that the SF community would not want us to have that.
Q. Right. So the SF community didn't welcome the RMP oversight?
A. No, correct.
Q. That sentence concludes, whilst you believe it's going to be deeply unpopular, you accept the RMP have lawful authority to do so?
A. Yeah.

Q. So you recognise --
A. That was --
Q. -- your powers to seize it?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Then:
"The correct 'gearing' needs to be applied in order to raise awareness to VCDS as undoubtedly barriers may well be put in place ... With the backing of VCDS, this should remove these barriers if encountered."
Then:
"Direction received verbally; I'll confirm this in writing from Gold."
A. Yes.
Q. Were you of the view that, with the help of VCDS, you'd be able to overcome any obstacle that Special Forces might put in the RMP's path?
A. As I alluded to before, I think that was the same format that was used when they used it in IHAT to obtain the JFIT server.
Q. Can we look on, please, to divider 61, please. This is 12 December, so five days on from where we were a moment ago.
"Notification from Jim Priddin that it appears that Special Forces Headquarters have deleted material from the preserved ITS1 server. The deletion process has

been conducted in such a way that it is irreversible and impossible to determine what has been deleted."
A. Yeah.
Q. From an investigator's point of view, presumably this is not good news?
A. No, it's terrible news, yes.
Q. Then the course of action suggested to Gold and for you to direct on are (1) do nothing?
A. Yes.
Q. That's the last thing anyone wants to do, isn't it?
A. Correct.
Q. "(b) Pursue -- an offence of perverting the course of public justice ..."
A. Yeah.
Q. Well, that's going to be even more than deeply unpopular, isn't it?
A. Yeah.
Q. Then:
"(c) Demand an internal investigation ..."
A. Yeah.
Q. In terms of what you did, what was it?
A. Me personally, obviously on hearing of that, this was -- there was a paper, something similar to this, which I then put to the PM for his direction on.
Q. In case there was any misunderstanding about where the

authority lay as regards these courses of action Captain Wright signed off at the bottom:
"I am not empowered as the SIO to make this decision; it is Gold's direction that I am to follow."
A. Yeah.
Q. Where your lead investigator has the power to seize evidence and you agree he has it, and where he concerned that that evidence might, in part, have already been deleted, his desire then to act on his power to seize is a natural desire, is it not?
A. Yes, correct, yes.
Q. You would want your investigators to pursue those lines of enquiry with the power they have enshrined in them?
A. Yes.
Q. But it seems that --
A. But you would also want them to seek direction and confirmation that what they were doing, yes, they were --
Q. So you would want --
A. I wouldn't expect them to do that action without (a) informing me or (b) informing the chief officer of what was going to happen.
Q. Presumably when he informed you, you --
A. I then went to the Brigadier -- because of the obvious nature of this, it obviously went to the Brigadier for

his direction.
Q. Let's look on, please, to divider 64, please, sir, and to page 2. Perhaps, in order to assist you with the discussions you've just told us you wanted to have, Captain Wright wrote to you on 20 December:
"As discussed, there now appears to be a situation whereby Headquarters have deleted data in recent weeks which is in direct conflict with the assurances we were given ..."
I pause to observe, in fairness to everyone, as the Chair has already done, the information that data had been deleted in recent weeks was inaccurate: it had been deleted in August.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Then:
"Next steps for us [to take] are (but not limited to):
"(a) Take no action;
"(b) Pursue a line of enquiry that there has been a deliberate effort to pervert the course of public justice;
"(c) Demand from Headquarters a full report ..."
So an echo of what we've just seen in the policy file report?
A. Yeah.

Q. If there were any misunderstanding about the importance of the choices in front of you:

"All of the above are remedial actions that will not result in accessing the data we have lost. I do believe that we ought to hold Headquarters to task as to do nothing may only set a precedent. For me, this demonstrates the need to recover the whole system into evidence in short order."

Did you agree with that observation?

A. In respect of?

Q. Needing to recover the whole system as quickly as possible?

A. Yes, I suppose, yes.

Q. Well, if you did agree with it, and recognising, as you've said, that he had the power to seize it, did you say, as Gold Commander, "We need to get this straightaway. You've been after it for two years. We know that it's now redundant. We believe data has been deleted. I want you to go straight down there and to get it"?

A. Yeah, but in conjunction with this, there was the direction that the IRT review was to take place as well, which I know hadn't taken place and yet that was scheduled for the January because it was delayed because of the security classification. So it was in tandem

with this.

Q. That is, of course, correct, the IRT were due to come back down in a month's time to Cornwall and to conduct a review and make some recommendations. But you believe, from the information provided to you, that Special Forces have deleted data from what is regarded as an essential piece of evidence?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay?

A. Yeah.

Q. So rather than wait for the IRT to come down next month and see if they have anything to say about the data or not, isn't now the time to act?

A. Those options were put to the Brigadier for him to direct on those options.

Q. Right.

A. So, yes, in reaction to that, yes.

Q. So that we are clear, because you were aware of the potential harm being caused to the investigation by the believed deletion of data in the last few weeks --

A. Correct.

Q. -- you went to PM(A) or, if you didn't go to, you had an exchange with PM(A), in which you said to him "Brigadier, possibly the worst thing has happened with the data" --

A. Correct, yes.

Q. -- "we believe Special Forces have deleted some of the information held on the server that we've been after for two years. We've got a power to seize it. We know it's going to be unpopular but we need to go there and get it."

A. Mm.

Q. Obviously, I don't expect you to remember the words you used and you may have spoken to him in a very different way to the way I've just set it out, but is that a fair summary of what you would have said?

A. "These are the options and what do you want us to do?"

Q. So option 1, take no action, which we agreed was not a very good course of action?

A. No.

Q. Option (b) investigate them for perverting the course of justice; option (c) demand a full report identifying who's at fault?

A. An internal investigation by them, which is an option under the Armed Forces Act, yes.

Q. In December, with a month to go before the IRT come down and a concern that data is being deleted, what was the decision by the Brigadier?

A. Option (c), an internal investigation by the unit concerned.

Q. But he also did nothing regarding the seizure of the data?

A. Yes.

Q. It's also option (a), isn't it?

A. I suppose so, yes.

Q. So it's the worst option possible for Operation Northmoor and what he's suggesting is, in effect "Well, we won't worry about getting the data just yet, what we'll do is we'll ask them if they can explain to us what might have gone wrong."

A. Yes.

Q. In any way does it strike you as unusual that you would ask somebody who might be suspected of a criminal offence, to conduct their own internal investigation into whether they have or have not committed a criminal offence?

A. Yes.

Q. Does that seem unusual?

A. It is and it's a variance of the Armed Forces Act, where they can do an internal investigation first before it is then reported to the RMP. Yes.

Q. With respect, sir, that's not what I asked. Is it unusual to ask someone you suspect of --

A. Yes, it is, sorry.

Q. -- committing criminal offence to do their own

investigation for you?
A. Yes, it is unusual.
Q. I mean if this had been the Royal Green Jackets, would you have said, "Don't worry about the deleted data, just have a look for us and send us a report when you've got an idea of what has happened" or would you have gone down and seized the server?
A. Yes.
Q. So the Royal Green Jackets would have lost their server but Special Forces didn't?
A. Right.
Q. Is that because they're special?
A. I can't answer that; it's the Brigadier's choice.
Q. Well --
A. That direction that he gave.
Q. The treatment they were being given is not what you would have expected to be given to a normal regiment?
A. I think that's fair.
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Just going back to the first line, to be fair to Captain Wright, he refers to data having been deleted in recent weeks in this email of 20 December but he only learnt of the deletion on the 12th, a few days before. But, as I understand it, he would not have been aware as to when the data had been deleted and may have thought that it was in recent

weeks. But, in fact, it was months before.
MR GLASGOW: I think it was actually -- sir, you said August. I think, with respect, it might have been June, for a period of some two weeks.
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: SDelete began, I think, on 24 June. My chronology, my note, says it continued for several weeks until 24 August, but we can check that.
MR GLASGOW: Right.
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: It was certainly months before.
MR GLASGOW: Picking up on the point that I've just asked you about, could we turn on in file 1, please, to tab 71. On 2 February, our divider 71, so file 2.
A. File 2?
Q. Sorry, you were in the right file. My fault. Divider 71, page 2. Policy file -- I'll wait for you to find your place.
A. Right.
Q. Policy file report for Captain Wright for 2 February, so after the IRT had made their recommendations.
A. Yeah.
Q. It is set out here:
"Comms from SO1 Info Coherence, DSF, indicating that the internal investigation by DSF into the deletion of data from ITS1 will report imminently, following which DSF will provide a 'statement' regarding the outcomes."

A. Yeah.
Q. Then he writes, for the "Reason":
"The notion of DSF conducting their own investigation into the deletion of potential key evidence has been approved by Gold. The recovery of any product of that investigation will record what occurred according to DSF themselves, those responsible for that deletion, despite being directed not to and agreement received that they wouldn't."
Does it appear from that that Captain Wright is disappointed in the course of action that's been chosen?
A. I think you can say, yes. Obviously disappointed. Yes.
Q. He has directed his disappointment to you as the messenger of the direction?
A. Yes, correct, yes.
Q. So rather than expressing his disquiet with Brigadier Neal, which is in fact where he ought to direct it, because he received the order from you --
A. Which is understandable.
Q. In the same file, on, please, to tab 86. Now the recently appointed Silver Commander, Major Cox, writes a policy file report on 28 February, as regards the Team 1 SIO, and he writes:
"SIO Team 1 [which is, of course, Captain Wright] is to engage with SO1 coherence in Headquarters DSF ... and

recover the datasets in ITS1 by consent."
A. Yeah.
Q. So the "by consent" approach hasn't proved effective for more than two years?
A. Correct.
Q. It is believed that data has been deleted from a --
A. Right.
Q. -- potentially important repository of electronically stored information?
A. Yes.
Q. Yet the approach is still "ask nicely"?
A. Yes, and I believe is that not post the --
Q. It is.
A. -- recommendations, yes.
Q. It is post the recommendation. What I'd like to consider is this: given that asking nicely hadn't worked for over two years and given it was believed data had been deleted from that important repository of electronically stored information, were you surprised that Brigadier Neal said, "Well, I tell you what, let's keep going with asking nicely"?
A. Yes, and -- well, yes and no. In respect because I recall there was debate in regards to, okay, if the recommendations are based upon -- this comes back, again, to what evidence, tangible evidence, they

believed was available, and to base his decisions upon that. So the question regarding the whole of the FDHC, the data, so if they believe you don't have enough evidence to designate a person a suspect, do you have enough evidence to action the retrieval, search, seizure? So I know that was the conversation, the discussion between them. So, yes.

Q. Again, I'm not going to --

A. *(Unclear)*

Q. -- dip into the suspect designation status again but let's just focus on ITS1 for a moment: were they explained by Captain Wright that not only had been shown relevant information on ITS1, he actually had copies of that relevant information which had been supplied?

A. I believe so.

Q. Right.

A. I believe so.

Q. Were they also told by Captain Wright that, in addition, there were signed witness statements from members of Special Forces setting out the content of electronic messages that they had shared --

A. Of the review.

Q. The review, and emails and the like?

A. I believe so because I see --

Q. Right, so --

A. -- at one point that I'd read these, yes.

Q. So if there really is a requirement for tangible evidence, which supports reasonable grounds for believing, as you have put it --

A. Yeah, I --

Q. -- that you can seize the dataset --

A. I --

Q. -- you had all the evidence you needed at that point, didn't you?

A. I think they were of the opinion that they needed a firsthand account of -- to justify that action. That was their opinion.

Q. How about the firsthand account of N1466, who was ACOS(OPS) when he told you in a signed witness statement that he'd shared emails with Headquarters DSF, in which he'd raised the question of whether DDOs needed to be investigated?

A. Yes.

Q. How about signed witness statement from N1466 ACOS(OPS) of Headquarters DSF --

A. I think the firsthand --

Q. Allow me to finish, please, sir.

A. Sorry.

Q. How about the signed witness statement from N1466 ACOS(OPS) from Headquarters DSF, in which he told you

that there had been a DDO conducted about which emails had been shared and there was an electronic product of that? Did that not -- you consider that as direct firsthand evidence of material that might be on ITS1?

A. The firsthand account I'm referring to, what I mean is actual firsthand account of somebody witnessing the unlawful act of killing somebody unlawfully and, linked to that, about the dropped weapons, as well, in respect of a firsthand account of that was the case.

Q. Are you actually suggesting that, in the absence of a signed witness statement to the effect that there was a witness to either dropped weapons or an unlawful killing, that there would not be power to permit you to seize ITS1?

A. No. What I --

Q. Okay.

A. What I'm saying is that the IRT's recommendations were based upon the fact that there was no firsthand account.

Q. It may be that we are at cross purposes but --

A. I think possibly --

Q. That is my fault and not yours. In order to seize ITS1, you have said what the IRT wanted was a firsthand account --

A. Yes.

Q. -- tangible evidence --

A. Yes.

Q. -- which would support, as you've put it, reasonable grounds to believe?

A. Correct, yes.

Q. Okay? You had firsthand accounts from people in Special Forces that made you sure, you told me a moment ago, that there was relevant evidence on ITS1?

A. Yeah.

Q. So when the IRT team said to you, "Well, you need to have an eyewitness, either to a dropped weapon or to a murder", presumably you said, "Guys, no, no, no, we're at an investigation stage. We know for a fact that on that data repository there is relevant information relating to the allegations of murder that we want access to"?

A. And this was what I was saying about the lively discussions in respect of their opinion differed to that of the likes of the NCA and the MERT and --

Q. Well, different to your opinion. You didn't agree with them either?

A. I understood what they were saying in regards to they wanted a firsthand account because they believed everything in respect of the unlawful killings and the dropped weapons allegations was based upon hearsay.

Q. Well, that doesn't mean it isn't evidence, does it?

A. No, it doesn't. Correct.
Q. I mean, you're investigating and in charge of an investigation into incredibly serious allegations?
A. Yeah, yeah.
Q. You believe -- you said you were sure -- that this server held relevant information that might prove or disprove the allegations --
A. Correct, yeah.
Q. -- and you know you've got the power to seize it?
A. Yeah.
Q. When the IRT team appear to say to you "Well, without a witness statement from an eyewitness you can't do anything", presumably you must have said, in that lively debate, "You're wrong; you don't know what you're talking about"?
A. Not in the terms I didn't, no.
Q. But you did think they were wrong, didn't you?
A. I saw it from both points of view.
Q. You believed the RMP had the power to seize the server?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. You were sure there was evidence upon it that would assist your investigation, one way or the other?
A. I believed there would be, yes.
Q. And, under your command, your investigators did nothing to seize it?

A. They weren't allowed to because, at that juncture, that was where there was an embargo on any action until the IRT had done their review. So I think to say they did nothing is slightly unfair, I think.
Q. Well, let's see. File 2, divider 97, please. To help you with the chronology, we've jumped ahead five months to May 2017, so there's been an appreciable amount of time for the IRT recommendations to settle. You'll have a handwritten note from Jason Wright in respect of data recovery. Do you see the decision that's set out? It's hard to read. Can I read to you the "Reason":

"I am unable to conduct the forensically sound, total and thorough searches of the dataset as Gold has directed that I am not to recover the whole dataset. The only available option is to partially conduct searches. The results will not be reliable but it is the only option open to me and is not evidentially sound."

Does that strike you as a rather alarming note for your Senior Investigating Officer to write about the action that he is limited in taking, as regards this very important line of enquiry?
A. Sir, I'm -- the chronology there -- yes. Sorry, yes, it is alarming what you're saying, yes. Yeah.
Q. We're now nearly three years on from the trying to get

hold of ITS1, and your lead investigator is setting out that his hands are tied.
A. Yeah, okay.
Q. Presumably you went back to PM(A) and said, "Brigadier, we've really got to sort ITS1 out. I am sure there is evidence on it. My SIO is convinced it's a line of enquiry we've got to pursue. We've got the power to seize it, the IRT don't know what they're talking about, can I go and get it"?
A. No, I didn't have that conversation. The direction from the Brigadier was explicit in regards to the IRT recommendations: we were to follow those recommendations.
Q. The result of following the IRT's recommendations was that Northmoor never got the evidence, did they?
A. No.
Q. No. Thinking back now, if you did it again, would you have followed that particular recommendation from the IRT or would you have tried to persuade Brigadier Neal it was the wrong thing to do?
A. I mean, thinking back now, I would have --
Q. Marched down the road and seized it?
A. At the very onset of the investigation, that's what should have happened.
Q. Well, whether it happened at the onset or following your

arrival --
A. No, it -- you know --
Q. Or after you've --
A. -- it shouldn't have happened three years later. It should have happened at the onset of investigation.
Q. So in terms of Northmoor being thorough and independent and having pursued all reasonable and proportionate lines of enquiry, as regards ITS1, it doesn't tick either of those three boxes, does it?
A. It's very difficult to say that, based upon -- yes. Yeah.
Q. When you say yes, are you agreeing that --
A. I'm agreeing it would be very difficult to say that but, within the parameters that we were operating in, it was thorough, insofar as the constraints we were operating in and it was -- was it independent? Yes, it was, because that was our external influence -- and I'm not talking about our expert advisers but external influence, was not considered by the Northmoor team.
Q. Do you agree that there was external influence?
A. Looking at the letters yesterday, it could be interpreted that way, yes.
Q. I mean, might the briefings being given by PM(A) to DSF, might that suggest --
A. That's what I was alluding to, yes.

Q. And the fact that you are cognisant that DSF will be deeply troubled, if you seize something you've got a lawful power to seize: does that amount to external influence? Does it?
A. Yes.
Q. Right. Thank you. Now, your ability to search ITS1, if it had been given to you, was in part going to be dependent on the FDHC, which was a very expensive product put in place to equip Northmoor with the facility to interrogate large quantities of digital data?
A. Yes.
Q. Can we look in the file that you've got open, to divider 69, please. The reason for turning to this is that it sets out, in a short chronology from Major Hacker, the timeline for the FDHC. It writes as background in paragraph 4:

"The FDHC is a £7.5 million ... project which has been authorised at Cabinet Office level."

So very expensive. It required a very senior level of approval and is that because it was very important to Northmoor?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I'm not going to go through the entirety of the chronology, I will look at any passage that someone

wants me to, if I skip over something that's unfair. But the decision to increase funding for development of the scope of the FDHC was in November 2014. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. So two years before you come on board, the decision is taken to increase available funding in order to purchase this incredibly expensive capability?
A. Yes.
Q. It was a bespoke capability for Northmoor --
A. Yes.
Q. -- that might be capable of being used by someone else in the future but no one really knew at that stage, did they?
A. Correct, yes. Correct.
Q. The potential importance of the electronic data was such that, right up at cabinet level, people saw the need for spending a large sum of money to give Northmoor the necessary skills to do its job?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. If we just turn on, please, to page 4, entry 19 on 30 June, which is about 20 months or so after the funds have been allocated. 30 June 2016:

"[Delivery] to site to prevent storage costs."

In other words, actually the relevant necessary

equipment was available for you to use?
A. Yes.
Q. It was in boxes, wasn't it --
A. Yes.
Q. -- in RAF St Mawgan?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. I don't mean to apply a very simple term to it but it needed to be taken out of the box --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and to connect it to the network?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. To be plugged in and to be built?
A. Yes.
Q. That would need the requisite level of IT skill. It wasn't just the work of an ordinary investigator --
A. No.
Q. -- who put a cable in and pressed the "on" switch?
A. No.
Q. But it was there and ready when the right person could turn up to build it?
A. Yes and no.
Q. What's the "no"?
A. The "no" is apparently the infrastructure within St Mawgan would not allow this to be built and operated with that infrastructure because of (a) the power demand

and (b) the heat that it would produce, it was an inherent fire risk and, therefore -- I'm trying to remember. There was a -- it was either an infrastructure meeting or somebody along the lines of the SCIDA meeting, I don't recall which, but it was identified by them that, actually, it was a fundamentally flawed concept. You were never going to be allowed to build the FDHC because the infrastructure would not support the building and use of it.
Q. We remind ourselves that this is the location that Special Forces had deemed as appropriate for Northmoor to be housed, in order that the necessary material could be stored there appropriately?
A. I think that's because of the security clarification for the area but not the infrastructure itself, yes.
Q. When you realised that you've made a bit of a mistake and you've got yourself in the wrong place, at that point presumably you went up to --
A. Yes.
Q. -- PM(A) and said, "We need to be somewhere else. My team don't like it here".
A. Yes, it was raised, I believe -- again, memory may not be correct on this, but Colonel Morris took this as an action to look at alternative venues of whether it was feasible, if we could be provided with something, so the

FDHC could be built, but that didn't work.
Q. Can you keep file 2 where you are for a moment and would you mind picking up file 1 and to look behind tab 7. The reason for taking you, sir, to tab 7 is this is your policy file note in respect of the FDHC?
A. Yeah.
Q. This is September 2017, not long after you have taken up your post as Gold Commander. If we just look at the summary because that may explain everything that goes before:
"The cyber effort is to focus on providing a system that will enable investigators to search for relevant evidential material from the Operational Server."
A. Yes.
Q. So you had in mind ITS1?
A. Yes, well I think this -- this was -- sorry, have I got the chronology right? This was because of FDHC couldn't -- so this was the option I was looking to have in place instead of it.
Q. Right. So --
A. Is that correct? Looking at the dates, September --
Q. I'm rather in your hands, if you don't mind me saying, sir. Can we agree that, certainly as at September 2017, you intended there to be either the FDHC in St Mawgan or its --

A. Yes, FDHC-light.
Q. -- equivalent somewhere else?
A. Yes.
Q. Sorry, FDHC?
A. Light.
Q. Light? Is that only £3.5 million?
A. Yes.
Q. Right, okay. FDHC-light. Would that still give you the capability --
A. Yes, yeah.
Q. -- to interrogate electronically stored information provided on servers from Special Forces?
A. Yes, it should.
Q. So the expectation was that, at some point, asking nicely would get you what you wanted --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- and you'd need a facility to search it?
A. Correct.
Q. Presumably, you kept needing that facility because you kept hoping you'd get what you were asking for --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and there was always the possibility, at some point --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- that Special Forces would say, "Here's the redundant

server. We haven't been using it since the end of 2016".
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. What happened to the FDHC? Was there even an FDHC-light?
A. I believe so. Yes, there was.
Q. Was that the interim?
A. Is that what was moved from Collingwood into St Mawgan itself and that's what was used to examine what was available, yes.
Q. Well, what wasn't available was ITS1, was it?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. So the very expensive facility that had been purchased with a view to being able to look at something like ITS1 --
A. Yes, yeah.
Q. -- never got off the ground --
A. No, correct.
Q. -- and you never got the data to look at it?
A. Correct.
Q. I mean, did it feel like you were almost banging your head against a brick wall here?
A. Yes. I suppose the analogy, cart before the horse, perhaps the FDHC should have been -- the provision of it should have been looked at once you'd actually got plain

sight, once you'd actually got ITS1. Then you would understand what you would need to examine that dataset and the size of it and the entirety but, obviously, this was already in place.
Q. Would it be fair to observe that it had always been anticipated that electronically stored information would be part of your enquiry?
A. Yes.
Q. Because even the experience of IHAT would have told you --
A. Precisely, and they had try to actually -- they were going to utilise the IHAT forensic system, something similar to the FDHC, but that -- I think that ended up not being an option and hence why they looked at the FDHC for Northmoor on its own. Yes.
Q. Right. So even at its outset, it was always anticipated there would be a large amount of data to look at --
A. Yes, there would be a requirement --
Q. -- and you would need a facility to look at it --
A. A facility, yes.
Q. -- and whilst it was bought, it was never turned on?
A. Correct.
Q. So even if you'd been given ITS1, you'd have never been able to look at it?
A. Correct.

Q. Because what you needed was in the boxes in the back room?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you still have file 1 open? It's the one we were looking at just a moment ago.
A. Yes.
Q. Can I invite you, just before we have our mid-morning break, to turn to divider 11, which is what is described as the assurance of the IRT recommendations, and this is, in effect, Philip Jones and Clifford Evans coming down to mark your homework -- that's Operation Northmoor's homework -- and to see the progress that has been made. So file 1, tab 11. It starts on page 3, simply to observe they came down on Monday, 13 November.
A. Okay, yeah.
Q. They commenced the assurance and to see what the compliance was with the recommendations in respect of Team 2 and the recommendations in respect of Team 1.
A. Yes.
Q. As to Team 2, if we just look at the responses in 2.2 and 2.4, the principles of the Leggatt judgment have been "applied vigorously and to good effect".
A. Yeah.
Q. In other words, lots of cases have been discontinued?
A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Was that in part in keeping with the 90 per cent deadline that had been set by 1 June by PM(A)?
A. I don't know what the actual date was where they achieved 90 per cent but, yes, you could --
Q. His target for Team 2 was 90 per cent discontinued by 1 June?
A. Yeah.
Q. It would seem that, certainly by November, it would appear that there's been a vigorous application to good effect --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- of the principles of discontinuing allegations?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay.
Can we look, please, to page 5. So it's 11-5 and "Response" 3.10. This deals with the scoping of ten similar events and, in case you're not able to follow it by jumping ahead, the ten similar events identified by N1466, ACOS(OPS) from Headquarters DSF, those are, in addition to Tyburn, the Bang and Saifullah allegation, they are the other of the 11 DDOs that formed the subject matter of the TTP review in 2011.
A. Yes.
Q. In terms of scoping, rather than investigating, what did you understand to be the difference?

A. I think -- recollection was that, when they say "scoping", they were to look at what would bear low-hanging fruit more easily than the others. So if there was something obvious then -- and they did actually suggest and I think there was a prior -- I can't even say it -- prior --
Q. Prioritisation?
A. -- prioritisation of several of the DDOs because they seemed more obvious to -- you were more likely to get tangible or non-tangible evidence to support or otherwise.
Q. Is scoping investigating-light?
A. Yes, basically.
Q. So if we just look at 3.10, in keeping with what you just told us:
"The SIO has indicated that he would prefer to prioritise efforts towards the most interesting of these images ..."
That's the images from the DDOs.
A. Yes.
Q. "... those contained within operations 2 and 1."
A. Yes.
Q. "The IRT and the SIO have had lengthy discussions with regards to the significance and ongoing management of these images. Whilst the current scoping of Operations

2 and 1 is ongoing and incomplete, the IRT take the view that unless there is additional material, intelligence or evidence obtained, then there would be little value in exposing what has been achieved to date."
What did you understand that to mean, please, sir?
A. I'm not sure what it meant by exposing.
Q. If we turn over the page to page 6 and to action 4:
"... it is the view of the IRT that the scoping [should] be completed prior to seeking expert opinion ..."
A. Sorry, that must be what --
Q. Right so --
A. Okay.
Q. -- your understanding of the IRT recommendations was: you've got the photographs but, before you show them to an expert -- and you agreed yesterday the photographs didn't look right?
A. Yeah. No, they didn't.
Q. But, before you show them to an expert, there needs to be a bit more scoping?
A. To try and prove the provenance, which I think goes back to that discussion both Major Cox and Mr Williams, yes.
Q. To try to prove the provenance --
A. The provenance of the images, yes.
Q. So these are photographs that Special Forces --

A. Yeah.
Q. -- have attached to summary reports in respect of DDOs.
A. Yes.
Q. So they, Special Forces themselves, have added these photographs --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- to operational summaries?
A. Yes.
Q. Those documents have been obtained by Northmoor --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and they appear to show --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- the bodies of deceased Afghan males?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. And the numbers of EKIA, enemy killed in action, matches the operational summaries?
A. Yes.
Q. Well, we may look at that but --
A. From --
Q. -- from memory?
A. Yeah, okay.
Q. So were you of the view that the reason Special Forces had attached those photographs to those operational summaries was because the photographs related to the operations that were being summarised?

A. Yes, yes.
Q. Right. So I mean it's rather obvious but you don't go stick a photograph on a report unless it relates to the --
A. Yes.
Q. -- subject matter of the report?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay, so you were sure that the photographs you were looking at related to that particular DDO?
A. Yes.
Q. Because they'd been linked together?
A. Yes.
Q. In terms of the scoping that needed to take place, what was the provenance that investigating-light might produce, in respect of the photographs?
A. I think it was (a) to establish the person who had taken the photographs themselves and, if that was identified to -- to establish whether bodies had been moved or, you know -- a number of questions that would automatically flow from a still photograph in respect of evidential value, well, okay, was that immediately afterwards? Had the bodies been moved? Was -- you know, a number of questions that would throw up, but from the --
Q. I mean, that would require speaking to members of Special Forces to get that account?

A. Correct.
Q. Turn back to the bottom of page 11-5 please, sir, and if we look at the scoping that's being recommended by the IRT, the scoping:
"... continues with a prioritisation towards Operations 2 and 1 with a view to:
"Recovery of SINCREPs and OPSUMs ..."
A. Yeah.
Q. "Recovery of relevant SIRs.
"Any other relevant material that is able to contextualise each event."
A. Yes, correct.
Q. It doesn't appear that they're recommending that scoping includes speaking to witnesses, does it?
A. Not listed there, but that would automatically be -- that would automatically follow.
Q. So did you speak to people?
A. I did, yes.
Q. Just as an aside, if you have page 11-6 available to you, the suggestion of taking duty statements, which appears to be what's being suggested, rather than witness statements --
A. Yes.
Q. -- did you yourself think that duty statements were valueless?

A. From my experience, in the past, where duty statements had been -- had been used previously in investigations, it became clear that some units would actually instruct the person making the duty statement what to say and do. Therefore, it was not considered to be a good idea.
Q. Was the belief of the Northmoor investigating team that duty statements, as far as Special Forces were concerned, would be of no evidential value?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. So presumably someone said to the IRT --
A. Yeah, and --
Q. -- "This idea is a ridiculous one"?
A. Yes.
Q. "It doesn't work"?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that the only thing you disagreed with the IRT team about?
A. I think, from memory, that was Major Cox quite rightly pointing out that he wanted to take section 9 statements, as opposed to duty statements.
Q. Were any other recommendations disagreed with?
A. I can't recall from, you know ...
Q. Is that because there weren't any other disagreements?
A. Sorry?
Q. Is that because there weren't any other disagreements?

A. No, no.
Q. No, there weren't or no, you don't know?
A. No, I don't recall.
Q. On, if you will, please, to page 7 and this is the last matter to deal with, before we have the break because I'm conscious it's been now over an hour and a quarter.
"Ancillary Matters", if you wouldn't mind, paragraph 6:
"... the IRT do not consider that there will be a need to use the enterprise (large) scale FDHC capability which is located within the Operation Northmoor Investigation suite."
I mean, if you were going to get ITS1, you were going to need it, weren't you?
A. Yes.
Q. Right. So they're wrong about that?
A. I mean, sorry, date-wise --
Q. This was November 2017?
A. I think it was -- was that already established that the FDHC couldn't be built because that's --
Q. That doesn't appear to be the case because what they're suggesting is you don't need it, not that it can't be built. They're saying, "Don't worry about it".
A. Sorry, it was just an observation that date-wise I'm not --

Q. Then the "Conclusion", please, sir.
A. Yes.
Q. "The IRT have been impressed with the organisation and approach adopted since our last review ..."
A. Yeah.
Q. Is that because Brigadier Neal agreed with everything they said and directed the investigation to act accordingly?
A. I suppose, yes.
Q. Yes, I mean, they're likely to be impressed if you've done what they've suggested?
A. Because we've acted on what they -- correct, yes.
Q. Okay.
"Morale on the enquiry is high."
Was it?
A. It improved over time, I believe, yes.
Q. I mean, Captain Wright couldn't have been very pleased with life at the moment?
A. No, no.
Q. Okay. "This atmosphere has" -- and, in fairness, Captain Wright had gone by this point because he'd left in July. He'd now been replaced by Major Cox, who was both Silver and SIO for Team 1?
A. Yes.
Q. Major Cox didn't agree with the proposal in respect of

photographs. He wanted them shown to experts, didn't he?
A. Yes, I believe he did, yeah.
Q. So his morale couldn't have been that high?
A. He never voiced that, sir.
Q. "This atmosphere has produced valuable outcomes which have materially moved the enquiry forward in a balanced manner."
How far forward had you got since January 2017?
A. I think --
Q. Had you got ITS1?
A. No.
Q. Had you got a signed witness statement from anyone?
A. I think -- sorry?
Q. Had you a signed witness statement from anyone about dropped weapons --
A. No.
Q. -- or about unlawful killings?
A. I'm not sure of the dates about the analysis done on the dropped weapons but there was an analysis carried out.
Q. That was in respect of matters that fell outside the terms of reference initially, wasn't it?
A. Yes --
Q. Then Delme Williams was asked to look at some photographs; do you remember that?

A. In -- not particularly but, yeah.
Q. Okay:
"Refreshingly each of those persons interviewed by the IRT have been shown to be open and realistic about the investigation and its outcomes."
A. Yeah.
Q. What was the new-found sense of realism that they had found with Op Northmoor?
A. I'm not sure what they're referring to. I'm assuming, in respect of --
Q. Realism about the outcome; was that that it wasn't going anywhere?
A. I don't know.
Q. I mean, was there a sense, when the IRT came down to do their assurance of the recommendations that had been put in place, that they'd said last time around "You hadn't got any tangible evidence"?
A. I think there was a sense of frustration in regards to not having an individual witness or firsthand account of somebody saying they'd seen an unlawful killing. Somebody -- yeah.
Q. In the absence of that firsthand witness, what's the other firsthand evidence you might be able to examine with a critical eye?
A. Well, it would be on ITS1, wouldn't it?

Q. Or the photographs?
A. Yeah. Well, again, the photographs -- a still photograph without the provenance behind it is problematic, insofar as if you're shown that photograph and you can't establish whether the body had been moved or -- you know, the weight of the evidence on it, especially if that person was a legitimate target. So again, yeah, there's varying degrees but I get your point, yes.
MR GLASGOW: Would that be a convenient moment, sir, for the mid-morning break?
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Thank you, Mr Glasgow. We'll break now, please, for 20 minutes. All right? Thank you.
(11.23 am)
(A short break)
(11.45 am)
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Thank you, Mr Glasgow.
MR GLASGOW: May it please you, sir.
Colonel, could I invite you to take up number 2, please, sir. I think it's the one on your left. Yes, that's the one. Turn, please, to tab 104.
The reason for taking you to this particular policy file note is it's signed off by Major Cox, now the SIO for Team 1, and it relates to the forensic strategy that

he wants updated:
"Forensic strategy required updates to include photos. Silver will arrange meeting with DSSM. Operation Tyburn Objectives 3, 1, 2 and 9, then all others."
So it would appear that Major Cox's desire is that five of the 11 --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- DDOs from the TTP review are going to have their photographs examined?
A. Yeah.
Q. "DSSM": I'm going to put you on the spot because I don't know who or what --
A. That would be the scientific -- basically a scientific manager, forensic manager.
Q. Defence Scientific Scene Manager -- Support Manager?
A. Something like that, yeah. Sorry.
Q. No, no. Thank you for trying. You've done better than I've done.
So "Reason":
"Although previously discounted, Silver considers that all SSE [sensitive site exploitation photographs] must be reviewed and forensic strategy created."
A. Yes.
Q. "They form the scene footage and could provide key lines

of enquiry to prove or disprove the allegations."
A. Yeah.
Q. So it would appear that the SIO for Team 1 wants the photographs to be examined?
A. Yeah.
Q. You understood his rationale for them being examined?
A. Yeah.
Q. You thought they needed to be examined --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- because, to use your phrase, "They didn't look right"?
A. Yes.
Q. And the IRT team it seems are less enthusiastic about them being examined at this moment?
A. Yes, that would be correct, yes.
Q. That's because there is, to their mind, a provenance issue?
A. Yes.
Q. So they'd like a signed statement from whoever took the photographs?
A. I think it -- I'm not -- my recollection was not the demand of a signed statement but they wanted at least to try to identify who had took the picture and to establish whether or not there'd been anything changed since the point of the shooting incident, ie had the

bodies been moved or an explanation in respect of that image. That was my understanding.
Q. I mean, in terms of who had taken the photographs, that might not take things very far at all?
A. No.
Q. "I, John Smith, took photographs, JS1 through to 9"?
A. Yes.
Q. That's not going to advance the situation, is it?
A. No, not potentially, no.
Q. So if it actually required a critical examination of what might have happened to the bodies, that would mean speaking possibly to every single person who had been on that DDO and who had gone into the compound?
A. Right, that was part of the strategy anyway, in respect of -- sorry, I don't know -- can I digress for one second?
Q. Of course you may, sir.
A. I think we refer to it as the Mexican hat, so if you imagine a bullseye on the ground with the circles as you head into the centre of that circle. So to get a member of the Special Forces from point A to point B, ie where the shooting incident took place, there are a number of enabling staff.
Obviously, I won't go into the detail because I wouldn't want to go -- but I think it was worked out

there was approximately [number given] staff involved in each of those DDOs to get to that point. So the -- Major Cox was tasked with interviewing every person of that [number given] for each DDO in respect of that, to try to get a clear picture of that DDO and that would include speaking to whoever was nominated to take the pictures in respect of that particular incident.

Q. So your understanding of the IRT recommendations or advice was that, until such time as you'd spoken to all or as many as you could of those supporting individuals --

A. I think it was -- I think their advice was in respect of identifying the person who was responsible for capturing the images and explaining the images, yes.

Q. Well, again, coming back to the question where I started --

A. Sorry.

Q. -- there was little point in speaking to the person who just took the photographs, because that person might not be able to help at all with whether anyone had or had not been moved. If it's just "I took the photographs", that might deal with provenance, which is a word you've used on a number of occasions?

A. Yeah.

Q. -- the who, the where, the when.

A. Yeah.

Q. But you didn't need the where or the when, did you, because you that the relevant data from the JPEGs which you had the metadata which established date, time and location --

A. Again, part of the provenance request was it doesn't mean that the metadata was correct on the cameras.

Q. So --

A. But --

Q. No, no. Let's just follow that through for a moment. Your understanding of the ex-Merseyside police officers' advice was you couldn't rely on the metadata itself. Until you had a signed statement from someone saying, "I took the following photographs which I exhibit and I took them at this location, on this date, at this time", the provenance could not be proven?

A. I'm not saying that was the insistence. What they're saying that was the -- that would be the best evidence, if they could prove that. That's what they were saying.

Q. Well, I mean, the best evidence might be, in fact, that the metadata behind the photographs is reliable?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay.

A. Yes, if it was reliable, yes.

Q. Well, assuming the computer has got it right --

A. Yes.

Q. -- and there is a presumption in the favour of that, isn't there?

A. Yes.

Q. Yes?

A. Yeah.

Q. Right, okay. So let's just assume, as the law permits, that the computer is right.

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. You also know that the photographs have been linked to a particular DDO by Special Forces?

A. Yeah.

Q. So the where and the when is pretty much nailed down, isn't it?

A. Yes.

Q. Well, you shrug your shoulders but, let's be clear, you've got the metadata which gives you date, time and location, and you've got those --

A. If that metadata is correct, yes.

Q. Well, let's just think for a moment. The metadata says photograph taken in Helmand on 1 January at 00:30?

A. Yes.

Q. The DDO records in the OPSUM suggest that the DDO took place in Helmand on 1 January at 00:30.

A. Yes.

Q. Presumably, as an investigator, you would say to yourself, "Looks like the metadata is right. The computer isn't wrong this time around"?

A. Yes, but you would want to evidence that, as well.

Q. Why? You just want these photographs looked at by an expert.

A. Okay.

Q. How often in the past have you said to yourself, well, there's no way I'm going to an expert until I've got signed statements about all of this?

A. Mm.

Q. Well, is your approach -- leave aside the IRT for a moment -- is your approach that, I, as an investigator, do not approach an expert --

A. No, it's not but you would want to provide the best evidence you possibly could --

Q. I'm going to try the question again, sir. Forgive me. Is your approach. That you will not go to an expert until you have such time as you have signed witness statements to support the provenance of the material you want the expert to look at; or, as an investigator, looking at things, do you provide them to an expert and say "Can you help?"

A. The latter.

Q. Right. But in this case, the --

A. In this case -- yes, correct.
Q. In this case, because the IRT team said to you "You can't do anything without a signed statement from the bloke who took the photographs", you didn't do anything?
A. They said -- yes, yes. I accept that.
Q. Right, well, what I'm going to do is I'm going to invite everyone, and you included, to turn to some of the photographs and before I ask that they are bought up on the -- Colonel, you were about to say something, I think?
A. Yes, Mr Glasgow, sorry, I wanted to say, when you said before about the IRT being insistent about a signed statement regarding provenance, I think, to be clear, it was they should at least be spoken to. It wasn't so much an insistence on a signed statement before. I think that -- I just wanted to clarify that they would at least have been spoken to regarding issues such as if the bodies had been moved, such like. So I just want to make sure. I am not saying they were insisted on a Section 9 statement before because their view was they wanted statements, not Section 9 statements, but a unit account.
Q. Again, I use the words "signed statement" because that was a phrase that you had used but, again, you've spoken about provenance and the IRT wanting to know about the

provenance of the photographs?
A. That was there to --
Q. Yes, right. So provenance, as in who took the photographs --
A. Yes.
Q. -- when they were taken, where they were taken, in order that you could --
A. Yes, and if the bodies had been moved or something like that.
Q. Right. Well, in which case, the only fair way to deal with it is to look at the photographs and, before I invite any of the photographs to come up on the screen, can issue the same warning that I did when Major Cox gave evidence. The photographs, albeit that they are copies, of copies, of copies, so they are not the same quality as the ones that your team had, they are nonetheless graphic?
A. Yes.
Q. It may be that there are some who are watching to the feed who do not want to see the photographs, and there'll be an appropriate moment now for them to at least look away from their screens. I don't mean to upset you, sir, but I am going to ask you, if you wouldn't mind, to look at them. If you are uncomfortable in so doing, I will describe them without

you needing to look at them. It is entirely a matter for you.
A. No, I'm content to look.
Q. Thank you. Can I invite you to pick up file 3 and turn to tab 176 and to the last of the three pages in that tab to start with. Nothing needs to be brought up on the screen at the moment.
A. Sorry, which tab?
Q. Tab 176. It's almost at the very back, sir.
A. Yes.
Q. What you have in front of you, at page 176-3, in the bottom image -- I'll allow you to find your place -- in the bottom image, is a shot of the room itself in which the nine bodies were located.
A. Yeah.
Q. To help you, in case you need it, this relates to Objective 1?
A. Yes.
Q. So one of the 11 DDOs that was the subject of the review?
A. Okay.
Q. When prioritisation was designated to some of the DDOs for scoping purposes, Objective 1 was one of the two that went forwards for scoping?
A. Yeah.

Q. Okay? Now Objective 1 resulted in nine EKIA and the recovery of three AK-47s.
A. Okay.
Q. Now, if we can look at what's termed the "stab shot" of the room together, and if that comes up on the screen. It is difficult, because it is a copy, of a copy, of a copy, to make it out but we have a view of the room in which nine separate male bodies are to be found?
A. Yes.
Q. It would appear, when one looks at the room, that they are laying on the ground in a room and there appears to be some sort of coloured fabric or bedding at least beneath some of them?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, it is difficult from that photograph to discern anything about the individuals concerned?
A. Yeah.
Q. What I'd like to do, please, is to look at the images of the separate nine EKIA together.
A. Okay.
Q. Can I invite you to turn back to the start of 176, back two pages. You'll see there is a series of photographs, three on the first page, four on the second page and two on the last page of the individuals who have been termed "enemy killed in action" and they are numbered 1 through

to 9.
A. Yeah.
Q. As ever, one needs to recognise the mere fact that one body is numbered 1 and another body numbered 2 doesn't suggest any sequence in the order in which they were shot; it is simply how they have been designated to tell one from the other?
A. Yes.
Q. It may well be that someone has gone round the room in a clockwise direction or an anti-clockwise direction, or they've simply applied them to the bodies as they've approached them?
A. Yeah.
Q. But the numbering is not relevant, other than to help us sequence one bottom from the next?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So if we look, please, together to EKIA number 1.
A. Yeah.
Q. We have the body of a male laying almost on his back. There is what appears to be a traumatic bleeding injury to his head, hence the blood around his face --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and there appears to be blood on what looks like to be the pillow on which his head is resting?
A. Yes.

Q. If we consider the possibilities, the body may have been moved, as you've described --
A. Yes.
Q. -- in which case, whilst he is still bleeding, he has been deposited on what appears to be a bed --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and, therefore, there is blood on what appears to be a pillow?
A. Yes.
Q. It may be that, having been shot in the head and collapsed, he has fallen down in such a way that he is laying on his back on what might be a bed with his head on what might be a pillow, or it may be another possible scenario that he was in bed when he was shot?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, there may be any number of other possibilities within those options.
A. Yeah.
Q. As an investigator, presumably you want to try to work out for yourself: was he shot when he was in bed or has the body been moved?
A. Yes.
Q. Because if he's been shot in bed that might be suspicious?
A. Correct.

Q. It's not determinative of everything but it might lead you to take further enquiries?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, did you consider the possibility that an expert might be able to say to you: that body appears to be consistent with someone who has been shot whilst laying down or placed in that position?
A. No, there was a lot of discussions with the team regarding those type of scenarios, hence the thing about, okay, what if they say the bodies were moved or what if they say he was kneeling down; what if -- yes, there was lots of consideration on that.
Q. You looking at a photograph might struggle to tell one possible scenario from another, but an expert might be able to identify --
A. Maybe identify the tracking --
Q. -- the tracking of the blood on the face?
A. Yeah.
Q. The blood pattern on the pillow, if it is a pillow?
A. Yeah.
Q. The way in which the body appears to be laying?
A. Yes.
Q. All of those --
A. Yes.
Q. -- might permit an expert to give an opinion one way or

the other on the position in which the body now finds itself?
A. Yes.
Q. It might be the expert might say, "I can't help you at all. It's a waste of time coming to me"?
A. Yeah.
Q. It might be that the expert says, "I've looked at it. I don't think there's anything suspicious"?
A. Yes.
Q. Or it might be that the expert says, "Actually, there are some big questions to be answered"?
A. Yes.
Q. So if you had got that information and then armed with it, might that help you when you come to ask questions of those people who have taken part in the DDO?
A. Yes. Another consideration, and obviously this goes back to what the IRT was saying, if there was an explanation with that and that explanation couldn't possibly be correct, the expert would also be able to tell you that.
Q. Okay. In which case, I'll help you with the explanation. These photographs were taken from the SIR, that's the shooting incident review?
A. Yes.
Q. So if there was any doubt that these photographs relate

to the DDO in question, Special Forces have had an internal inquiry, a Shooting Incident Review --

A. Yeah.

Q. -- and they have gathered together the evidence of the DDO, which includes these photographs.

A. Yeah.

Q. So leaving aside the metadata or a statement from John Smith to say, "I took the pictures", there must be a high degree of confidence that these photographs relate to this DDO?

A. Yes, definitely.

SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Mr Glasgow, my printed copy has in the top right-hand corner, quote "Annex F to CO's SIR, dated 5 March 2011".

MR GLASGOW: Correct, sir. This a DDO which took place on 7 February 2011 and this is part of the information that was attached to the commanding officer's Shooting Incident Review.

SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Yes.

MR GLASGOW: Annex F contained the photographs.

Again, the abbreviations, I'm sure, are ones that you are very familiar with but, for those that don't have access to the documentation in way that we have done, that is information that is obvious to you?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, as part of the information that was opened, way back when the Inquiry began, which is available to you, the description within the operational summary about this particular DDO was that the sub-unit on approach were engaged from the guesthouse and that they had to return fire?

A. Yeah.

Q. Two members of the sub-unit accounted for killing four people in the guesthouse because they described each of them shooting two people?

A. Okay.

Q. So if each shoot two, that's four deceased?

A. Yes.

Q. The third member of the sub-unit fired through a window and he fired four rounds?

A. Yeah.

Q. Assuming each round hit someone, that would account for another four deceased?

A. Yeah.

Q. Which leaves one unaccounted for, by my maths, on that description?

A. Yeah.

Q. When you looked at the photographs and you compared it to the description, which you said is something you'd wanted to do --

A. Yeah.

Q. -- did you do that very basic maths: four dead plus four shots --

A. I don't recall doing that but I'm sure that --

Q. I mean, someone must have done it?

A. They did and that was why the photographs alone, as I say, and the shooting incident report did not look right.

Q. Do you remember that, within the shooting incident report, was raised the possibility of fratricide. In other words, someone in the room had managed to kill either the occupants or their companions?

A. Yeah.

Q. In other words, an Afghan male, armed with a weapon, that managed to shoot one or more other Afghan male?

A. Yes.

Q. Now this is in the dark --

A. Yeah.

Q. -- and presumably in a dusty compound?

A. Yes.

Q. When you looked at the various injuries that appeared to have been sustained in the photographs, did you think to yourself: that doesn't look like an Afghan male armed with an AK-47? Did it look to you like they'd sustained head shots?

A. Yes.

Q. Right. The sustaining of head shots, is that consistent or inconsistent with an Afghan male in the dark firing off an AK-47 in any direction?

A. No. Well --

Q. I mean, you'd have to be pretty lucky, wouldn't you, in the dark, with an AK-47, to hit people straight through the head?

A. I mean, yes. There are so many questions thrown up by these, yes.

Q. If we just look, then, EKIA number 2. Apparently, flat on his back, laying on bedding or what appears to be bedding. It's difficult to see there any particular wound or wounds that he might have sustained. I'll allow the screen to catch up. We see a man on his back; no obvious trauma to his torso at least, is there?

A. No.

Q. EKIA number 3, please, sir. The next one down. I'll allow the screen to catch up. Partly on his side, his head against the wall, what is his right shoulder, by the look of it, against the other wall in the corner of the room?

A. Yeah.

Q. It would appear, from the object on which his head is resting -- whether that's a pillow or a cushion may be

hard to determine -- that there is heavy blood staining to that particular item?
A. Yes.
Q. So one can see blood-staining running down the forehead, as it looks like --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- and there is heavy blood staining beneath the head and the upper torso of that individual?
A. Mm.
Q. When you looked at that, did you ask the question of yourself: is that a man who's been shot whilst in bed?
A. *(No audible answer)*
Q. Because, again, it could have been that he was moved and, having been moved and placed on a bed with his head on a pillow, he's then bled on the pillow. It could have been that having been shot whilst either sitting, kneeling or standing upright, he has somehow fallen backwards and landed on his back on what might be a bed with his head on what might be a pillow --
A. Yes.
Q. -- or it may be that he's been shot whilst in bed?
A. Yes.
Q. If it is the latter, does that raise questions in your mind as an investigator: there is something I need to chase down here?

A. Yes.
Q. Right. Can we look at EKIA number 4 who is over the page at page 176-2. He appears -- I'll allow the screen to catch up, thank you -- laying in his left side. There is blood on what may be either his right ear or to the right-hand side of his head. One can see what is possibly a blood trail running down the side of his face and across his lower jaw. He is laying with what might be his head on some piece of fabric or soft furnishing, whether it is or is not a pillow or a cushion might be hard to determine.
Did you consider the possibility that this is another of the males in this room who might have been shot whilst in bed?
A. Yes.
Q. Is this one of the photographs that didn't look right to you?
A. Yes.
Q. On to EKIA number 5, the next one down, please. Difficult to discern precisely the position in which he is in because one can only see the very top part of his torso and his head. It appears that he is on his back. Whether he's been rolled onto his back by someone in order to take the photograph or not is unclear. His head appears to be on something but it is difficult,

with the quality of this photograph, to tell what it is. Is that a fair observation?
A. Yeah.
Q. Does it appear that there might be a traumatic bleeding injury above what is his left eyebrow?
A. Yes.
Q. So it may be that he's been shot in the head?
A. Yes.
Q. It may be that he's been shot in the head and fallen backwards on to some piece of cushion, fabric, bedding. It may be that he's been picked up and moved. It may again be that he was shot whilst in bed?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You can't tell --
A. Correct.
Q. -- because you're not an expert --
A. Correct.
Q. -- but your instinct, when looking at the photograph, is it "I want to know more about this"?
A. Yes.
Q. "It doesn't look right to me"?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. EKIA number 6, please, sir. The next one down on page 176-2. Again, laying on his back. One can see what may be blood staining, as we look at it, to the

right side but it would be to his left ear, as you can see?
A. Yeah.
Q. Laying with his head partly on the hand of someone else, another EKIA, who was in fact number 7 and we'll look at his photograph in a moment. Difficult to discern the position in which that person is laying from the view you've got in this photograph?
A. Yeah.
Q. Is that fair?
A. Yes, fair.
Q. So you can't tell whether he's been moved --
A. No.
Q. -- whether he's fallen in that position, having been shot?
A. Yeah.
Q. Whether he's been rolled onto his back for a photograph to be taken on his face --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- or whether he was laying on his back when he was shot? So did you think to yourself: this is another photograph that doesn't look right to me --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and I want to find out more about it?
A. Yes.

Q. Okay. EKIA number 7 appears at the bottom of our page 176-2. Again, laying on his back. That may be no surprise, since he's unlikely to be upright, having been shot in the head. But one can see what appears to be the tracking of blood, running either from left to right, as we look at it, or from right to left but he's been moved?
A. Yeah.
Q. But there is blood tracking across the forehead, the cheek and his neck?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you conclude from that that it's likely that he's been shot in the head because that's where the blood appears to be coming from?
A. Yes.
Q. It's difficult to tell whether he's on a bed, on a piece of fabric or the precise position in which he was laying?
A. Yes.
Q. You don't know whether he's been moved or not been moved?
A. Correct.
Q. When you looked at this photograph and bearing in mind what's being suggested was some of these people had been shot by someone in the room using an AK-47 --

A. Yeah.
Q. -- did you think to yourself: well, it's unlikely you're going to get an accurate head shot from someone wielding an AK-47?
A. I'm not an expert in that field but unlikely -- I'm not -- do you mean unlikely that they would be able to take that shot or --
Q. Well, they'd have to be able to --
A. Anything is in the realms of possibility because you don't know the story behind it, yes.
Q. In terms of -- we don't have bodies that appear to be raked with bullets --
A. Yes.
Q. -- following --
A. Sorry, I --
Q. -- the discharge of an AK -- an automatic rifle?
A. Yes, I see what you mean.
Q. So in the sense that numerous rounds are discharged within a short period of time, it does not appear as if an AK-47 has been fired --
A. A single shot from an automatic, correct.
Q. Okay. So notwithstanding the limited view you've got here, is this a photograph you had doubts about or is this one you weren't worried about?
A. No, no. I mean, all photographs --

Q. Okay. On then, please, to page 176-3. EKIA number 8. At the top. I'll allow the screen to catch up. Laying on his back as we look at it. To his left, which is his right-hand side, there appears to be an AK-47?
A. Yes.
Q. From this image, very difficult to discern the wound that he has sustained that has led to his collapse and death?
A. Yes.
Q. As you look at it, you don't presumably whether he's been rolled onto his back for the purposes of a photograph, whether he's been carried across the room to be put there for a photograph to be taken --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- or whether that is the way, either in the position in which he fell or in which he had been laying when shot?
A. No.
Q. You just don't know?
A. No.
Q. Okay. If one is thinking about the movement of bodies, rolling a body over for the purpose of a photograph, that might make obvious, sense, mightn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. But actually picking bodies up and moving them round the room, would you agree that would be unlikely?

A. I would imagine so. Again, without knowing the full circumstances because if -- because I think there was mention of grenades at some point, sir. If they'd moved bodies to look for -- I don't know but, yes, you are correct, it's unlikely.
Q. This photograph of this particular deceased, is that another photograph over which you had doubts?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it fair to use the word "doubts"?
A. Yes.
Q. So you were uncomfortable with what you were looking at, and there were questions you wanted answered about it?
A. Yes.
Q. You didn't have the skill or the expertise to answer those questions?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. Then the last of the nine appears in the middle of our page 176-3. It would appear that he has undoubtedly sustained a traumatic bleeding injury to his head --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- hence the blood on his pillow, the blood on his shoulder and the blood on his face?
A. Yeah.
Q. It would appear that either he has been rolled in such a way that he is now on his back with his head on what

might be a pillow?
A. Yeah.
Q. Or he has fallen back, having been shot, so that he's landed with has head on a pillow?
A. Yeah.
Q. Or he was either just up from the pillow or laying on the pillow when he was shot? You don't know?
A. Could be any of the above, yes.
Q. You lack the expertise to be able to tell what scenario might be appropriate?
A. Yeah.
Q. But did you again have questions in your own mind about this photograph?
A. Yes.
Q. Because you're saying to yourself: I don't know how these men came to be killed; I want to understand whether they were on their feet?
A. Right.
Q. Kneeling?
A. Yeah.
Q. Laying down?
A. Yeah.
Q. Whether they were awake and returning fire or asleep in bed?
A. Yeah.

Q. And there may be any number of other possible scenarios that you want to consider?
A. Yes.
Q. But is it fair that you and your team, whilst having doubts or questions, don't have the expertise to answer those questions?
A. Correct.
Q. Hopefully, there is an expert out there that might be able to say, "That looks like a head shot"?
A. *(The witness nodded)*
Q. "That looks like someone was shot whilst someone was on their feet and has fallen down or shot whilst laying down"?
A. Yes.
Q. They might be able to say, "To my mind the body has been moved or, because of the way the blood trail runs down the face or the head, it doesn't look like they have been moved"?
A. Yes.
Q. All of those are things that an expert or experts could answer?
A. Yes.
Q. They could answer that, just by looking at the photographs, couldn't they?
A. Yes.

Q. Because you had not just the copies, of copies, of copies that we have but you had the JPEGs, the electronic files of the photographs?
A. Yes.
Q. Which -- and I'm not going to bring those up, but they are much better quality, aren't they?
A. Yes.
Q. You can discern greater details from those JPEGs than you can from our copies, of copies, of copies?
A. Yes.
Q. So if you had wanted to, you could have provided those electronic files to an expert or experts for --
A. *(The witness nodded)*
Q. -- for him, her or they --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- to review them and to better inform your enquiry?
A. Yes.
Q. Of course, they might have said, "We can't help"?
A. Correct.
Q. You know, everyone likes to say --
A. *(Unclear)*
Q. -- a picture tells a thousand words but, in fact, they might have said, "We've got no idea"?
A. Yeah.
Q. "We can't help you and it would be guesswork"?

A. Yes.
Q. They might say, "There are number of options and we can't tell one from the other"?
A. Yeah.
Q. Or they might say, "There are number of options but we favour an option or options over others"?
A. Yeah.
Q. That might allow you to say: these photographs are or are not consistent with the accounts included in the operational summaries?
A. Yes.
Q. Because if one or more of these people was shot and killed by someone in the room wielding an AK-47, that would be relevant for your investigation, wouldn't it?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. Because you wouldn't need to have to say to yourself: well, that body, how do four dead and four shots lead to nine deceased?
A. Yeah.
Q. Because you'd be able to say, well, look there's an expert that's told us that it could have been an AK-47 that did it?
A. Yes.
Q. On the other hand, the expert might say, "These appear to me to be accurate head shots, which is inconsistent

with someone using an AK-47"?
A. Potentially, yes.
Q. So all of those were questions that went through your mind at the time you looked at the photographs?
A. A number of -- yeah, absolutely.
Q. Did you also have in your mind that the officer commanding this particular DDO was N1141?
A. No, not -- I'm not sure. I'm not sure that sticks in my mind when reading them, yeah.
Q. When you looked at the photographs, did you think to yourself: we have an account from an officer about a conversation he had with a member of the SAS, in which that member of the SAS told him "We kill all fighting males on target, regardless of the threat they pose"? Did you link that possible evidence to these photographs?
A. Yes, of course. Yes, of course. Sorry, I thought --
Q. No --
A. Right --
Q. With the N numbers it's always difficult to bring people to mind.
A. Yes.
Q. So when you had questions in your mind about what you were looking at you also had, in the back of your mind, someone has told someone else, who's told us?

A. Yeah, correct, yes.
Q. So there is a sequence of hearsay there, of course --
A. Yes.
Q. But we've got information that a member of the SAS has said that this particular sub-unit killed people, regardless of the threat they posed?
A. Yes.
Q. Right. Yet these aren't photographs that you sent to experts to look at?
A. Not immediately, no.
Q. How about not at all?
A. They weren't -- they were never submitted.
Q. Right, so when you say "not immediately", what you mean is, in fact, no, we didn't send them to experts?
A. No --
Q. They were never sent to experts, were they?
A. No.
Q. Right. On, please, to divider 178 and now we're turning to Objective 2, which is the second of the prioritised DDOs -- from the remaining ten -- that was looked at for the purposes of scoping. Again, the same warning applies: that we're going to be looking at photographs which are themselves relatively gruesome and I don't want anyone to be upset or traumatised by it. So for those who are watching on the feed or indeed within the

room, if they do not want to look at the screens, of course they don't have to. If they simply listen, they'll understand the point at which the photographs are no longer being shown and I will try to deal with them as quickly as I can.

On this DDO, which took place two days later, 9 February 2011, there were eight deceased males and three AK-47s that were recovered. When I say "deceased males", for the avoidance of any doubt, they were termed -- "enemies killed in action" was how they were described, okay?

If we just look at them again in the order in which they appear and reminding everyone that the order bears no relation to the order in which they were killed. We simply don't know. But we'll start with EKIA 1, which is on our page 178-1. He appears to be laying on his back, there is blood-staining behind him, as we look at it, to his right-hand elbow, and he is laying on what may or may not be bedding or some sort of cloth or fabric on the floor.

From this photograph, it's difficult to tell whether that was the position in which he fell, a position in which he was moved or the position in which he was in fact in when he shot. Is that a fair way of approaching it?

A. Yeah.
Q. When you looked at the photograph, did you think to yourself: I need to know more about this because it doesn't look right to me?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. EKIA number 2. Within the same room, laying in the corner of the room, we can see here perhaps an obvious example of a body being moved, if you will allow me to make that suggestion -- and if you disagree with it, you must say so. But if we look at it from the left-hand side, it would appear that the body is in such a position that it is tucked up against the wall. It is in the corner of the room; do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. In the photograph to the right-hand side, there is heavy blood staining where the head might have been but it appears that the head is not in the precise position it was in, in which photograph 1 was?
A. I think that's a fair assumption.
Q. So if that is right -- and of course I'll be corrected by experts in due course or indeed by anyone else who wants to -- if that is right, it may be that the first photograph on the left is taken, the body is moved in order to get a better view of the body, because we can clearly see another body is partially laying on top of

it in the left-hand photograph --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- and, therefore, you have that first area of blood staining where the head was?
A. Yeah.
Q. Now the body has been pulled down, leading to a second area of blood staining, and the other body has been moved out of the way?
A. Yeah.
Q. Is that a fair possibility or a fair interpretation --
A. Yes.
Q. -- of the photographs?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. Of course, I am not an expert, neither are you --
A. Correct.
Q. -- and I will undoubtedly be corrected in due course.
When you looked at the photograph of the male who appears in the left-hand photograph to be laying on his back with his head and body on what might be some sort of bedding, did that bring to mind any questions? Did you think: it doesn't look right to me?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that because you were considering the possibility that he might have been asleep when he was shot?
A. Potentially.

Q. EKIA number 3, which is the body of the male that we had seen in the left-hand photograph for EKIA number 2, that had been rolled out of the way, that now appears on his back. If anyone thinks I am mistaken, if one looks to where the right hand of this male appears, the left-hand of the photograph, you'll see the green striped fabric that appears beneath what is his right-hand forearm, do you see that, sir?
A. Yes.
Q. If you bear with me and just look on your photograph -- we don't need to change on the screen but if you go back to EKIA number 2 -- you can see precisely the same fabric --
A. Yes.
Q. -- that appears there. So if my interpretation is correct -- and again I'll be corrected by the experts in due course if I am making an unfair point -- but, if I am right, it would appear that that first person has been rolled from the position in which we saw in that first photograph for EKIA number 2 onto his back, now allowing the photograph to be taken of his face?
A. Yes.
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Can we see EKIA 2 back on the screen briefly? Just to --
MR GLASGOW: It's the middle photograph, if one looks to

EKIA number 2.
If one scrolls slightly, one sees there that striped green fabric and indeed what appears to be the right hand of another figure that we see in the top right-hand corner of the photograph.
A. Yeah.
Q. Unless there is another identical piece of green striped fabric in this room -- and there may have been, we don't know -- but it would appear that EKIA number 3 may be the owner of the hand that appears in that photograph we just looked at?
A. It's a fair assumption, yes.
Q. He appears to have sustained some sort of traumatic bleeding injury to his head or face, hence the collection of coagulated blood that appears to the front of his face?
A. Mm.
Q. Is that a fair observation?
A. Yes.
Q. So unless he has rolled face down into somebody else's blood, would it be fair to conclude it's likely that he has sustained a gunshot wound of some sort to the head or face that has caused that bleeding that we see in that photograph?
A. Yeah.

Q. He is now laying on his back, on what may be a rug, perhaps, but, since we know from the other photograph that he has been moved, would it be fair to observe it's very difficult to discern anything about the location in which the body now rests?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. Hence, of course, the caution to be applied: were the bodies moved before the photographs were taken? Is it fair to reach conclusions without knowing the answer to that?
A. Mm, potentially, yes.
Q. Okay. But one can reach preliminary conclusions --
A. Yes.
Q. -- but always be corrected in due course.
A. Yes.
Q. One might say it looks like the body was in this position, that position or another position, and then subsequently, when you are told "Well, actually we moved the body", the expert or experts could say, "Well, in light of that, I now revise my opinion".
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you.
On, please, if you'll bear with me to EKIA number 4, which appears at the bottom of our page 178-1. A figure laying on his left-hand side on red carpet or bedding;

it is difficult to discern. As we look at the left-hand photograph, his left shoulder in that photo appears to be laying on what may be a purple cushion or pillow. That's slightly easier to see in photograph number 2 --

A. Yeah.

Q. -- and it would appear that if the photograph on the left is first, the body has been rolled slightly --

A. Yes.

Q. -- so now the right shoulder, rather than being side on to the photographer, is now almost laying back on that pillow or cushion?

A. Yes.

Q. One can discern blood to the front of the face of the deceased, as well as a large quantity of blood which appears down towards what would be the left arm and shoulder and on the floor?

A. Yes.

Q. Difficult to know precisely where that individual has been shot but, given he's dead, it's unlikely he was just shot in the shoulder?

A. Yes.

Q. You determined that it was likely he'd been shot in the head and had bled heavily onto the floor, hence the collection of blood?

A. That's the more likely explanation.

Q. When you looked at that photograph and the position in which he appears, did you think to yourself: I want to know whether he fell into that position or was in that position when he was shot?

A. *(Unclear)*

Q. Right. Because is this another photograph that just didn't look right?

A. Correct.

Q. On, if we can, please, to EKIA number 5. He appears at the top of our page 178-2. He is laying on his back. Blood appears to have seeped from either nostril and, if that's a correct observation, it would appear that it has gone from either nostril down the sides of both cheeks. If you look to the second photograph of EKIA number 5, that may make that a little easier to discern.

A. Yeah.

Q. Do you see that there?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, it may be that he was face down and has somehow collected blood to the front of his face but not his nose and that, having been rolled on to his back, that blood staining is visible, or it may be that he has bled from either mouth or nose, hence the blood staining, that those are possibilities, are they not?

A. Yeah.

Q. There would appear to be blood staining behind his head and, as we look at it, it'll be his right shoulder?

A. Yeah.

Q. He is laying on his back. You don't know whether that was the position in which he was laying when shot, the position in which he fell, having been shot, or the position in which he was moved to for the purposes of the photograph?

A. Yeah.

Q. But if he was moved for the purposes of the photograph, he has to have been moved at a time when he is still bleeding heavily, in order for that collection of blood to appear?

A. I see what you mean, yes, yeah.

Q. Did you think to yourself, when you looked at the photograph: there appears to be a dead body with his head on a pillow?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you think: there's something about that that makes me doubt the photograph?

A. Again, another photograph that just doesn't look right, yes. Correct.

SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Mr Glasgow, can you help us with the evidence label hanging around his neck, which is on screen, and I think each of the photographs have

versions of that, each of the EKIA.

MR GLASGOW: Yes, in order to identify the deceased EKIA, name tags were placed around the bodies of the deceased, hence you have one photograph without and one photograph with. What we have done, in order to protect the national security interests, is to redact some of the information provided on the photograph. For example, the objective is number 2, rather than the objective name, hence when I introduced it, I said this was objective number 2 from the TTP review.

SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Yes.

MR GLASGOW: Does that make sense to you?

SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: It does, yes. I think we can read date, can't we?

MR GLASGOW: "TG" is for "time given".

SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Objective, Bravo, name, building, room.

MR GLASGOW: So date indecipherable, time given, Objective 2, Bravo, EKIA is what that reads, and then name and then building is the location in which the deceased was found.

On, if you wouldn't mind, please, to EKIA number 6. Within the same room, laying on his back, in the left-hand photograph we can see an AK-47 or what appears to be an AK-47 laying towards where the feet of the

deceased would be. Can you make that out, sir?
A. Yes, the AK-47. I can't really see his feet but yeah. Sorry, yes. No, I can see it.
Q. Do you see it?
A. Yes, I see it.
Q. Again, the colour makes it difficult to discern but we have what appears to be an AK-47?
A. Yes.
Q. That distinctive curved magazine?
A. Yes.
Q. Just been circled in the red. We can see the strap that would have enabled it to go round the body or shoulder that appears to have been happened around both the barrel and the stock?
A. Yeah.
Q. Then in close up to the right, we see the photograph of the deceased, who appears in the photograph to be laying on his back. He's got his right hand slightly across his torso, his hand appears to be on the middle of his chest and he appears to have had sustained some sort of bleeding traumatic injury to either his head or his face?
A. Yes.
Q. So did you think to yourself h that looks like a head shot to me?

A. At least, yes.
Q. When you looked at the photograph, did you ask questions of yourself as to how he came to be in that position?
A. Yes.
Q. Had he fallen that way, had he been moved into that position or had he been laying in that position?
A. Yeah.
Q. Because his head appears to be just touching the edge of what might be possibly a cushion or a pillow, and we can discern the floral pattern of the covering to that item?
A. Yeah.
Q. So was this another photograph that didn't look right to you?
A. Yes.
Q. On, please, to the next EKIA, number 7. He appears in the other corner of the room, and in case it helps to get one's bearings, because the fabric is very similar, if you look to the right hand of the two photographs of the screen, do you see in the top left corner there is the left hand of a deceased?
A. Yes.
Q. We don't need to do it on the screen but, if you go back to EKIA number 6, do you see that when you look at the fabric in the left-hand image and then the hand outstretched in the right-hand image, it may be that the

hand we see marked with the red arrow here on the screen is the left hand of EKIA number 6. But, again, an expert will undoubtedly tell me I've got that wrong in due course.

What that does go to show is the proximity of the two bodies in accordance with each other. However, we do not know whether the bodies had been moved so that they were near each other, whether they had been rolled for the purposes of the photographs, which took them closer or further away from each other, or whether that was the position in which they were actually laying and standing when they were shot and fell. We just don't know?
A. Mm.
Q. Is that a fair observation?
A. Yes.
Q. This particular individual, as we see in the photograph on his back, if we look to his left-hand shoulder, the right, as we look at the photograph, can we discern the stock of an AK-47 that appears there?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you able to make it out?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. If we look to the right-hand of the two photographs on the screen, immediately to the right-hand edge, we can

discern the metal and the hand grip and the stock?
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you make that out?
A. Yeah.
Q. Right. He has blood-staining to various parts of his clothing, including his left arm, his right shoulder, and possibly also his right arm as well. One can see that to his clothing.

If you look in the left-hand photograph to the pillow or cushion that appears to be in the corner of the room, and that might be associated with where one would or would not put a bed, can you make out blood staining to the pillow --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- together with what might be blood staining to the wall --
A. Yes.
Q. -- just above the pillow?
A. Yes.
Q. As we look at the body, if one discerns the difference between the white clothing that he has to his upper torso and then what appears to be the patterned cover of some sort beneath him, is there a possibility that's either a blanket or a piece of bedding?
A. Yes.

Q. If one thinks for a moment, because there was of course blood staining to the face of this EKIA, we can see how it tracks across the face and possibly down the right-hand side of the eye and the cheek, you think about the body having been moved prior to the photograph taken, look where the blood staining is to the pillow?
A. Yeah.
Q. If one links the blood staining on the pillow and the blood spatter on the wall to this EKIA -- and again, an expert may well say in due course I am wrong to do so -- but if one does that link, does it appear to you that it's possible that this individual was in bed?
A. Actually, yes.
Q. Because there's blood staining on the wall --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- close to where the head would be if one had been laying on the pillow?
A. Mm.
Q. There's blood staining on the pillow where the head would be if one was in bed?
A. Yeah.
Q. And it appears that there is possibly some sort of blanket or covering draped across the upper torso of that individual, perhaps consistent with how one might go to bed?

A. Yes.
Q. Head on pillow; blanket over body. So when you looked at this photograph, is this another one of the photographs that you thought: Colonel Harvey, we need to take another look at these?
A. Correct.
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Could we look at the third image on the right which has a clearer picture, I think, of the stock, the one with the evidence label.
MR GLASGOW: We see it there as we look at it bottom right-hand corner, sir.

When it came to determining whether this person might have been armed with that AK-47, did you have in mind the fact that you had been told that members of the sub-unit were said to have placed weapons on the bodies of deceased individuals to give the impression --
A. Yes.
Q. -- they had been armed when they were not?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, of course, it may well be that that AK-47 was in the hands of this individual and that it either fell from his hands, he having been shot, or was moved from his hands in order to take the photograph or to check him for explosives?
A. Yes.

Q. Or indeed has been placed beside the body by someone wanting to give the impression that this person wasn't shot in bed and was in fact shot when he was armed?
A. Yes, it could have been any of the above.
Q. So again, questions that you and your team would need expert assistance in order to answer?
A. Yeah.
Q. The reason for pausing at this point is that those seven EKIA were all grouped within the same single room.
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you recall that, as regards this particular DDO, what was said was that, on approaching what was termed the guesthouse, an armed male had stepped outside, he had been shot and killed, and that then there had been what was termed a long burst of fire from within the guesthouse? In other words, someone inside --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- using a long burst of fire, presumably automatic fire, if it's a long burst --
A. Yeah --
Q. -- and that, when the sub-unit waited for the firing to stop and then went into the room, they found that there were four dead bodies already there?
A. I don't remember that specifically but, yes.
Q. If one thinks about that for a moment and if that is the

correct description, someone inside has fired a long burst of automatic fire, which has managed to kill four of the other people in the guesthouse --
A. Yes.
Q. -- because there are four dead bodies when the subunit get there?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. So if he's done that, he's managed to shoot them in the head, hasn't he?
A. Yes. Sorry, I see. It doesn't look right; it doesn't sound right either.
Q. Exactly. So the photographs don't look right and the operational summary doesn't read right; is that a fair way?
A. That's fair, yeah.
Q. Now, again, I am not an expert, I will be corrected in due course by an expert. But when you came to consider, as the investigator, the possibility of whether this made sense or didn't, are these the sorts of questions you were asking of yourself and discussing with your team?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. I mean, when you laid the photograph out, did people sort of shrug their shoulders and say "Something isn't right here"?

A. Yes. Hence that term just doesn't -- I think it was first coined in relation to the Ripper, the JDLR principle: just doesn't look right.
Q. Right. So these photographs are all ticking the JDLR box.
A. *(The witness nodded)*
Q. As to EKIA number 8, he is shot in a separate part of the compound, having been sent in to open curtains. He was said to have armed himself with an AK-47 and was then engaged and shot dead because he posed a threat?
A. Yes.
Q. Again, if we look, he appears on his back. There would appear to be heavy blood staining from behind his head. There is possible blood spatter, as we look at it, to the left-hand side?
A. Yeah.
Q. Whether that is spatter or drips or spray will be for a blood pattern analyse to opine on in due course if they can. He has blood staining, in fact, to his left hand, as we look at it; do you see that?
A. Yeah.
Q. So it may be that either his hand has been moved to the photograph to be taken or somehow his hand has got blood on it. There is obviously, as we look at the right-hand photograph, heavy blood staining to the front of the

face and there is an AK-47 laying to the right-hand side of the body?
A. Yeah.
Q. When you looked at the AK-47 in this photograph, did you remind yourself, at least the suggestion that had been made --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- that weapons were planted on the bodies of the deceased?
A. Yes.
Q. So the mere fact that a weapon appeared in this photograph didn't mean you said "Well, that's someone who was armed, therefore he was lawfully killed"?
A. Yes.
Q. You were actually asking more questions than you might normally because you had that information of the possibility of the planting of weapons?
A. Yeah.
Q. These photographs, which you described as not matching the incident reports in your witness statement, in other words when you looked at the write-up and you looked at the photographs, they didn't work?
A. Yeah.
Q. Is that a fair way of putting it?
A. That's a fair way of saying it.

Q. Again, the write-up might not be a completely moment-by-moment description of everything that happens?
A. Yeah.
Q. The person who does the write-up might have summarised it, might have got it wrong, might have missed details out, might just have made a mistake. But when you're looking to see whether you need to investigate, rather than just scope these DDOs, your instinct is saying to you: we need to investigate?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that a fair way of looking at it?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you investigate or did you just scope?
A. I suppose it's the term "scope", isn't it, that is in fact -- they were looked at to see if there was any obvious evidential lines to follow. So call it scoping, it's still investigating.
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Can we just put up on the screen, since we're here, the final two photographs of the weapons, if they're available. Thank you.
MR GLASGOW: We see here two AK-47s, as they appear, but in fact one shouldn't just assume that the bottom row is the same weapon three times. It is, in fact, said to be three separate AK-47s.
A. Right, sir.

Q. The giveaway may well be the obvious differences between them. So whilst the top row, the weapon held by EKIA number 8, the last to be shot, the individual that went inside to open curtains and came back armed, and that, of course, is an individual that went inside and came out armed, notwithstanding the fact that seven people had already been shot and killed?
A. Yeah.
Q. So that's the individual -- that's the weapon said to be held by that person.
A. Okay.
Q. The other three weapons that appear, some of which we've been able to pick out in the other photographs from the room in which seven men were shot and killed, they are different, because of course the left-hand one has a slightly green webbing strap around it, the middle one has no strap at all and the right-hand one has a longer and perhaps slightly sandier-coloured strap.
So they would appear to be, as they are described, weapons times three. In other words three weapons.
A. Three weapons.
Q. -- not the same one shot three times in the photographs.
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. So that's four weapons and eight EKIA?
A. Yeah.

Q. So, unless there's been a quick drop and pick-up of weapons, at least some of the people who had been shot and killed cannot have been armed?
A. Yes, fair assumption yes.
Q. In any way did that inform your suspicions or your doubts when it came to the question of were people in bed when they were killed?
A. Potentially, yes.
Q. Because if they couldn't all have been armed, it may be that they acted either in such a way that posed a threat --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- maybe that they were asleep and unarmed when they were shot and killed --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- or it may be, as was described in the staff work, that in fact they had been killed by their own companion who had somehow managed to shoot them all accurately in the head with a single shot from an AK-47?
A. Yeah.
Q. But, presumably, you wanted an expert to help you answer those questions --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- rather than a layman lawyer giving you examples to consider?

A. Yes, correct.
Q. Forgive me, but you must have appreciated the potential importance to your investigation --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- that looking and an expert looking at these photographs would present?
A. Yeah.
Q. You knew they were linked to the DDOs that you were scoping?
A. Yeah.
Q. You had the metadata to confirm date, time and location, and, to your mind, they cried out for examination?
A. Yes.
Q. But they weren't examined?
A. The -- I understood the rationale for wanting an explanation, along with the photographs, in order to have them submitted for their forensic examination because do it once and do it right type mentality, and the fuller explanation you can give, the better evidence you will get back. So I understood that, yes. That's -- that was my understanding of where the IRT direction was coming from, ie to -- and I know it's the term "provenance" but that was what they wanted a fuller explanation to give, in order to have them submitted, yes.

Q. But every month that went by was another month's delay to the possibility of obtaining evidence which might in fact clear Special Forces of any wrongdoing?
A. Yeah, potentially, yes.
Q. You might have expert evidence that said your untrained, non-expert suspicion is completely wrong --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- and in fact there is nothing untoward in these photographs at all?
A. Potentially.
Q. That, potentially, could have lifted the cloud of suspicion that has hung over Special Forces?
A. Yeah.
Q. On the other hand, it might have given the reverse --
A. Yes.
Q. -- or it might have lain somewhere in the middle?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. But by not pursuing that line of enquiry, you deprive the investigation of that potentially valuable information?
A. Yes, that would be correct, yeah.
Q. And you deprived Special Forces of that potentially valuable information, didn't you?
A. Yes, I --
Q. If there's nothing to hide, they'd want that

demonstrated, wouldn't they?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. They'd want you to get the expert evidence to say, "Look we didn't do anything wrong"?
A. Yeah.
Q. "The photographs do stack up, they do match the paperwork"?
A. You'd think so, yes. Absolutely, yeah.
Q. The only reason people don't want photographs examined is because they don't want the answers to come out; would that be fair?
A. Yes.
Q. If we turn back to divider 2 for a moment together and look to tab 108, please, sir. It would appear that your desire to see the photographs examined is shared by your SIO, Major Cox?
A. Which --
Q. File 2, 108.
A. Yeah.
Q. 28 September 2017, the Team 1 SIO update. The examination of the imagery is:
"... a vital part of my major line of enquiry and supporting evidence ..."
A. Yeah.
Q. "... the images held must be reviewed by an expert to

provide subject matter expertise opinion to prove or disprove an offence."

A. Yeah.

Q. So he, your SIO, Major Cox, is of the view that you're not waiting for statements from anyone; you need to get on with this because this is the only way you can prove or disprove the allegation?

A. Yeah.

Q. Yes?

A. Yeah. I'm sorry, is this -- the timeline -- I'm trying -- the recommendation is in place about speaking to them -- speaking to those involved about the photographs beforehand and the submission because that follows in the timeline; is that correct?

Q. With respect, I'm ignoring the recommendations from the IRT for this reason. You didn't agree with some of their recommendations, as we established yesterday.

A. Yeah.

Q. So I'm putting the IRT to one side and I'm looking at your own SIO for Team 1, who is saying the images must be reviewed by an expert?

A. Yeah.

Q. But they weren't. Did you go to PM(A), Brigadier Neal and say, "I'm really sorry, sir, you need to stop listening to the IRT for a moment and you need to listen

to my SIO because Major Cox and I think these photographs stink"?

A. I don't recall having that conversation, ie going to the PM saying the photographs -- but obviously the photographs stink, yes.

Q. If you had had that conversation with Brigadier Neal, would he have listened to you?

A. Potentially. I can't answer that.

Q. Or do you think he might have said, "Well, I need to wait and see what the IRT have to say"?

I mean, is there a sense that the IRT are almost directing the investigation? Whatever they say goes?

A. Um, I suppose -- well, if you look at it, the audit trail of their recommendations and the endorsement, yes, I suppose.

MR GLASGOW: Sir, I've trespassed slightly into the lunch hour, and I'm sorry for that, but would that be a convenient moment?

SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: It would, Mr Glasgow. Thank you. We will break now, please, until 2.05. Thank you.

(1.05 pm)

(The Short Adjournment)

(2.04 pm)

THE USHER: Good afternoon. This is an open hearing of the Independent Inquiry into Matters Relating to

Afghanistan. Please ensure that all mobile phones and other personal electronic devices are either switched off or in silent mode.

Please may I also draw your attention to the fact that the Chair of the Inquiry, the Right Honourable Lord Justice Haddon-Cave, has made a General Restriction Order for Inquiry Hearings which applies to the conduct of those who are attending and/or viewing this hearing.

The relevant parts of that order are contained within the specific Restriction Order made in respect of these hearings, which is displayed on the Inquiry website and on the door of this hearing room but I will summarise its purpose.

The first part of the General Restriction Order for Inquiry Hearings prohibits any person from making an audio or video recording of Inquiry proceedings without the express permission of the Chair. Furthermore, no person may take a photograph in any room in which Inquiry proceedings are taking place and at a time the Inquiry is sitting without the express permission of the Chair.

The second part of the General Restriction Order for Inquiry Hearings which applies to this hearing arises as the live stream of these Inquiry proceedings via YouTube will purposely be subject to a 10-minute delay. Where

those who have supplied evidence to the Inquiry have applied to restrict information from publication outside of the Inquiry, in some instances the Chair has made Restriction Order, the effect of which is to protect that information.

The Chair has also made a Restriction Order, prohibiting the use, publication or dissemination of certain information that is contained within the evidence and documents associated to these hearings. That Restriction Order is displayed on the door of the hearing room and copies are available on request. Where material is restricted, it is redacted and, where appropriate, a gist of the evidence is provided.

In the event that something is said during the course of this hearing which may breach a Restriction Order made by the Chair, the evidence may be halted and the hearing room may be cleared for closed legal submissions as to whether any Restriction Order has been breached and the live stream YouTube recording will be temporarily stopped for this period.

Counsel to the Inquiry or the Solicitor to the Inquiry must be informed of any request to make an application for a Restriction Order. If an application is made for a Restriction Order in relation to anything that has been said or done in the Inquiry hearing room

in the preceding ten minutes, anything that was said or done during that period is subject to a Restriction Order prohibiting its disclosure, repetition or duplication until the Chair has finally determined the application. For the avoidance of doubt, where material or identities in documents shown on screen or subsequently published have been redacted, with or without an overwritten gist, the redacted material is restricted and it is a breach of the Restriction Order to disclose what is thought to have been redacted.

All of the above Orders are subject to a penal notice. This means that any breach of any element of the Restriction Orders may be certified to the High Court or the Court of Session, who will have the power to fine or imprison anyone found to have been in breach of the Order.

(2.07 pm)

SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: All right. Thank you.

Mr Glasgow.

MR GLASGOW: Thank you, sir.

Colonel, you've identified on a number of occasions that it was the IRT that was making recommendations --

A. Yes.

Q. -- and that those were provided to Gold -- sorry, provided to PM(A) --

A. Yes.

Q. -- and that they were then subsequently provided to you by PM(A) by way of direction or order and cascaded down the chain?

A. Up and down, yes.

Q. So there is that up and down route?

A. Correct.

Q. In fairness to you, what I'd like to do is try to unpick that route, if we may, to see how it works because you were perhaps in the best position to explain.

Can we look, with your help, to file 2 and to divider 114. The reason for taking you here is that this appears to be on of the first meetings at which the IRT assurance of November is discussed. We've just looked at that assurance document and considered that previously.

A. Yes.

Q. But at tab 114 in file 2, what we have is, as described:

"Record of decisions from the Op Northmoor Executive Group Meeting."

A. Yeah.

Q. The Executive Group didn't meet at RAF St Mawgan; it met at Marlborough Lines?

A. Yes.

Q. The membership of the Executive Group is set out from

those persons present --

A. Yes.

Q. -- Brigadier Neal, Sir Jon Murphy, Mark Ellison, Air Commodore Kell, Colonel Morris, Mr Tooke, you and Mr Pollard.

A. Yes.

Q. We can see a mix of Northmoor, IAG and SPA?

A. Yes.

Q. So that's a mixture of Northmoor, the Independent Advisory Group and the Service Prosecuting Authority?

A. Correct.

Q. What is the difference, please, between the Executive Group and the Gold Group, or isn't there one?

A. There isn't really one, no.

Q. So in terms of we should understand this to be what, a description that's used interchangeably? This is effectively the Gold Group?

A. Yes, correct.

Q. So there isn't an SIO present here?

A. No, there isn't.

Q. You are effectively representing the SIO for both Team 1 and, if they were discussed, Team 2?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. So we understand from the date the potential relevance to the IRT report and, if there were any

doubt, the last line and a half, as it were, of item 1:

"[The] IRT report was the main purpose of this Executive Group meeting."

A. Yeah.

Q. In other words, the report is in hand, it's going to be discussed and possibly decisions taken about it?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Again, if anyone wants me to look at any aspect, they must let me know but I'm not going to turn to every paragraph, but I was going to pick up on what we had been discussing before we broke for lunch. So over, if you will, to 114-3 and item 4, and it deals with the question of the ten similar events with prioritisation towards Objectives 2 and 1, prior to affording them investigative status. If we look, four lines up from the bottom, the scoping -- that's of objectives 2 and 1, to put them in the order in which they've been in this document -- the scoping be completed prior to seeking expert opinion outside of this Inquiry.

A. Yeah.

Q. In other words, experts will not be invited to look at the photographs we have just been through with your help --

A. Yeah.

Q. -- until the scoping has been completed?

A. Yeah.
Q. Now, the scoping was in order to understand a little bit more about the what, the when, the who?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. It was going to look for OPSUMs, SINCREPs, SIRs or any other supporting documentation?
A. Yes.
Q. Is this a moment where you had an opportunity as the Gold Commander to lay a marker down, to say, "Actually, I don't agree with this, sir. I've looked at these photographs, my SIO has looked at these photographs and we both think now is the time to show them to an expert"?
A. It could have been, yes. But I don't recall me doing that, so yes.
Q. Would it be fair to observe that, albeit it's some years ago in the past, if you had spoken up in a way that went against the IRT recommendations, it's something you're likely to have remembered doing?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. Because the IRT --
A. That's a fair --
Q. The IRT recommendations thus far had proved popular?
A. Yeah.
Q. Indeed, we'll see by the end of this document they were

still popular?
A. Yes.
Q. So you would have been slightly swimming against the tide if you'd put your hand up the air and said, "Anyone mind if we look at these photos again"?
A. Yeah.
Q. At any point, do you recall saying to PM(A), to Sir Jon Murphy, perhaps, "I'm concerned that we're missing a chance here"?
A. No. Are you asking me -- I can't recall that from the top of my head but -- I can't, no. I can't.
Q. Do you think if it had happened, you're likely to have remembered it because it meant you going against the IRT?
A. It -- I suppose it depends at what -- when the conversations were had but I really don't remember that. No.
Q. What we can see here is the decision that's taken is:
"PM(A) endorsed and agreed the IRT recommendations."
So if there was any hope of experts looking at the photographs, it died on 14 December, didn't it?
A. Again, my recollection -- my understanding was that the scoping and looking at all of these was to get an account and then the photographs would be submitted for examination. So on the -- I can't say, hand on heart,

I recall in December saying that was it, they weren't going to be moved forward from a -- so my -- I can't say that, from that.
Q. Well, bear with me because there was, in fact, another obstacle in the path of seeking an expert opinion. Just look up to those last two lines of the IRT review section in paragraph 5:
"... consideration should be given to a further assurance visit parallel to the carrying out of the proposed risk assessment process outlined ..."
A. Yeah.
Q. In other words, the IRT wanted another opportunity to help inform the investigative strategy before an expert might be instructed?
A. I'm not sure -- before -- where does it say before another?
Q. Parallel to carrying out of the proposed risk assessment process; that's the risk assessment process of exposing things to review, isn't it?
A. Sorry, yes. Yes.
Q. So the IRT were going to be given an opportunity to agree or disagree with any other proposed action. All that was happening now was scoping?
A. Um -- yeah.
Q. Which is investigation-light?

A. But, I mean, saying scoping, yes, but if they had have -- for example, if they'd found the smoking gun so to speak, action would be taken --
Q. Where were you going to find a smoking gun?
A. I'm just saying.
Q. Well, you didn't have ITS1?
A. Yes.
Q. So there wasn't going to be a smoking gun from the electronic data?
A. Yeah.
Q. You weren't submitting the photographs to an expert, so there wasn't a smoking gun in there?
A. Yeah.
Q. Where was the smoking gun going to come from?
A. Speaking to the members of the potential witnesses to -- ie a firsthand account saying, "I saw this, a weapon been dropped", "I saw this" --
Q. So if a member of Special Forces or a member of the supporting group around Special Forces was to provide a statement in which they said, "I saw someone killed, illegally" or "I saw a weapon dropped on the body of a deceased who didn't hold the weapon beforehand" --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- without that, you weren't going anywhere?
A. No, or, for example, the images of the AK-47s shown

before, if those serial numbers had been repeated in another scene, that would have been --

Q. Been what? Would you have needed that to be looked at by an expert?

A. That would have been a prime example that that would add credence to the allegation that weapons were being dropped, ie they'd reused that weapon on another scene.

Q. But at the moment, all you're really doing is dipping your toe in the water with the scoping, aren't you? It's not really an investigation.

A. Okay.

Q. You're just getting some documents, having a look at some stuff, asking some questions. I mean, is that an unfair way of characterising it?

A. If that's how you view --

Q. Well, it's how you characterise it, sir. Is it unfair --

A. Preliminary investigation is what I would call it, looking at it.

Q. Did anyone say, "Do you know what, we need to look at all 11 of these DDOs. Special Forces looked at them as a package and we need to do the same thing because, on their own, they might not look so odd but, when you stand back and look at them together" --

A. Yes, the consideration of them being linked was looked

at, yes.

Q. But they weren't linked, were they?

A. From the evidence available, it didn't look to be linked because (a) the timeframe of the 11 DDOs and the personalities who were working on the different DDOs.

Q. Well, the 11 DDOs were carried out by the same sub-unit, weren't they?

A. But different people.

Q. But by the same sub-unit --

A. Yes.

Q. -- and the same officer commanding?

A. I think so, yes.

Q. Did you manage to work out who was on which DDO?

A. I think we did, yes.

Q. You think you did?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. In terms of the potential progress that might be made as far as the future of Northmoor is concerned, can we look to page 114-4 and to paragraph 13. We're of course only in December 2017, so you'd been in post for about 13/14 months --

A. Yes.

Q. -- something like that:

"Drawdown Estimate. AH Op Northmoor briefed Op Northmoor HQ would undertake a ... drawdown estimate in

the new year to ensure that when the investigation drew to a close, all elements of it were concluded in a timely and effective fashion, so that there could be no risk to reputation."

A. Yeah.

Q. Does that sound like an exit strategy is going to be considered in the new year?

A. Yes.

Q. So an exit strategy is --

A. Sorry.

Q. An exit strategy is the route out of Operation Northmoor, isn't it?

A. Yes.

Q. A close-down of the investigation and how to deal with the potential fallout that that might occur, particularly as regards reputation?

A. Yes.

Q. So it might not look very good if you close down the investigation and, therefore, you have deal with the fallout --

A. Yeah.

Q. -- and manage the narrative?

A. Yes.

Q. Well, so be ready with a version of events to give to explain why you've done what you've done?

A. Yes. May I add one thing to that --

Q. Of course you can.

A. -- because, obviously, all operations have a drawdown plan assigned to them. So, at some point, there was always going to be an operational drawdown plan and, yes, I do recall, in regards to the drawing down question, that, actually, if it is premature, it doesn't look right on the investigation. So, yes, I understand what you're saying.

Q. Does it seem a bit premature in December 2017 --

A. It does, yes --

Q. -- to be looking in January to how you're going to get out of Northmoor and what you might have to say to make it not look quite so bad?

A. Now, I can't explain that particular paragraph but, obviously, there's the drawdown because Team 2 had reduced their workload, therefore there was an opportunity to draw down X amount of staff but certainly not Team 1 stuff?

Q. But this drawdown is when the investigation drew to a close.

A. Yes, so extrapolated out, yes, absolutely --

Q. In other words, someone in the meeting appears to have said, "We need to look at what things are going to look like when we come to an end".

A. Yes.
Q. And that's going to start as a look in January 2018?
A. Yes.
Q. It doesn't appear clear from this whether the end is going to be January, February, March or indeed the next year?
A. Correct.
Q. But someone has an eye to the exit --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- and how to make the exit look a little less unattractive?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that a fair way of putting it?
A. I think so.
Q. Okay.

In terms of the progress to be made following that meeting, can we turn on in the same file to tab 115. Behind divider 115, we have Major Cox's SIO Team 1 policy file report:

"The investigation will now move forward and in accordance with the IRT report recommendations and within the framework of the recommendations."

In other words, at least as far as the enquiry into photographs is concerned, Major Cox has said they must be looked at by an expert --

A. Yeah.
Q. -- and he now understands they're not now going to be because the IRT report recommendation is you can't show them to an expert until you've finished the scoping; is that fair?
A. I'm not sure that was my understanding -- I think they wanted -- I go back to this firsthand account where they wanted an explanation about the photographs before they were submitted to an expert.
Q. In September 2017, he had said in a policy file report that the photographs from the SSE must be looked at by an expert.
A. Yes.
Q. The IRT had come along in November --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- in a set of recommendations approved in December, and they had said: don't show them to an expert until you've finished scoping the DDOs?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. He now writes in January, "I've got to do what the IRT recommends"?
A. Yes.
Q. In other words, an expert's not on the menu?
A. At that time, yes, correct.
Q. At that time.

A. Yes.
Q. Let's be clear, an expert never got on the menu, did it?

So if there were any doubt as to how he came by that information, if one looks to the "Reason":

"The IRT was presented to PM(A) at the Executive Group [which we've just looked at] on 14 December."

A. Yeah.
Q. "The records of decision, whereby PM(A) accepted the recommendations, was received on 9 January. These recommendations form the direction from PM(A) to Gold and therefore to me as Silver, as to the direction of the investigation and will be followed accordingly."
A. Yes.
Q. Recognising this is a direction through the chain of command --
A. Yes.
Q. -- he, Major Cox, is accepting as an order to him --
A. Yes.
Q. -- "You will act in accordance with the IRT" --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- open brackets "(despite the fact you don't agree with that course of action)", close brackets?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that a fair way of looking at?
A. Yes.

Q. Okay. If we turn on in the same file, please, if you bear with me, to divider 121. This takes us on four months to May 2018, and the reason for asking you about this policy file report is you'll remember when we looked at the IRT recommendations, they had said no expert until the scoping had been concluded?
A. Yeah.
Q. In May 2018, what is recorded here by -- I'm so sorry, by Major Cox --
A. Yes.
Q. The SIO for Team 1, is:

"Sir Jon Murphy will be briefed and updated on the ten DDOs ..."

A. Yes.
Q. Now, Sir Jon Murphy is not the IRT --
A. No, correct.
Q. -- and he is the mentor for the PM(A)?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. He's not an investigator?
A. Yes.
Q. He's not in charge of setting investigative direction?
A. No, correct.
Q. He's not responsible for strategy --
A. No.
Q. -- or tactical direction --

A. No.
Q. -- and he certainly isn't involved in the granular decision making for the investigators?
A. Yes.
Q. But he needs to be briefed --
A. Yes.
Q. -- himself --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- on the progress. Is that of the scoping that there's been?
A. I would assume so, based upon the timeline for -- yeah.
Q. If we look to the "Reason":

"As PM(A) mentor ..."

So as the adviser to the PM(A):

"... it was agreed following the briefing on 3 May that Sir Jon should be briefed prior to the next Gold Group in order that he [that's Sir Jon's] could provide advice on the future of the investigation and enable PM(A) to make decisions at a strategic level."

If we read it as it is set out on the page might that suggest that Sir Jon Murphy is now advising on actually the investigation and its progress?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. So he's not just the mentor to the PM(A); he's not just a sounding board from the chief officer as to how to do

business, how to think business-like and how to take the right decisions; he, Sir Jon Murphy, is in the granular detail of what future the investigation might have?
A. Yes.
Q. Does that strike you as a bit odd, that the mentor --
A. Yes, I don't know the conversation between the PM(A) and him about that request, at least I don't recall that, so yes, but I see your point, yes.
Q. Thinking about it now, would you be slightly concerned if the PM(A)'s mentor were being given a private brief on investigative details, so that he could then go back without any investigator present and advise the PM(A) on the future of your investigation?
A. The point -- I'll go back to that again -- I don't know what the request was behind it but yes, I mean, I suppose to ask another way, if the PM said, "I want you to go down and look at them and give me your read-out on it", is that an unreasonable request? Taking advice from the advisers around you, yes, yeah.
Q. Taking advice from your mentor in a mentoring capacity, that, would you agree, is different from taking investigative advice --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- on the future of the investigation --
A. Yeah.

Q. -- from someone who has no part to play in the investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. If you really want to decide on the investigation, isn't the person to go to Major Cox or Lieutenant Constable Harvey because --
A. Correct, or yourself to come down -- yeah.
Q. Major Cox is there day in, day out?
A. Yes.
Q. You are there two or three times a month, and Sir Jon Murphy is there --
A. Three times and then or four times in the --
Q. In the entire course of his time?
A. Yes.
Q. Right. So the person to speak to is most likely Major Cox and, if not him, if one is paying deference to rank and the chain of command, to you?
A. Yeah.
Q. Is there a risk that, by having advice from a mentor on his own, from the Gold Group involving different people but not the SIO, is there a danger that actually, what's happening is no one knows what the PM(A) is being told because people aren't in all these meetings?
A. I suppose, yes, yes. Yes, I mean --
Q. It may well be that Sir Jon Murphy was on the money when

it came to investigative decisions?
A. Yeah.
Q. Despite the time given since he'd last done it --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- he may have been spot on and he may have given perfect advice. But you, as the Gold Commander, aren't even there to hear it and say, "Oh, no, Sir Jon, I'm so sorry, actually, for DDO number 3, you do need to remember the following" or, for example, "I think you might have forgotten, Sir Jon, we do know that the OC for that particular sub-unit that dealt with the DDOs is said to have overseen a practice of all fighting-age males on target being killed".

There are bits of information that you might have been able to add --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- that you're not able to add because you're not there?
A. And I don't know if I was there for that actual briefing. I can't recall it. But if I was there would have been a record, yeah.
Q. That's a briefing prior -- that's a briefing prior to the Gold Group?
A. Yeah.
Q. You might have been in the Gold Group but were you in the previous --

A. I can't recall if I was in the briefing when Sir Jon was down there looking at all the other DDOs.
Q. In terms of the mentoring session that appears to have taken place, which is foreshadowed in that note, if we look in the same file you've got, to tab 123, please, sir. The mentoring session does appear to have you present at it on this occasion and that may help jog your memory?
A. Yes.
Q. Sir Jon Murphy, Brigadier Neal, Colonel Morris, Lieutenant Colonel Harvey and Major Cox?
A. Yeah.
Q. Then if one looks, item 2:
"Gold Commander [that's you] presented a number of draft questions to Sir Jon Murphy for consideration at the next Gold Group."
A. Yeah.
Q. What are they, please?
A. Is that what its referred to? I don't --
Q. Okay --
A. I don't recall what those questions were.
Q. Can we look at what is suggested as the agenda for the Gold Group, which is set out following the mentoring session.
A. Yeah.

Q. The agenda is as follows:
"A Form 3 in regards to Bang and Saifullah has been submitted asking if the office of the DSP agrees that the EST is not met."
A. Yeah.
Q. In other words, the Directorate of Service Prosecutions, the Head of the SPA, his office has been written to, to ask whether you have enough evidence to refer someone for charge. That's in respect of Operation Tyburn.
A. Yes, correct.
Q. At that stage, you've got no forensic evidence, correct?
A. *(Unclear)*
Q. You've got no ITS1 to look for the relevant data?
A. Yeah.
Q. You've not been able to speak to Mr Bang, thus far --
A. Correct.
Q. -- because of his ill health?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. If you're submitting a Form 3, is that with a view to closing down the investigation?
A. Yes, that's Form 3, yes.
Q. Because if they say -- I mean, the Form 3 has to be, "We don't think we've got enough evidence".
A. Yes.
Q. "Do you agree?"

A. Yes.
Q. If the DSP says "I/we agree", that means there is nothing more to investigate, unless new evidence comes to light and the evidence kick starts again?
A. If they were of the opinion that you need to look at A, B or C, depending on what that was, yes.
Q. So do we understand that as at May 2018, it was the intention of Operation Northmoor to ask the SPA, the Service Prosecuting Authority, in effect, "Can we stop"?
A. Yeah.
Q. So that was going to be a shutdown to the principal unlawful killing allegation that you've been looking at for three and a half years?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. Did you give a consideration to saying, "Actually, we're still waiting to get ITS1, can someone send another nicely worded email to the DSF"?
A. Again, from memory, *(unclear)*.
Q. It seems that not only is the Form 3 going to be submitted but that Leigh Day, LDC, Leigh Day & Co, the solicitors representing Mr Bang and Mr Saifullah, will be made aware that at present the EST is not met. In other words, you're already jumping the gun on what the DSP is going to say, that the conclusion here is that actually the evidential sufficiency test isn't going to

be met, so Leigh Day can be written to and told the same?
A. I think saying "at present" ...
Q. Yes, "At present, there's nothing to look at, so we're not looking any more". That's what that means, isn't it? It's writing off the Operation Tyburn investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. The scoping of the other ten objectives is still ongoing.
A. Yes.
Q. "... but having been made aware of the progress so far, [the direction is]:
"A further priority Objective should be investigated to see if any tangible evidence exists. If not, then a decision can be made to suspend all further investigation until such time as positive evidence is forthcoming."
Tangible evidence, in other words a statement from someone who saw a killing or who saw a weapon being dropped?
A. Yes, again --
Q. So if there isn't a prospect of tangible evidence on one of those ten DDOs, all of them are going to go into the bin?

A. Potentially yes.
Q. Well, it's not potentially. If no, "a decision can be made to suspend all further investigation". In other words, Team 1 will stop --
A. Bearing that "if", yes.
Q. Okay, you're present at this meeting?
A. Yes.
Q. You know at the back of the mind you want the photographs to be looked at?
A. Correcting.
Q. You know at the back of your mind you haven't got ITS1, which you wanted to be looked at?
A. Yeah.
Q. You know in the back of your mind you're suspicious about the involvement of N1201 and N1141?
A. Yes.
Q. At which point did you put your hand up and say, "I don't think we should be sending in a Form 3"?
A. That was discussed a number of times, about the -- at what juncture do you decide there is or there isn't the evidence to support carrying on or putting in a Form 3, and it obviously -- at that time, looking at what evidence we had in respect of Operation Tyburn, and especially after the interview of Saifullah, where obviously it was thought he would give a firsthand

account but, actually, through what he said, he was locked in a room at the time of the shootings so therefore he didn't actually see it.
Q. In terms of the Form 3, okay, did you agree at that stage that all reasonable and proportionate lines of enquiry had been completed?
A. To do the Form 3, must have been done at that time, yeah.
Q. What, despite the fact there was no expert review of photographs, despite the fact there was no ITS1? Had you been out and spoken to the APU?
A. With the --
Q. Had you been out and spoken to the APU?
A. Looking at the dates, I know we had people go out there to attempt to locate the APU but I would have to look at the timeline to see if that was at that juncture or that carried on.
Q. It was almost a year later in 2019.
A. All right.
Q. So you hadn't spoken to the APU, you hadn't got ITS1, you didn't have an expert?
A. Yeah.
Q. But you thought at that time all reasonable and proportionate lines of enquiry --
A. At that time, yes.

Q. You believed all reasonable and proportionate lines of enquiry have been completed?
A. But on that date, you know, if you're saying because we hadn't spoken to the APU, at what date was the approval given to get our people out to theatre because there was restrictions and it was the security status in theatre didn't allow our people to be deployed out to interview the APU.
Q. But it was a fundamental part of the enquiry, wasn't it, to go and speak to the Afghan Partner Unit --
A. Yes.
Q. -- to see whether they could either support --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- or say, you know, "You've got this completely wrong"?
A. Yeah.
Q. Again, an opportunity for possibly independent evidence --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- to lift the cloud of suspicion, or possibly to justify the referral of individuals?
A. Yes.
Q. Obviously, you have to get to Afghanistan?
A. Yeah.
Q. Undoubtedly that was difficult and dangerous, and it is not the same as dispatching an officer up to Northumbria

to have a chat with someone?
A. Yes.
Q. It was much more complicated than that but that was a major line of enquiry that hadn't even started. You hadn't spoken to anyone from the APU at this point?
A. Yeah.
Q. But you were happy to sign off on a Form 3 concluding that the evidential sufficiency test hadn't been met?
A. Yes, which didn't preclude that -- as and when the Northmoor team got to theatre and if they spoke to members of the APU in respect of Tyburn and said, "We saw this", then ...
Q. Are you actually suggesting that, after the investigation into Tyburn was closed down because the DSP agreed there was no evidence, therefore nothing to look at, that you would still send members of the RMP out to Afghanistan, into a theatre of conflict, to risk their lives and the lives of the force protection team to possibly --
A. If there was an opportunity to speak to the APU personnel from Tyburn, yes.
Q. Well, in which case you didn't need a Form 3, because you still enquiries you wanted to take?
A. Okay.
Q. The Form 3 was pointless.

A. Okay.
Q. Well, that must be right. You only submit a Form 3 so you stop investigating and, if you don't want to stop investigating, you don't ask for a Form 3. So which is it?
A. The Form 3 was submitted at that juncture.
Q. Is that because it was assessed by the IRT that there wasn't any credible information, that the PM(A) agreed, and with a Form 3 that would give legal credence to the end?
A. Yes.
Q. Because the army's senior legal adviser has signed off on it?
A. Yes.
Q. The Head of The Independent Prosecuting Authority has said, "Nothing to see here, so I, as PM(A), can say 'line in the sand'"?
A. Potentially, yes.
Q. This in May 2018?
A. '18, yes.
Q. Looking back now, does it feel that that was a little premature given the --
A. Well --
Q. -- the outstanding lines of enquiry?
A. Yes, yes.

Q. Can we look, please, to divider 124, which sets out the briefing note on the progress of the IRT recommendations. In terms of the scoping, which is apparently ongoing and has been now for months, and months, and months, look, please, to page 124-6. In respect of the scoping of the ten similar incidents, the "Update" is:

"No interviews or statements of witnesses have so far been recorded for the other 10 DDOs. Only background information has been recovered to date.

"Minimal additional work other than stated at Reference C has been achieved on this to date, with the expression of war gaming the investigative strategies."

A. Okay.
Q. In terms of progress in investigation-light, would it be fair to suggest you hadn't got very far?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. This is, at the time, when you corporately are looking to have the end of Tyburn signed off --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- and possibly the end of all the investigations into the DDOs completely signed off --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- because you've got nowhere in the other ten?
A. Yeah.

Q. Okay. Look to the bottom of the page, please.

"Without submitting the images to forensic specialists, it is not deemed that the scoping has been fully conducted of the other similar incidents."

That is an alarming observation, is it not?
A. Yes.
Q. So the warning to you and anyone who reads this document, is: the scoping is only going to be even partway complete once experts have looked at the photographs?
A. Yes.
Q. Then:

"Therefore (dependent on the Gold Group direction) ..."

We remind ourselves, the Gold Group is the PM(A); the IAG; on occasions DJEP; it's got the IRT recommendations to look at. So it's not sitting round with the SIOs and discussing the best way forwards; this is upper-upper management, isn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay:

"Therefore (dependent on the Gold Group direction) it is the intent of Northmoor to eventually submit the images for Objectives 2 and 1 for forensic analysis."
A. Yes.

Q. That's because the scoping won't be complete until that happens but that, as you've already told us, never happened. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So can we agree that the scoping certainly of Objectives 2 and 1, which were the prioritised remaining ten DDOs, that was never completed?
A. Never completed, sorry?
Q. Without submitting the images --
A. Sorry, yes.
Q. -- to forensic specialists, the scoping has not been fully conducted?
A. Yes, correct, that's correct.
Q. The images were never submitted, therefore those DDOs were never fully scoped?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. When it came to regarding Northmoor as having pursued all reasonable and proportionate lines of enquiry, did you have to put in brackets and small lettering afterwards, "except the forensic enquiries for the scoping of the ten DDOs, which was never finished"? I mean, how can Northmoor be signed off as having pursued all reasonable and proportionate lines of enquiry, when your own team are telling you, "We will have only fully conducted the scoping once an expert's

looked at the photographs"?
I mean, it can't be agreed, can it, that all reasonable and proportionate lines of enquiry were looked at?
A. With -- yeah, within the constraints of the direction given, correct, yes.
Q. Okay. The next meeting of the Executive Group was 6 June. Again, in case there's any misunderstanding, we need only look to page 164 in the same section -- sorry, page 10 in tab 124 that we're looking at. That gives the agenda for the Executive Group. We can see there, Form 3 for Op Tyburn:
"LDC to be informed EST is unlikely to be met.
"+10 in scope objectives ..."
It's the same as before: if we don't get anything that's tangible, we won't be looking any further.
A. Yeah.
Q. As to the speaking notes that are relevant to that particular Executive Group, look on, please, two pages to 124-12.
The Executive Group has PM(A); AH Op Northmoor; AH Policy; Gold, [Sir Jon Murphy; Andrew Cayley, he's the DSP --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- Darren Read, his deputy at the SPA; Stephen Kell, the

lawyer attached to Northmoor to give advice; Mark Ellison, the independent legal expert; and Peter Ryan, the Director of DJEP?
A. Yeah.
Q. Were you surprised that the Director of DJEP was present in a meeting at which the future and the investigation strategy for Op Northmoor was going to be discussed?
A. Yes, that was -- had been, I believe, discussed prior to that meeting itself because, obviously, he was at a number of the Gold Group meetings historically, and, you know, whether that was right or whether that was wrong.
Q. You said in your witness statement that you didn't really understand what his role was?
A. Correct.
Q. He's certainly not an investigator?
A. No.
Q. He's not trained in any way as a policeman?
A. Yeah.
Q. It's your understanding he wasn't a lawyer or present to give any sort of legal advice?
A. Yeah.
Q. He was there as regards policy, or even politics, a sort of interface --
A. Yeah, yeah.

Q. -- between the Ministry of Defence and Government perhaps?
A. I suppose, yeah.
Q. Okay.
A. I suppose his department was also the head for the Systemic Issues Working Group and I don't know if that was -- I don't know the rationale for him being there but he was included in the thing, sir, yeah.
Q. Again, you weren't investigating systemic issues, were you?
A. *(The witness nodded)*
Q. That had been written out of the terms of reference before you joint?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. But it seems that Peter Ryan is present at what might be an important meeting --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- for the future of Op Northmoor?
A. Yes.
Q. I mean, would it be unfair to observe it's quite a big cast list for this meeting?
A. Yes, that's fair.
Q. So you've got legal, policy political and then senior figure heads for --
A. Yeah.

Q. -- provost Marshal (Army) itself and also for Northmoor?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. So if we look to entry 2:
"Purpose [of the meeting] is to highlight the environment and the factors that have been playing out in the strategic and operational space."
Which, I mean, that may be very impressive speak, but if we try to translate it into something that might make a little more sense: public scrutiny, parliamentary business and finance are the sub-points.
A. Yeah.
Q. In terms of the progress of the criminal investigation into allegations of unlawful killing made against the British Armed Forces, does public scrutiny, Parliamentary business or finance have anything to do with the agenda?
A. I suppose it does but tenuously, yes.
Q. I mean, it's pretty tenuous, isn't it?
A. Um -- I -- I'm sorry, from memory, I'm trying to recall the -- strategy, coordination, media, people, logs. So it would come under part of that, yes.
Q. In terms of the investigative activity, so a rehearsal of the course of the investigation now being provided to all those present, if one looks to the penultimate bullet point on our page 12, there are several lines of

enquiry still to be bottomed out?
A. Yes.
Q. FMV footage?
A. Yeah.
Q. Support staff?
A. Yeah.
Q. APU personnel?
A. Yeah.
Q. You might also add to that ITS1 and expert evidence. It's not there but they're both outstanding lines of enquiry, aren't they?
A. Yeah.
Q. So a Form 3 has already been submitted to the DSP in order for him to agree possibly with the conclusion there's no evidence?
A. Yes.
Q. But you, who are giving this investigative activity update, are making it very clear that there are outstanding lines of enquiry?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. Presumably, you are briefing them to this austere company because you want those lines of enquiry to be bottomed out?
A. Correct.
Q. You don't want a Form 3 submitted; you want this done

before the form 3 goes?
A. I'm -- again, from recollection, and yes, I recall having to do the input but, yes.
Q. You're agreeing that you would have wanted those lines of enquiry --
A. Yes.
Q. -- bottomed out before the Form 3 went?
A. Yes, yeah.
Q. Because that would enable you with genuine confidence to submit a Form 3 that said: all reasonable and proportionate lines of enquiry have been followed?
A. Correct.
Q. Because you don't want a thread that someone can pull on in due course --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- like me at a public inquiry, to say "Aha, why didn't you look at that?"
A. Yes.
Q. When you identified those outstanding lines of enquiry, did anyone at the table say, "Actually, that's quite an important point there, Colonel Harvey, I think we need to do that"?
A. From memory, I believe -- and again, I would have to look -- I think Mr Ellison, Mark Ellison, voiced something similar.

SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Can I ask, why didn't you look at that? Why didn't you look at these lines of enquiry and complete them?
A. They were still ongoing at that time.
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: But the Form 3 was signed?
A. Yeah, but in respect of all the other ten, as well, the Form 3 was to -- the Form 3, I believe, was put forward to the DSP to give his opinion, ie is it right and proper to stop at that point, rightly or wrongly?
MR GLASGOW: If we just look on to divider 125, please, and to page 2 behind it. Colonel Morris set out an email articulating "the actions that came out of the Gold Group this morning".
So it would seem a sort of minute of the meeting.
A. Yes.
Q. If we look to the third bullet point down, the Form 3 SPA consultation.
A. Yes.
Q. "Consultation with the SPA to continue but any Form 3 mustn't ask if EST is not met until the end of the investigation."
A. Yeah.
Q. "Agree the lines of enquiry that still need to be done and possibly use a joint investigative strategy document although this may not be done until after the Deep

Dive."
A. Yeah.
Q. So although a Form 3 had been submitted, presumably in consultation with PM(A) -- is that right?
A. From recollection --
Q. Would you have been able to submit a Form 3 in respect of an unlawful killing allegation made against UK Special Forces without --
A. Correct.
Q. -- the sign-off from PM(A)?
A. Correct.
Q. So PM(A) has approved the submission of a Form 3?
A. Yeah.
Q. It would seem he was premature because, whilst you can discuss matters with the SPA, you mustn't ask the question: has the evidential sufficiency test been met or not?
Were you relieved when the outcome of the Gold Group was to have the PM(A)'s intent paused?
A. I don't recall how I felt but that does follow.
Q. Yes, because you knew there were outstanding lines of enquiry to follow and it seems as if you were being told, until the end of the investigation, you don't send in a Form 3 --
A. Correct.

Q. -- because the Form 3 ends it?
A. Yes.
Q. Right. Okay. In terms of what's going on next, leave aside the Deep Dive but, if you look to the three of the four lower bullet points, the one starting "PM(A) to meet with" --
A. Yes.
Q. -- four up from the bottom:
"PM(A) to meet with DJEP next week to discuss actions when investigation dials down and centre activity needs to dial up."
In other words, the PM(A), it seems, has an eye still to the close down of Northmoor. So the Form 3 may be being pulled back because it's premature --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- and the lines of enquiry may still be being proceeded with but the PM(A) is still meeting with DJEP to give them the heads-up that "We're actually dialling back and you need to ratchet up because it's you, DJEP, that will be in the firing line when we shut down"? Is that an unfair way of reading that?
A. Yes, I suppose, yeah.
Q. Yes, it's unfair or yes, it's fair?
A. I think that's fair. Yes.
Q. Okay. Then the next bullet point:

"PM(A) to meet with DSF to update on progress of investigation."
Now, you shake your head. Is that in surprise?
A. Um, yes, as to why.
Q. Why on earth, when you are investigating Special Forces, would be Chief Officer of the Royal Military Police be briefing the Head of UK Special Forces about the progress of that investigation? Might that be considered ill-advised?
A. I'm not sure what the rationale was. If you're asking me to interpret that, perhaps he ...
Q. Well, you were present at the meeting when this was decided. That's why I'm asking you this, sir.
A. I can't explain that.
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Can you give your opinion as to the appropriateness of that action?
A. Um, if you looked at any other unit in the British army, would you inform the CO of the progress -- the commanding officer of that unit of the progress of an investigation? Yes, you would but you would do it by way of service police report updates.
I very much doubt it would be a personal visit to the commanding officer of that unit.
MR GLASGOW: I'm so sorry, sir, you were going to ask --
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: You go ahead.

MR GLASGOW: But the fact that the Chief Officer of the RMP is paying a personal visit to the DSF, wherever he may be, does it surprise you that that high level of shared information is taking place?
A. It's unusual yes. Yeah.
Q. Is that because it's Special Forces?
A. Potentially, yes.
Q. And because they're being given an extra level of engagement that other regiments might not be given?
A. I think that's probably, yes, accurate.
Q. Looking back at it now -- and of course everything may appear different with the benefit of hindsight -- but do you think someone should have said to the PM(A) "I'm not sure this is a very good idea, sir. It might look to an outsider who doesn't appreciate the command chain, the need for authority or respect, that this is a level of service towards the SF which is over and above the norm"?
A. Yes, I would have hoped that, actually, if the advisers he had around him would have been alive to those natural consequences of the -- those decisions.
Q. Well, one of those advisers was his mentor, Sir Jon Murphy, and Sir Jon Murphy had been present in this meeting when this action had been agreed upon.
A. Yes.

Q. So if he, Sir Jon Murphy, had understood what was being suggested, it would appear he had aligned himself with this as a course of action?
A. Yes.
Q. I mean, what about you, with respect, as an adviser to the PM(A), because he is not an investigator?
A. Yeah, I think that goes back to -- sorry. No.
Q. No, no. You are his Gold Commander --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- in charge of an investigation that you want to see concluded wherever it ends up?
A. Yeah.
Q. For that process to be independent and objectively fair, did you say to him "With the greatest of respect, Brigadier, it doesn't look good if you keep running to the DSF to brief him on the course of my investigation when I'm actually investigating his unit or one of his units"?
A. Not in those particular words but, obviously, yes, I think it was raised and said a number of times about being careful, and I think it goes back to, ie what would be revealed to DSF and to that organisation, and the appropriateness of having those discussions before all lines of enquiry had been bottomed out, yes.
Q. Do you remember now what Brigadier Neal's response was?

Was it "You can trust me to do the right thing"? Was it "You don't need to worry"? Was it "This is nothing to do with you"?

A. I don't remember a specific response but Brigadier Neal has his way with -- yeah.

Q. To your knowledge, were there any records kept of those meetings so that you or anyone else might review what was shared by PM(A) with DSF about the progress of the investigation?

A. Not as far as I'm aware, no. I don't recall ever having sight of any briefing between Brigadier Neal and DSF, and -- or DJEP, for that matter of fact, no.

Q. Might that highlight the risk that this sort of meeting exposes Northmoor to, when there is no record that you're aware of and no way of knowing, until such record is produced, what was said? There is a risk to the --

A. Yes.

Q. -- integrity of the investigation --

A. Yes.

Q. -- to the independence of the investigation?

A. Sorry, I'm trying to think of an argument for. But you're, yes, correct. Correct. There's a risk, of course there is.

Q. So when Northmoor is signed off as independent, do we have to put, again, in small typeface in brackets

afterwards "Except on the occasions when the PM(A) went to have a private chat with the DSF"?

A. I'm not sure what you want me to answer in respect of that.

Q. Well, do you agree or disagree with that? If one is looking at the independence --

A. On face value now, it doesn't look correct, does it?

Q. Objectively, on face value, Northmoor doesn't look like --

A. I would --

Q. -- an independent investigation? Okay. That, of course, does not detract from your view of the work that you and your team did but, to an outsider --

A. Yes, correct.

Q. -- it would be fair for --

A. I can --

Q. -- conclude it doesn't look very objective or very independent?

A. I can see that, yes.

Q. All right. Could we please, with your help, turn to look to the next IRT assurance, which occurred in July 2018, to file 1 and divider 15, please, Colonel.

A. 15?

Q. Tab 15, file 1. The pink pages begin on page 3 and, simply by anyway of introduction, on 30 July 2018,

Philip Jones and Cliff Evans returned for a re-assurance visit. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. If we turn to deal with some of the aspects that may be potentially relevant to the questions I've already asked you, but again, as with any document, if there is a passage someone would like me to deal with, of course I will. On to page 4, please, sir. 4 in the bottom in blue, do you see "Response" is set out at 2.5?

A. 2 point -- yes.

Q. "The photographs in both Objective 2 and 1 at the present time remain a concern; there is no associated continuity with regards to whom, when and where they were taken. Consequently, they are considered by the IRT not to be viable evidence at present. Lengthy discussion between the SIO and IRT has revealed that there is little prospect of gaining this continuity independently and in a timely fashion."

A. Yeah.

Q. So they, the IRT, seem to be saying because you don't know who took the photographs, when they were taken or where they were taken, they don't amount to evidence?

A. That's what they were saying, yes.

Q. But you were sure that they were linked to the DDOs they had been submitted as part of?

A. Yeah.

Q. And you --

A. On face value, it's hard to argue against that, yes.

Q. Well, you had the metadata --

A. Yeah.

Q. -- which, if the computer is right, marries up with the DDO data of time, date and place?

A. Yeah.

Q. Would it be fair to suggest that the IRT were completely wrong when they reached that conclusion?

A. Looking at that, yes. Yeah.

Q. On to page 5, please, and to response 2(f), and reminding ourselves that the IRT are of the view there is no evidence that even a crime has been committed at this point:

"Upon completion of the above interviews [that's further speaking to N1201 and N1799] it would be prudent and proportionate to invite Special Forces participants in both Objectives 2 and 1 for interview under caution. The IRT are of the view that there is insufficient intelligence/evidence to arrest."

But they do seem to be of the view that there is sufficient intelligence or evidence to interview them under caution. Does that make any sense to you, sir?

A. No.

Q. No?
A. No.
Q. I mean, if their conclusion is a crime hasn't been committed, you don't need to caution anyone before interviewing them, do you?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. Might --
A. I'm trying to remember the -- I can't recall the conversations around that, so reading that paragraph in isolation, I'm trying to remember why that would have been suggested or, in the discussion with the SIO, why that would be the recommendation, so, yeah. I'm struggling to understand.
Q. At the time, did anyone struggle to understand what the IRT were talking about?
A. *(No audible answer)*
Q. Do you remember there being discussion within the team, "The IRT are saying we've got no evidence but we can interview people under caution"?
A. There was, yes. Not that particular point but there was obviously a lot of the SIO and the team leaders either not agreeing or trying to argue the case against their recommendations. I do remember that, yes.
Q. The SIO and the team leaders didn't agree with the IRT?
A. Yeah.

Q. You understood why they didn't agree?
A. Yeah.
Q. Presumably, you sided with your investigators --
A. Yes.
Q. -- because you agreed with their courses of action and decisions?
A. Yeah -- not -- that wouldn't be a *carte blanche* but, yes, in respect of that.
Q. If they did something off the wall foolish, you wouldn't sign up to it?
A. Correct.
Q. But, in terms of the lines of enquiry they were pursuing, you supported them in that --
A. Reasonable.
Q. -- presumably because they were, as you've just said, reasonable?
A. Yes.
Q. So the SIO and the team leaders don't agree with the IRT?
A. Yeah.
Q. You, it seems, don't agree with the IRT but the PM(A) does agree with the IRT, so you and the SIOs and the team leaders are ordered to do what the IRT say?
A. That's pretty accurate, yes.
Q. It's not an ideal position to be in, is it, when you're

trying to manage an investigation at the seriousness and scale of Op Northmoor?
A. No.
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Logically, Colonel, if you are going to recommend, as they did, that two witnesses are interviewed under caution, logically that can only be on the basis that you accept that there is a crime to be investigated --
A. Yes, sir, correct.
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: -- when they appear to have been saying, all the way along, that there wasn't?
A. Yes, it does seem to be contrary to -- yes.
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: You don't understand that?
A. That particularly, no.
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Thank you.
MR GLASGOW: In terms of their concluding paragraph, which is the last matter I'll deal with before we have a break this afternoon, on to page 15-6, please, Colonel and it's paragraph 3.2:

"It has been agreed between Gold and the IRT that a further assurance visit should take place if a positive outcome is achieved in regard to the proposed activity into Operations 2 and 1. Conversely, if no substantial progress has been made, the IRT should return with a view to developing an 'exit strategy'."

A. Mm, yes.
Q. So there's only one of two options left now.
A. Yeah.
Q. If you managed to get some information on Objectives 1 and 2, you might go a bit further forward?
A. Yes.
Q. But, otherwise, it's time to bow out? I mean, an exit strategy means that, doesn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. It's not wave goodbye to the IRT; it's Northmoor stopping. It's the RMP exiting Northmoor, isn't it?
A. I suppose it could be -- I wasn't sure if it was meaning in to two and one but, yes, I suppose it could be Northmoor.
Q. Okay, and this is in August 2018?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you worried at the time that the IRT appeared to be moving you towards an exit strategy, rather than ongoing investigative strategies?
A. I suppose my memory of that is -- because I've always been of the view that you would follow the evidence irrespective, therefore if somebody told me to stop but actually I had -- and I'll use the term again "tangible evidence", if that's appropriate -- I wouldn't stop, so therefore -- unless ordered to do so.

Q. I mean, in terms of the not stopping, you'd identified, hadn't you, lines of enquiry you wanted to pursue.
A. Yes.
Q. We saw those set out in the Executive Group agenda.
A. Yeah.
Q. In addition to those matters, there was also, you'd agreed, ITS1 and the possibility of expert evidence?
A. Yeah.
Q. Did it come to this: that you almost were going -- you wanted to ignore what the IRT were saying because you had lines of enquiry to pursue?
A. I suppose, being professional about it, you would listen to what they had to say, whether you agreed or disagreed, voice your opinion. Their recommendations were their recommendations and it was for the Brigadier to either agree or disagree and we would follow that -- the direction given. So --
Q. Once the Brigadier agreed with everything the IRT said, that direction was set in stone?
A. Correct. Yes, correct.
MR GLASGOW: Sir, would that be a convenient moment for a break?
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: It would, Mr Glasgow. Thank you. We'll break, please, for 20 minutes. All right.
(3.14 pm)

(A short break)
(3.34 pm)
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Yes, Mr Glasgow.
MR GLASGOW: May it please you, sir.

Colonel, in file 3, if you have it available to you, could you turn, please, to divider 171. Behind 171, at page 12, you should find a set of typed briefing notes for the visit of the DCGS on 27 November 2018. It identifies in the very first bullet point there are "still a number of investigative lines of enquiry to follow", which include interviewing UK personnel, serving and veteran, and the APU, and the FMV footage, and we could, of course, still add to that ITS1 and the experts.

We see that what is then set out, three bullet points down "Gold Group", do you see that? "Gold Group to convene"?

A. Yes.
Q. "Gold Group to convene in December to advise PM(A) if we are at the stage to allow him to make decision on referrals or not and way forward with +2 and remaining 8."

Now, the referral or not, that's: are you going to refer people to charge or not?

A. I assume that's what it's *(unclear)*, yeah.

Q. So what is anticipated and what it's being said to the DCGS is the following month, so in December, there will be a Gold Group meeting in order to enable PM(A) to say, "Are we referring or aren't we?", which means are we referring -- so you're sending somebody up for potential charge -- or are you sending in a Form 3 to say no charge at all?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. Okay. That would be on Tyburn because what did is then to be determined is the way forward with the +2, which must be the prioritised remaining DDOs, Objectives 1 and 2 --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and then the remaining eight.
A. Yes.
Q. Would it appear from this briefing note that DCGS is being told: before the end of the year, we're going to know whether this is all coming to a halt or not?
A. Yes.
Q. Right. Then it continues:

"Once any decision made to conclude investigation need to construct narrative and ensure close down is done to protect reputation, including disclosure responsibilities, future of stored material and be prepared for ICC approach."

Now, the only reason one would need to construct a narrative and ensure that the close-down is done to protect reputation is because a decision is made that Northmoor is ending.

A. That's a natural conclusion, yeah.
Q. So whether one is preparing for the possibility --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- or expecting that as an outcome, is unclear.
A. Yes.
Q. But the information being imparted to DCGS is "This is likely to come to an end as an investigation next month". Is that --
A. That's fair.
Q. Is that how you felt?
A. No.
Q. No?
A. Again, this goes back to their -- I suppose the prudence of why are you saying this externally, if that hasn't been said internally first?
Q. Again, everyone will have a different focus --
A. Yes.
Q. -- they need to concentrate on but, from an investigative and RMP standpoint, were you worried about reputational harm --
A. No.

Q. -- or were you concerned about concluding the investigation --
A. The investigation was correct.
Q. -- wherever the evidence led you?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. In the same divider, could we please turn to tab 139 and behind divider 139 at page 3, you have the Gold Group's speaking and briefing notes for the meeting that was foreshadowed to the DCGS in November. If you look to the introductions and opening remarks from PM(A) and to bullet point 2:

"Purpose of today's meeting is to get an update on progress of the various lines of enquiry in all ongoing ops and following advice from the 'collective' ..."

Who is the collective, please?
A. I should imagine he's referring to all those bulleted at the top of the page.
Q. So not only senior figures in Northmoor but also the SPA and DJEP?
A. Yes.
Q. So legal, policy, political and investigatory?
A. *(The witness nodded)*
Q. Okay:

"... following advice from the 'collective' allow me to give direction on those lines of enquiry; perhaps how

long we might continue to pursue each line of enquiry, noting that some -- such as tracking APU members -- have been going on a long time; and perhaps identifying a timetable for completion."

Is there a sense that the PM(A) is looking towards the end of Op Northmoor, certainly by December 2018.
A. Reading that, yes, yes.
Q. If there was any doubt, the next bullet point:

"I view this as teeing up the next Gold Group in February/March with a view to then bringing back the IRT to conduct an exit review and allow a subsequent discontinuance decision. But that, of course, depends on the backbrief from Gold band what evidence that might come to light in the course of the remaining witness interviews."

So there is that small caveat at the end.
A. Yeah.
Q. However, it would seem that PM(A) is of the view that by the Gold Group in February/March, all that's left is an exit review from the IRT and a discontinuance decision?
A. I think it's fair to --
Q. Is there a sense that he doesn't have much faith in your team to uncover tangible evidence to support referral?
A. Yes, I suppose that's a view(?)
Q. But he knew that you had a team --

A. Still ongoing, yes.
Q. But he knew you had a team waiting to travel --
A. Correct.
Q. -- to Afghanistan --
A. Correct.
Q. -- to a conflict zone --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- to interview potential members of the APU who might assist one way or the other about the very serious allegations you were looking at?
A. Yes.
Q. But his eye was to discontinuance?
A. Yes.
Q. In any way, did you feel that he wanted to ensure that Northmoor was discontinued before the end of his term as PM(A)?
A. I think that's probably a conclusion from -- yes.
Q. As to that foreshadowed Gold Group meeting in March, could we turn on to tab 142, please. In terms of where you might be going, the agenda at page 1 identifies in 3(c):

"IRT assurance if assessment negative (exit strategy)."

Back one page, I do apologise. It's my fault. 3(c), do you see that, sir, on our page 142-1?

A. Yes.
Q. "The IRT assurance, if assessment negative (exit strategy)."
A. Yeah.
Q. I don't mean to be unfair to the IRT but they haven't been very positive thus far, have they?
A. No.
Q. So the chances of them changing their view is slim to none?
A. I think that's probably fair, unless, obviously, the APU garnered fruit *(unclear)*.
Q. Okay. Over the page, please, to 142-2, which are the briefing and speaking notes based on the agenda that we've just looked at.
A. Yeah.
Q. As to the agenda, there are the introductions and opening remarks and if you look down, please, to the fifth sub-bullet point beginning "ME"?
A. ME, yes.
Q. Mark Ellison, that's likely to be, he's the only ME in the meeting?
A. Yes.
Q. "Mark Ellison also advised that a 'narrative' be written about Op Northmoor.

"Action completed."

What was the narrative that had been written?
A. *(Unclear)*. I think this was the --
Q. Is that the Executive Summary?
A. I think that's what he's referring to. I think so, yes.
Q. That, if I've understand the position, is almost a living document that Major Cox had been keeping --
A. Yes, correct.
Q. -- since his time as SIO?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. So almost a diary or a chronology of the investigation?
A. I think, I may be wrong but, in regards to -- in preparation for writing the service police closure report, ie the a SPCR or a SPIS -- sorry, I'm using the --
Q. Yes, the service police investigation summary --
A. The SPCR being the -- I can't remember the analogy for it. One's the positive report and one's the negative report and I think that was maintained because that is required for each other's respective documents and which would form part of the Form 3 submission to SPA as well. So it -- yeah.
Q. Just as to whether you were going to need a Form 3, if we look to the next sub-bullet point down:
"IRT to be engaged about their future availability to conduct a progress exit review."

A. Yeah.
Q. So what was being looked at in keeping with the agenda was can the IRT help advise on how to get out of this?
A. Yes.
Q. Then, again, echoing what we've seen before, if you look to the next bullet point down, which is the "Purpose of today's meeting is to"?
A. Yeah.
Q. Then to the last two sub-bullet points:
"Following advice from the 'Collective' allow me to give direction on those ..."
It's the outstanding lines of enquiry:
"... perhaps how long we might continue to pursue each [of them]."
A. Yeah.
Q. In any sense, does it appear that he is eager -- he, the PM(A), is rather eager to sign off these lines of enquiry quickly?
A. Reading -- yeah.
Q. Would that be fair?
A. Obvious, yes.
Q. Then:
"Identify a timetable for completion, which would require the IRT to do an exit review; and discuss choreography of messaging Secretary of State"?

In other words, if there is an exit set out by the IRT, we need to engage ministerial level --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- to explain how we're going to put out a narrative on what has happened?
A. I think that's what that means, yes.
Q. All right. Just picking up on the concern that you'd raised about outstanding lines of enquiry, over the page to page 142-3 and to the bottom, please, sir.
A. Yes.
Q. Question that was posed:
"Is it reasonable to suspend Op Tyburn investigation at this stage without pursuing APU personnel further?"
A. Yeah.
Q. Presumably your answer to that would be: no, it's not reasonable?
A. Correct, yeah.
Q. Because you regarded that as a major line of enquiry?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. And, as we will see in a moment, that enquiry was pursued by two separate trips of Northmoor personnel out to theatre?
A. Yes.
Q. From this section, can we please turn on to divider 143, behind it to page 2. An email from Colonel Morris to

you on 12 March:
"... the actions that fell out of the Gold Group yesterday ..."
The first bullet point, perhaps important to you:
"The APU line of enquiry needs to continue to be pursued. In the words of Sir Jon, we need to follow what is reasonable."
A. Yeah.
Q. So the -- sorry, the APU enquiries, notwithstanding what the IRT might have said, are deemed by Sir Jon to be reasonable --
A. Correct.
Q. -- and therefore have to be concluded?
A. Yes.
Q. Right. The next bullet point down:
"We need to plan for the interviews of commanding officer SFHQ(A) and DSF."
A. Yeah.
Q. So those are interviews in respect of the command responsibility chain?
A. Yes.
Q. So DSF at the time --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- and the Head of the Special Forces activities in Afghanistan, so in theatre at the time?

A. Yes.
Q. Did you regard those as important interviews --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- that Northmoor needed to conclude?
A. Yes.
Q. Because, if I've understood the position, you were looking at whether they had failed in their duty under the Armed Forces Act to refer possible criminal activity to the service police at the time they became aware of it?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. So leave aside the position you are now in, in 2019, you, the RMP, had an eye to what should have happened back in 2011?
A. Yeah.
Q. When there was a TTP review --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and when emails were being shared at headquarters level about the possibility of unlawful activity?
A. Yes.
Q. And --
A. And --
Q. Sorry, you go --
A. Sorry, I was going to say that that wasn't just at that juncture. Obviously, this was voiced at various times

throughout the investigation and, if I recall correctly -- I may be wrong -- but the idea was actually -- and it should be left towards the end of the investigation because, depending on what you discover will depend on their liability for alleged negligence or whatever that was going to be. So that's why it became -- it had to be dealt with.
Q. Do you agree with that approach?
A. I suppose, yes, I did because until you understood what was proven, it could drastically change their culpability because, if it was then proven throughout that that they actually knew and were part of it, then that would have a very different --
Q. If they knew and were part of it, that would be a very different level of criminal culpability --
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. -- they might be complicit in an offence?
A. Right.
Q. But in terms of their failure to act in accordance with Section 113 of the Armed Forces Act --
A. Yes.
Q. -- the duty on them to refer where they believed a crime might have been committed --
A. Yes.
Q. -- that didn't require proving the predicate offence,

did it?
A. No.
Q. So, I mean, you could have had two bytes of the cherry?
A. I dare say, yes.
Q. You could have first asked about Section 113?
A. Yes.
Q. Then, if evidence had emerged that they might have been complicit, you could have gone back and said, "Well, actually, sir, not only do we want to ask you questions about what we asked last time, now we have information that suggests you might have been aware of what was going on and might have been complicit in it"?
A. Yes.
Q. But you never found that evidence --
A. Correct.
Q. -- and never had that opportunity?
A. -- no, I mean, jumping back a little bit, there was the discussion -- and I believe it was Major Cox who voiced it, that he wanted to go and interview them but, at some point, obviously, the direction was it was to wait until the end of the -- towards the end of the investigation.
Q. I mean, in terms of trying to work out whether they had or had not been aware of what was going on, do you think ITS1 would have been the best place to look?
A. Yes.

Q. Right. Do you think asking them some questions might have helped?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you think they might have been able to assist you with the rationale as to why they did or didn't do certain things?
A. Yes.
Q. You were of course aware that DSF had received legal advice about the duty on him to report, weren't you?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. You were aware that that legal advice had indicated that, on one view, there was evidence that a reasonable person might conclude a crime had been committed?
A. I recall that, yes.
Q. Did that leave you with a sense of unease that he'd not been spoken to?
A. Yes, but the direction was, again, to leave until --
Q. Is that because the direction came from PM(A)?
A. Yes.
Q. Who'd been briefing the current DSF about the progress of the investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. As to the commanding officer of SFHQ(A) at the time, were you aware of whether there was said to be any acquaintanceship or friendship between PM(A), Brigadier

Neal, and that individual?
A. I wasn't aware if there was or not.
Q. I mean, if there was an acquaintanceship or an association or even a friendship, would that have been the sort of thing that would have generated a recusal?
A. Yes, correct, yeah.
Q. Can we look at then, please, to the IRT assurance document that follows hard on the heels of this particular entry. Over the page to tab 144 and Messrs Jones and Evans came down to RAF St Mawgan on 8 April?
A. Yes.
Q. If there were any doubt about how they saw the progress of Northmoor, look to the bottom of our page 144-3, so the first page of their document.
A. Yeah.
Q. Paragraph 4.1.
A. Yeah.
Q. "As things currently stand, investigation referral arising from the Northmoor investigation appears highly unlikely."
In terms of the chance of a referral, does that bode rather poorly for Northmoor?
A. I don't think you can interpret that any other way.
Q. Over the page to deal with Objectives 1 and 2, please. Our page 4, the response at paragraph 5.1:

"Despite extensive enquiries and multiple interviews ... it has not been possible to establish the necessary continuity in relation to the photographs from each scene. Consequently, the photographs do not represent viable evidence that can be used."
A. Mm.
Q. I mean, were you tearing your hair out when you read this?
A. Um, yeah.
Q. They are, would you agree, wrong?
A. Looking back at it, it's an uncomfortable read because, obviously, looking at the pictures, and you think, yes, the -- but should have -- yes.
Q. I can leave aside for a moment any sense of disquiet you had about the photographs. Let's just look at the question of continuity --
A. Yeah, they're wrong.
Q. -- because the IRT say --
A. Correct. They're wrong.
Q. Right. We can agree they are wrong about that and the photographs, in your opinion, should have been submitted --
A. Correct.
Q. -- but the PM(A) followed the IRT?
A. Correct.

Q. Over the page, please, to our page 5, to paragraph 10.1:
"The IRT are aware that some members of the Northmoor investigation team believe that there would be merit by tasking an 'expert' to examine and provide an opinion on the photographs of each scene. The IRT believe that such a tasking would prove to be futile, expensive and provide no additional benefit to the enquiry, in that:
"No probative value or context can established by opinion alone."
Well, that's wrong, isn't it?
A. Yeah.
Q. "There is simply no evidence or intelligence to append such an opinion to."
That's wrong as well, isn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. Nothing of value would emerge that would assist the reconstruction of each scene."
Is that wrong as well?
A. *(No audible answer)*
Q. So in terms of being expensive, futile and providing no additional benefit, that rather applies to the IRT at this point, doesn't it?
A. *(No audible answer)*
Q. Perhaps I'm being unfair.

SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: It would be helpful if you spoke into the mic when answering the questions.
MR GLASGOW: Unfair though that may have been as an observation, would you agree that, given the conclusions provided by the IRT in regard to those three reasons for justifying no referral to an expert are wrong, that, actually, they have provided no additional benefit to Northmoor at that point?
A. Yes.
Q. They positively misled you or misled the PM(A)?
A. Yeah, I -- yes.
Q. If we look at their conclusion, please, on to page 6, paragraph 13, and the last three and a bit lines, please:
"The IRT are of the opinion that Operation Northmoor should now consider all aspects in connection with a suitable exit strategy."
So they are very much looking at the door, aren't they?
A. Yes.
Q. "The IRT believe that the enquiries and the extensive work carried out to date has been robust, thorough and will be able to [it must be 'withstand'] scrutiny from any third party."
Would you agree that the enquiries to date had been

robust and thorough?
A. That's a difficult question for me to answer because there are degrees. I suppose, within the constraints under the direction that we were working in, we tried to be as thorough as possible, given the orders that we were given.
Q. Does that mean that, because of the orders you were given, you were not as thorough as you could have been?
A. Yes.
Q. Able to withstand scrutiny from any third party. That's perhaps a little unfair to ask you, given where you're sitting and the questions that I'm asking of you --
A. Well --
Q. -- but --
A. -- I suppose again, going back to the three points of, you know, reading this back now, it's quite an uncomfortable read when -- so -- yes, I understand that.
Q. Do you feel it's easy to withstand the scrutiny from an outside third party about Operation Northmoor and its thoroughness and robustness?
A. No.
Q. No. On, if we would, one divider to tab 145, please. Because Major Cox, in very simple terms, set out for all, the end of what he considered to be a very important major line of enquiry. On 26 April, his

policy file decision records:
"The SSE photographs for Objective 1 will not be submitted for subject matter forensic analysis at this time."
That is on the basis of the IRT review that they recommended without proving the who, how and where; the photos should not be submitted to date.
A. Yeah.
Q. "I've been unable to prove the who", but he can prove the how and the where but it seems that's just not good enough.
Again, it may be that I'm reading too much into the fact that the "not" appears in capital letters and it may be that he has done that to ensure that anyone who reads it understands the direction, but is there a sense of frustration, perhaps, on his part --
A. Yes.
Q. -- which you must have shared?
A. That's accurate, yes.
Q. Can we look to divider 148, please, Colonel. In May 2018, Colonel Morris sent to you an email setting out possible options for the future of Op Northmoor. They are set out on our page 148-3. They are to suspend, to discontinue or to continue. In terms of suspending Op Northmoor, the advantage is it allows the drawdown of

personnel --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- allows enquiries to be followed without time constraints, the narrative is that it hasn't concluded, isn't quite SPIS and the PM(A) could be accused of running for the door.
It would be unfortunate, wouldn't it, if the PM(A) were to place himself in the position where he would be accused of running for the door, given how serious an investigation this is?
A. Yes.
Q. If you were to suspend Op Northmoor with outstanding lines of enquiry incomplete, do you agree he'd be running for the door?
A. Yes.
Q. You could discontinue Op Northmoor at the Gold Group, requiring a watertight rationale as to why the lines of enquiry are not being followed, and the advantage is "Op Northmoor is over!" The disadvantage, you've got to persuade Mark Ellison and Sir Jon Murphy not to follow a reasonable and proportionate line of enquiry to the conclusion.
A. Yeah.
Q. At least, as far as the APU enquiries were concerned, they, the IAG, wanted those followed?

A. Yes, corrective.
Q. Then the third option, perhaps the last to be considered:
"Op Northmoor continues until APU line of enquiry is reasonable and proportionately concluded."
A. Yes.
Q. The advantage is you follow the line of enquiry to its natural conclusion, wherever that may be, which, as you told us, is what you as an investigator would have wanted?
A. Yes.
Q. The disadvantage is it continues beyond the handover of PM(A) and the departure of AH Northmoor. In another words, it won't end before either Brigadier Neal or Colonel Morris leave post?
A. Yes.
Q. I asked you yesterday and you agreed that, were Northmoor to conclude in whatever fashion, within the tenure of PM(A) Brigadier Neal, that, you agreed, might be a feather in his cap?
A. Yes.
Q. If he's able to bring to an end investigations into Special Forces, without any referrals taking place, might that look good on his résumé?
A. Yes.

Q. For the avoidance of any doubt, because what we've seen is the view of the IAG that enquiries need to be bottomed out, if we just turn back one page to 148-2, you met with Mark Ellison --
A. Yes.
Q. -- to discuss these possible options, and it appears that he would not vote to agree to the closure of Op Northmoor before --
A. Correct.
Q. -- the APU line of enquiry was exhausted?
A. I think that's where I got mistaken before, this is what I was referring to, yeah.
Q. It's right that you had the opportunity to see this but also that his advice to you, as Gold Commander, was "You've got a very important line of enquiry that's outstanding and you should see it through"?
A. Yes.
Q. That's his advice as a lawyer and a very experienced prosecutor?
A. Yes.
Q. On, please, if you wouldn't mind, to divider 151, please, and an email that was sent from Peter Ryan, the Director of DJEP, to Colonel Morris and copying you in. He wrote:
"I understand that we are unlikely to see a decisive

outcome on Northmoor this week and that another meeting is due to take place ..."
He signs off:
"... I am very keen to advise the Defence Secretary of the outcome of Northmoor before Parliament rises next month."
Did you know that there was unlikely to be a decisive outcome on Northmoor?
A. Yes.
Q. So you didn't know there was going to be a decisive outcome?
A. No, and undecisive because, until the APU line of enquiry was completed, they would not be able to make that decision.
Q. Do you know who it was that had briefed Peter Ryan, the Director of --
A. No.
Q. -- DJEP that there wasn't going to be a final decision on Northmoor at that moment?
A. I can't remember.
Q. Given that he's very keen to advise the Defence Secretary of the outcome before Parliament rises "next month", in any way is that added pressure on Northmoor to reach a conclusion?
A. Certainly not on -- because I wouldn't take heed of

that, I would take heed of what Mr Ellison said, as opposed to what Mr Ryan would say.
Q. Do you think Brigadier Neal might take heed --
A. May well have done. Yes, may well have done.
Q. Might the pressure now be on PM(A) to reach a determination in respect of Northmoor, so the Defence Secretary can announce it to Parliament before it rises in July?
A. Reading that, I think, subjective conclusion.
Q. That was sent on 11 June. If we look on, please, to tab 154 and to page 4, if there were any doubt that the PM(A) was alive to the need to brief the higher-ups in political terms, the agenda of the Gold Group for the 14 June, the sub-bullet point number 3, the purpose of today's meeting is to:
"Identify a timetable for completion which would and how this will be communicated to the Secretary of State."
A. Yes.
Q. So it would appear that the opening remarks of PM(A) are that the purpose of today's meeting is to identify a timetable for the conclusion of Op Northmoor so that he can advise the Secretary of State about it?
Then, as to the Executive Summary, there is a sub-bullet point down about two-thirds of the way down

the page:
"[The] Summary needs to be finessed to articulate level of evidence and involvement of PM(A), more detail to show exactly what was done and ensure that it is focused on the investigation."
Did you think that the Executive Summary needed to be finessed to showcase the involvement of Brigadier Neal?
A. Um ...
Q. Would it be appropriate for an Executive Summary that deals with the progress of a criminal investigation to showcase the involvement of somebody who's had nothing to do with that investigation?
A. It does beg the question why. So, yes.
Q. I mean, again, it'll be for Brigadier Neal to answer in due course but would it be unfair if anyone were to suggest that his sole contribution to Op Northmoor appears to have been agreeing with the IRT and you thought they'd got it wrong in some very important respects?
A. In some respects, yes.
Q. It wouldn't take very long to finesse his level of involvement, would it? You'd almost need to do a word search and take his name out or would that be unfair?
A. *(Unclear)*

Q. Divider 157, please. The reason for taking you to divider 157 is on 5 July, our page 2 behind that divider, Colonel Morris sent out an email about the desire to organise a Gold Group on Monday, the 15th, and at this point you had investigators in theatre to conduct interviews with the APU?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. Those were a very important line of enquiry for you and Northmoor?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. Okay. What we can see is that, if you look four lines up from the bottom of that first paragraph:
"We propose that once the VARP has expired that it is [a] reasonable point to say that the line of enquiry has been reasonably and [proportionately] been brought to a conclusion (of course if a witness brings evidence to light then that evidence must be followed to a reasonable and proportionate conclusion too). This will allow us to hold a Gold Group on Monday, the 15th with a view to concluding the investigation."
A. Yeah.
Q. So unless there is something of tangible evidential value that falls out of those interviews, Northmoor is done?
A. From reading that intention, yes.

Q. As to something that may be of tangible evidential value, I asked you yesterday about tangible evidential value and you agreed that, if you had a member of the APU that said they had seen a British soldier planting a weapon on the body of a deceased Afghan, to give the impression they had been armed when they were not, that that would be tangible evidence?
A. Yes, a firsthand account. Yes, correct.
Q. You've used the phrase "firsthand account" on a number of occasions?
A. Yes.
Q. I don't mean that by way of criticism but that is an eyewitness?
A. Correct. Yes, correct.
Q. Northmoor almost started because of the suggestion of dropped weapons, didn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. That was one of the key complaints --
A. Correct.
Q. -- because, if you think of what N1799 said he had been told by N1201, it was fighting-age males are killed on target, regardless of the threat they pose --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and weapons are planted and photographs taken?
A. Yes.

Q. So dropped weapons, the suggestion is, are being used to legitimise unlawful killings?
A. Yes.
Q. As a result of the visit of your team to theatre, you had an eyewitness now --
A. Yeah.
Q. N7058, who said to you, "you" corporately, "I saw a British soldier place a weapon on the body of a deceased Afghan male and it was not a weapon that male had had in his hands when he'd been killed".
A. I believe so, yes. Yes, correct.
Q. So direct, firsthand evidence, the sort of evidence you'd been waiting for, you, for two and a half years?
A. Yeah.
Q. I mean, to use your phrase, would you agree that the trip to Afghanistan had garnered fruit?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. What did you do with that fruit, sir?
A. Specific memory of it, I believe that went from -- or I can't remember if this was Colonel Morris had left or was leaving but, obviously, that report went up to the Brigadier, and either Colonel Scott Meredith or Colonel Morris. I don't recall specifically which.
Q. As far as Colonel Scott Meredith was concerned, he was the new AH(Tech)?

A. Yes, and he was also -- I think he was double hatted at the time. He was INV and POL, which was SO1 and --
Q. Not an investigator?
A. Not Northmoor, no.
Q. Not an investigator --
A. Correct, staff officer.
Q. -- and not a lawyer?
A. No.
Q. Right. But he proffered an opinion as to whether or not this was tangible evidence to lead on to further investigation?
A. Yes, yeah.
Q. His view was --
A. I'm trying to remember exactly what was put to him and in what format. I can't recall.
Q. I can help you with that, please.
A. Okay.
Q. On to divider 168 and to page 2:
"... I had to give the matter some considerable thought", he wrote.
A. Yeah.
Q. "In addition, I have spoken to ..."
I think he means Sir Jon Murphy. It must be a typo:
"On balance, with the intelligence available at this point, my decision is to follow course of action 2 --

cease all active lines of enquiry into this incident."

So a non-investigator, non-lawyer, who happens to have had a chat with Sir Jon Murphy, is determining that what you should do in respect of the direct firsthand evidence you'd been waiting -- you two and a half years; Northmoor, four and a half years -- to get, is do nothing. So that tangible fruit is being left to rot. Is that unfair?

A. Um, yes.

Q. For the avoidance of any doubt about what it was that N7058 had to say, can we look on to page 168-4, please, Colonel, because a briefing note was prepared in respect of the information --

A. Yeah.

Q. -- by Major Cox.

A. Yeah.

Q. For the avoidance of any doubt, he included quotations from the interview.

A. Yeah.

Q. If we look to those, the interpreter, N7058, having made the "motion of someone shooting a weapon and then removing an object from behind his back and gestured at taking a photograph", he is then, according --

168-4, sir. Middle of the page.

A. Yeah.

Q. "N7058 makes the motion of someone shooting a weapon and then removing an object from behind his back and gestured at taking photograph."

The interpreter said:

"'He's going somewhere else, there was an English who would carry an old AK-47 with him and he would shot, killed someone he would just put it in there.'"

When asked "Did you see that happen yourself?", he nodded and, having spoken in Pashtu, the interpreter said, "Yes"?

A. Yes.

Q. So it would appear, if the interpretation is correct and if N7058 is telling the truth, there is a direct witness to the allegation that a member of the British Armed Forces has shot an Afghan male --

A. Yeah.

Q. -- and placed an old AK-47 beside the body?

A. Yeah.

SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Could we see the footnotes at the bottom, please, to this? Thank you.

MR GLASGOW: I mean, if there was any doubt who the English person was, he'd indicated that by pointing to other members of the British forces to identify nationality. So there wasn't an error, for example, that this might be another member of ISAF forces.

A. Agreed, yes.

Q. So, to your mind, a key eyewitness?

A. Yeah.

Q. If we just go on, please, for a moment to 169-2 so we understand what it was Colonel Meredith was able to do with Sir Jon Murphy. It would seem they'd gone for a bite to eat to have a chat:

"Good to see you last week in a far more pleasant surround for a bite to eat!!!"

Then he wrote:

"Based on the very scant information -- I am not currently minded to pursue this and provide the Team with my rationale for not doing so."

It would seem that before he'd even provided the note to Sir Jon Murphy to Sir Jon's review, he, Colonel Meredith, had formed the view that there was very scant information and he didn't think it should go anywhere. That's a non-investigator, non-legal decision taken by the AH(Tech) --

A. Yes.

Q. -- his policy?

A. Yes.

Q. Is it unfortunate that it was someone in Colonel Meredith's position who took the decision not to pursue this key line of enquiry?

A. I don't know whether this was in consultation with the Brigadier or not, but that was the decision given to me from Colonel Meredith.

Q. I mean, in terms of what actually happened, if we'd just turn back to tab 162 for a moment, if we look at the decision on our page 2, signed by Major Cox, if you just look at the very last line of the reason:

"... this investigation is complete and no further action with regards to lines of enquiry will commence."

A. Yes.

Q. It has the unfortunate title of being "Boxes Ticked". Is there a sense that this is now a box-ticking exercise, leading to the conclusion of Op Northmoor or is that an uncharitable way of regarding that policy file report?

A. I can't explain that but, yes, yeah.

Q. If we just go back, please, to divider 169. In fact, no, I do apologise, 168, sir. I misled you.

As to the rationale on 168 for Colonel Meredith's decision to allow the fruit to rot, if we look three bullet points up from the bottom:

"Considerable effort has already been invested into rumours [as he puts it] of 'dropped weapons'."

Was your sense that there had been considerable effort invested into -- I'm going to call it

"allegations" of dropped weapons?
A. It's a matter of perception.
Q. Well, what's your perception, sir?
A. They tried to bottom out any evidence in relation to dropped weapons by cross-referencing those that were photographed and serialised within the OPSUMs, INSUMs, SIRs, and then going to DSTL to look at all of the weapons that had been destroyed and whether or not there had been a -- perhaps a -- I think I alluded it to before, about using the same weapon twice on different scenes.
Q. When you talk about the "serials", do you mean the serial numbers?
A. Yes. Sorry. Serial numbers, yes.
Q. You were looking to see if, in the photographs, you could see a matching serial number?
A. Yes, that's correct, yes.
Q. That might suggest it was the same weapon on more than one occasion?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. That, it seems, Colonel Meredith is of the view is considerable effort?
A. Yeah.
Q. Would it be uncharitable to describe it as a "basic enquiry"?

A. Complicated, but yes. Yeah.
Q. Did you therefore find yourself in the position that you've now got the tangible evidence you want and Colonel Meredith is saying, "Stop there"?
A. Yes, and I had that conversation with Colonel Meredith that, you know, that is a very brave decision to make, based upon Op Northmoor itself.
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Sorry, did you push back on this?
A. Yes, sir, yes.
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: So you simply said it's a brave decision?
A. Yes, based upon the fact that that was the only APU member who'd come forward and verified that -- not verified -- had said he'd witnessed the issue of dropped weapons.
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Did you say to him you fundamentally disagreed with it?
A. Yes.
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: What did he say?
A. That was his advice and that was his rationale. Therefore, it was to stop.
MR GLASGOW: In any way was there a perception that Operation Northmoor had catastrophised the allegation and made a mountain out of a molehill?
A. I suppose that could be one perspective of it, yes.

Q. Let me help you. Divider 166, page 2. An email from Colonel Morris to Colonel Meredith:
"I have mentioned to you ... (and ... you will recall comments made by Peter Ryan in the Gold Group) that there is a view from DJEP that we (RMP) 'catastrophised' the investigation in its early days ... particularly around the serious allegations and there were a series of briefings to personnel in Main Building that do not seem to have had their content recorded."
A. Yeah.
Q. Now, catastrophising in the early days around the serious allegations?
A. Yes.
Q. Your view was there had never been anything as serious --
A. Correct.
Q. -- or potentially wide-ranging as this before?
A. Correct. And I think I might be able to give a little bit of context on that --
Q. Please.
A. -- because I think that was in relation to the justification for spending the 7.5 million on the FDHC. That's what they were referring to, I think.
Q. So the political view being that: we've spent an awful lot of money that didn't need to be spent?

A. I think that's, yeah, fair.
Q. Of course, if Special Forces had actually given you the server you'd been asking for, for years, you might have been able to start up the facility to review its contents?
A. Yes.
Q. But because Special Forces never gave it to you, despite being asked for it again, and again, and again, you didn't need to turn on the FDHC?
A. Yes, I mean FDHC-light because, obviously, FDHC full-fat couldn't be built, could it, sir?
Q. Leaving aside whether it's light or full fat, I mean the catastrophe is -- is the catastrophe not your spending the money but Special Forces' failure to provide you with the data that you needed to conduct a thorough and independent investigation?
A. Yeah.
Q. That, of course, is what the NCA had been urging you to do in report, after report, after report?
A. Yeah.
Q. Presumably you agreed with their advice --
A. Yes.
Q. -- albeit they didn't stay for very long after Brigadier Neal came in?
A. Right.

SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: What do you think he meant by "catastrophising"?
A. My assumption and my interpretation of that, sir, is they were saying they made it sound worse than it really was in order to justify getting the allocation of money to buy the FDHC, essentially. That's what I understood.
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Bigging it up, essentially?
A. Yes, sir.
MR GLASGOW: Do you recall concerns being raised by Sir Jon Murphy around this time that the NCA needed to be spoken to because he, Sir Jon, was critical of the NCA's approach?
A. Yes. I do remember that, yes.
Q. Did you think it was appropriate to criticise the NCA, given the reports you'd read and that you agreed with?
A. No.
Q. Right. Did you regard the NCA as having caused any problems to Northmoor?
A. I struggled with the fact that when -- at the point I came in, the progress as to where it was. So what I -- the appreciation was NCA were pushing and pushing for action and for -- and it never happened, so therefore you can't really criticise the NCA for the fact that it never -- so it would be difficult to criticise the NCA for our failing, if you see what

I mean.
Q. Thank you.
You had nothing directly to do with the close-down of Operation Northmoor, apart from attending the various meetings and the decisions, as we understand it, taken above your level?
A. Yes.
Q. So you were the messenger who provided that information to Major Cox and others?
A. Yeah.
Q. Unwelcome though that may have been --
A. It was.
Q. -- presumably you made it clear that it wasn't your decision but PM(A) was the one who -- if you weren't going to blame him, at least who owned the decision?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. Presumably, your team must have been incredibly frustrated, now they had firsthand tangible evidence that --
A. Major Cox was extremely frustrated, yes, yes.
Q. As were, presumably, those --
A. Yes, of course.
Q. -- officers who had flown out to Afghanistan and spoken to N7058?
A. Yeah.

Q. Can I just ask you to look, please, sir, at divider 170 in our file 3. This is an email that was sent to you by Major Cox --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- after there had been the close-down of Operation Northmoor. It's now October 2019. He wrote to you about the interview of N1786, who was the in-theatre Commanding Officer of Special Forces at the time of the DDOs that you'd been examining?
A. Yes.
Q. He had been one of the two that you had wanted to interview as regards command responsibility?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. I mean, at its lowest, did he fail to refer something when he knew there was a possibility a crime had been committed?
A. Yeah.
Q. At its highest, was he complicit in some way in allowing these offences to be committed?
A. Yes, yeah.
Q. You were invited to note --
A. Yes.
Q. -- the circumstances around that individual's involvement, and then --
A. Yeah.

Q. -- to agree that he must be interviewed, that's 2(e):
"[N1786] must be interviewed as part of Op Northmoor by 4 Company during their enquiries and in accordance with the IRT recommendations dated April '19."
A. Yeah.
Q. Now, Northmoor has finished by this stage?
A. Yeah.
Q. Any fallout enquiries are being conducted by 4 Company. Why were you being emailed by your former SIO to tell you that N1786 must be interviewed?
A. I think that was because it was an outstanding action from when I was at Northmoor and Major Cox copied me in.
Q. With respect, sir, he didn't copy you in. You were the person to whom the email was sent and others were copied in.
A. Sorry, my terminology: informing me.
Q. But you, presumably, in your position, didn't have the power --
A. Correct.
Q. -- to organise the interview of anyone --
A. No.
Q. -- least of all, a former Commanding Officer of Special Forces in Afghanistan?
A. Correct.
Q. But your former SIO is telling you, in October, "You've

got to do this"?
A. Yeah.
Q. If we look down the page to the end of paragraph 4, what is set out is that:
"... he [that's N1786] should now be approached to justify this thought process to an independent investigative body outside of the DSF sphere."
That relates to the question of whether or not -- sorry, do you see that, sir?
A. Yes, sorry. I was looking in the wrong place.
Q. No, the end of paragraph 4. It's specifically in respect of the statutory obligation on a commanding officer to report to the service police any matter where there's a possibility of a schedule 2 serious offence and in fact "may have been committed" is how the statute reads?
A. Yeah.
Q. Then he reminds you, in case you needed it, that:
"The IRT had recommended ... that [these] issues needed to be addressed with the senior management ..."
A. Yeah.
Q. "... to provide a coherent and comprehensive way forward."
Then, over the page, to paragraph 7:
"It must also be acknowledged that the investigation

could attract adverse criticism in any review, should we ignore the hierarchy involved in the incidents in question at the time. Ultimate command responsibility on the ground and their obligations under the Armed Forces Act sat with N1786."
A. Yes.
Q. "Failure to interview the hierarchy with regards to any decisions made and their overall assessment of the sub-units under their command (in relation to any criminal or non-criminal activity at the time), could lead to further criticism."
A. Yeah.
Q. Did you agree with him?
A. Yes.
Q. I mean, is this another example of an outstanding enquiry that even the IRT thought should have taken place --
A. I think.
Q. -- but was never done?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. So, in terms of the overall thoroughness, the independence of Northmoor, does it concern you that the Special Forces Commander in theatre at the time of the DDOs under investigation was never spoken to?
A. Yes, correct.

Q. I mean, would that suggest that all reasonable and proportionate lines of enquiry had not been followed?
A. Yes.
Q. You were very clear in your witness statement that there was a professional relationship that existed between the RMP and the Special Forces?
A. Yes.
Q. That, of course, is undoubtedly appropriate, that there is a professional relationship between the Royal Military Police and the other arms of the military that it might investigate?
A. Yes.
Q. In any way do you think that, because it was Special Forces who were being investigated, that that professional relationship showed undue deference to them?
A. I think that is a fair thing to say, not particularly of the Northmoor team because we were -- we had to abide by Military Police Investigative Doctrine, insofar as the SF protocol and the way in which we dealt with the Special Forces personnel. But, you know, from an investigation point of view, is it ideal to have to inform the very person that you want to investigate that -- what, you're going to investigate them and you're going to give them a two-week or three-week

window to look at the evidence that you're going to actually interview them about before you actually -- so of course, less than ideal.
Q. As you set out in your witness statement, you wondered whether Special Forces "were giving us 100 per cent of everything they'd been asked for"?
A. Yeah.
Q. So do we understand, from that, you had question marks over their duty of candour in dealing with you?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. You were suspicious of what you termed the "amnesia" that appeared to exist within Special Forces?
A. Sure, I'll explain that.
Q. Please.
A. The common term used within certainly Northmoor and the wider RMP, as well, is what we call "regimental amnesia". And then -- that means when you are asking about a specific incident, nobody remembers anything: can't recall that, didn't see that. And that's across the board, regimental amnesia. That was the term that was used.
Q. Is that the term that you and your investigators used in respect of Special Forces when it came to asking questions of them?
A. Yes.

Q. They could never remember the answer?
A. Correct. I mean, perhaps -- sorry, I will caveat that.
Q. Of course.
A. Perhaps that's a disservice but asking a soldier who's deployed on operations, "Do you remember this incident on 11 July", some seven years later, and if the response was that "It was such a kinetic environment, there were so many operations that we did, I don't remember that specific operation". I mean, you draw -- you can understand that, but also you would expect them to remember something about a particular incident: something.
Q. Do you recall your investigators being frustrated --
A. Yes, I do. Yes, I do.
Q. -- almost to the sense they were being stonewalled with the answer "I don't remember"?
A. Yes, yeah.
Q. Because for you to make progress in your enquiries, if the answer is "I don't remember, I don't remember, I don't remember", you can't get very far as an investigator, can you?
A. Correct, correct.
Q. When it's termed "regimental amnesia", in any way is that casting doubt or suspicion over the credibility of the claim "I don't remember"?

A. Yes, I suppose it is because it's a very difficult thing to break down and overcome, whether that is the truth or not the truth.
Q. You were being very clear during your evidence, as you were in your witness statement, that you were, to use your phrase, "not the ultimate decision maker"?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. If you had been the ultimate decision maker, so if I make you PM(A) for a day --
A. Okay.
Q. -- it doesn't come with a star, I'm afraid, sir --
A. No.
Q. -- do you think you would have taken the same decisions that Brigadier Neal took as regards Operation Northmoor and the decision to discontinue?
A. I think I wouldn't have took some of those decisions and, actually, his predecessor, as well, because -- I think I alluded to it before -- I would have expected different actions at the very onset of Northmoor.
Q. Do you feel that the decisions Brigadier Neal took were in the best interests of Operation Northmoor reaching a conclusion, whatever that may be?
A. That's a difficult one for me to answer. I suppose what I would say is that he had what was believed to be the best advisers available to him at that time he took that

advice and he made his decisions. Rightly or wrongly, he made those decisions, yeah.
Q. Having been through some of that advice, would you agree now, today, that those were the best advisers available?
A. Looking back on it, perhaps not, no. Perhaps not.
Q. The last email we've looked at, in respect of the bundle, is October 2019 and, by that point, you had moved on with a different email address.
A. Yes.
Q. Can I ask you, sir, what it was, if you're able to tell us, that you were doing in October 2019?
A. I was the lieutenant colonel in charge of professional standards.
Q. Although you didn't include it -- and I don't mean this as a criticism, so please don't take it as such -- but you didn't include it in your witness statement, am I right that, in recognition of your performance as the Gold Commander of Operation Northmoor in the New Year's Birthday Honours lists, you received an OBE?
A. I didn't know it was for that.
Q. I'm very sorry --
A. Because it was -- it was news to me and, therefore, you do not see what is put forward about you, why you've -- so, yes, sorry.
Q. Your understanding is that you received the honour in

recognition of service that you had given as a member of the RMP?
A. Correct, and as part of Northmoor included in that. I didn't know it was specifically -- sorry, I was --
Q. No, and presumably you had an eye to a very long career --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- in which you had carried out a number of different roles, some in some very significant inquiries?
A. Yes.
Q. As well as Northmoor?
A. Correct.
MR GLASGOW: Colonel, I'm very grateful for your patience and your perseverance today. Those are the matters that I had wanted to ask of you.
Sir, do you have any questions that you'd like to ask of the Colonel?
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: I don't, Mr Glasgow. Thank you very much.
Colonel, it's been a long couple of days.
THE WITNESS: Sir.
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Can I thank you again very much for coming to give evidence to me and the Inquiry team. Can I thank you very much for the careful way in which you've answered all of Mr Glasgow's -- and some of

mine -- many questions.

Thank you for your evidence, which has been very helpful, and I'm very grateful.

THE WITNESS: Sir.

SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: We will adjourn now.

Mr Glasgow, can I thank you and your team for all the hard work that's gone into this hearing, including preparing these many, many documents.

Can I thank the team and those responsible for the arrangements for this hearing and, indeed, Lawrence and your team, for all the tech, which has been excellent. Thank you.

Can I thank everybody for their quiet attention and apologise for my occasional coughing. I think it's time for everybody to have a cup of tea. We will adjourn now, please, until 10.00 tomorrow morning. All right.

MR GLASGOW: I'm so sorry, it's 10.30. I've given you the wrong time and that's my fault.

SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: Correction, 10.30. All right, thank you.

(4.44 pm)

(The hearing adjourned until 10.30 the following day)

I N D E X

COLONEL JOHN HARVEY (continued) 3
Questions from COUNSEL TO THE INQUIRY 3
(continued)

MR GLASGOW: [32] 4/3 11/9 17/10 26/20 38/2 38/8 38/10 69/10 69/19 85/15 85/20 106/25 112/2 112/12 112/15 112/18 118/10 123/21 130/16 133/20 171/10 174/24 175/1 183/16 185/21 186/4 204/3 218/21 222/22 225/9 236/13 237/17
SIR CHARLES HADDON-CAVE: [44] 3/25 4/2 11/2 11/6 17/7 26/17 37/19 38/5 38/9 69/12 69/18 85/12 85/19 106/23 111/23 112/11 112/13 112/16 118/7 123/18 130/19 133/18 171/1 171/5 174/15 174/25 183/4 183/10 183/13 183/15 185/23 186/3 204/1 218/19 222/8 222/10 222/16 222/19 225/1 225/7 236/18 236/22 237/5 237/19
THE USHER: [2] 1/3 130/24
THE WITNESS: [3] 4/1 236/21 237/4

'

'16 [2] 7/1 21/18
'18 [1] 161/20
'19 [1] 228/4
'catastrophised' [1] 223/6
'collective' [3] 189/14 189/24 194/10
'dropped [1] 220/23
'exit [1] 183/25
'expert' [1] 203/4
'gearing' [1] 29/6
'He's [1] 218/5
'line [1] 161/17
'narrative' [1] 192/23
's [2] 150/10 172/19
'smoking [1] 25/11
'statement' [1] 38/25
'withstand' [1] 204/23

0

00:30 [2] 75/21 75/24

1

1 August [1] 6/15
1 January [1] 75/21
1 June [2] 58/2 58/6
1.05 [1] 130/21
10 [3] 162/9 165/10 165/14
10 November 2026 [1] 1/1
10.00 [1] 237/16
10.02 [1] 3/22
10.1 [1] 203/1
10.30 [3] 237/17 237/19 237/22
100 per [1] 232/5
104 [1] 69/22
108 [2] 128/14 128/18
11 [8] 57/8 57/13 58/21 70/7 79/19 141/21 142/4 142/6
11 July [1] 233/6
11 June [1] 211/10
11-5 [1] 58/15
11.23 [1] 69/15
11.45 [1] 69/17
113 [2] 198/20 199/5
114 [2] 134/12 134/18
114-3 [1] 136/12
115 [2] 145/17 145/18
12 [3] 165/20 168/25 186/7
12 December [1] 29/21
12 March [1] 196/1
121 [1] 148/2
123 [1] 153/5
124 [2] 162/1 165/10
124-12 [1] 165/20
125 [1] 171/10
12th [1] 37/23
13 [2] 142/19 204/13
13 November [1] 57/14
13/14 [1] 142/21
139 [2] 189/7 189/7
14 [2] 142/21 211/13
14 December [2] 138/21 147/6
142 [1] 191/19
142-2 [1] 192/12
143 [1] 195/24
144 [1] 201/9
145 [1] 205/22
148 [1] 206/20
148-2 [1] 209/3
15 [3] 178/22 178/23 178/24
151 [1] 209/21
154 [1] 211/11
157 [2] 213/1 213/2
15th [2] 213/4 213/19
16 November [1] 10/7
162 [1] 220/5
164 [1] 165/9
166 [1] 223/1
168 [3] 216/18 220/18 220/19
168-4 [1] 217/24
169 [1] 220/17
169-2 [1] 219/4
17 [3] 8/19 8/19 8/20
170 [1] 227/1
171 [2] 186/6 186/6
176 [3] 79/5 79/9 80/21
176-2 [1] 91/24
178 [1] 102/18
18 November [1] 19/23
19 [1] 50/21

2

2 February [1] 38/18
2.04 [1] 130/23
2.05 [1] 130/20
2.07 [1] 133/17
2.2 [1] 57/20
2.4 [1] 57/21
2.5 [1] 179/9
20 [3] 50/22 69/13 185/24
20 December [2] 32/5 37/22
2011 [5] 58/22 85/14 85/16 103/7 197/14
2014 [1] 50/3
2015 [1] 17/15
2016 [7] 7/22 14/16 15/1 17/7 19/16 50/23 55/2
2017 [11] 4/16 14/3 46/7 53/7 53/23 65/18 67/9 128/20 142/20 144/10 146/10
2018 [11] 145/2 148/3 148/8 155/7 161/19 178/22 178/25 184/15 186/8 190/6 206/21
2019 [5] 158/18 197/12 227/6 235/7 235/11
2026 [1] 1/1
24 August [2] 11/4 38/7
24 June [1] 38/6
25 January [1] 4/16
26 [1] 205/25
27 November [1] 186/8
28 February [1] 39/22
28 September [1] 128/20

3

3 May [1] 149/15
3.10 [2] 58/16 59/14
3.14 [1] 185/25
3.2 [1] 183/19
3.34 [1] 186/2
3.5 million [1] 54/6
30 July [1] 178/25
30 June [2] 50/22 50/23

4

4.1 [1] 201/16
4.44 [1] 237/21
47 [23] 87/24 88/4 88/7 93/25 94/4 94/20 95/4 100/13 100/21 101/1 112/24 112/25 113/2 113/7 115/20 118/13 118/20 121/9 122/1 122/4 125/19 218/6 218/17
47s [5] 80/2 103/8 123/21 123/24 140/25

5

5 July [1] 213/2
5 March [1] 85/14
5.1 [1] 201/25
50 [3] 15/19 15/20 15/24
51 [3] 8/18 8/18 8/20
56 [3] 19/11 19/11 20/24
56-4 [1] 20/25
59 [2] 26/20 26/21

6

6 June [1] 165/8
61 [1] 29/20
64 [1] 32/2
66 [2] 6/9 6/10
69 [1] 49/14

7

7 February [1] 85/16
7 October [1] 16/1
7.5 [2] 49/18 223/22
70 [1] 4/13
71 [3] 38/12 38/12 38/15

8

8 April [1] 201/10
86 [1] 39/20

9

9 February [1] 103/7
9 January [1] 147/9
9.58 [1] 1/2
90 per [3] 58/1 58/4 58/5
97 [1] 46/5

A

abbreviations [1] 85/21
abide [1] 231/18
ability [1] 49/6
able [35] 7/13 13/7 26/12 28/14 29/15 55/14 56/24 58/17 63/10 68/23 73/20 83/5 83/15 84/19 94/6 94/8 97/9 98/9 98/15 100/20 115/22 124/13 152/15 152/17 154/15 172/6 200/4 204/23 205/10 208/22 210/13 219/5 223/18 224/4 235/10
about [111] 6/11 8/2 10/8 11/12 12/5 12/15 13/6 13/15 14/11 15/11 15/14 17/4 17/10 17/15 19/15 19/19 20/13 30/25 33/1 34/12 36/8 37/4 38/11 42/13 42/19 42/24 43/1 43/8 44/16 45/15 46/20 47/8 48/18 50/22 64/17 65/16 65/23 67/15 67/18 67/19 68/4 68/11 71/13 76/10 77/9 77/12 77/12 77/25 77/25 80/16 83/10 86/3 91/19 92/24 94/23 94/24 95/20 96/12 97/12 101/11 101/23 102/11 104/3 108/4 111/19 117/5 119/25 129/11 129/12 136/6 137/3 142/21 146/8 148/3 150/7 150/9 157/15 157/19 174/7 176/5 176/20 177/8 181/15 185/12 188/23 189/1 191/9 192/24 193/24 195/8 197/19 199/5 199/10 200/9 200/20 201/12 202/15 202/20 205/19 211/23 211/25 213/3 214/2 217/10 221/10 221/12 227/7 232/2 232/18 233/11 235/23
above [12] 3/16 15/6 18/25 33/3 91/5 97/8 116/18 119/4 133/11 175/17 180/16 226/6
absence [2] 43/10 68/22
absolutely [3] 101/5 128/8 144/22
accept [3] 28/23 77/5 183/7
accepted [2] 27/13 147/8
accepting [1] 147/17
access [6] 8/10 8/10 12/11 13/7 44/15 85/23
accessing [1] 33/4
accordance [6] 9/13 115/6 145/21 147/19 198/19 228/3
according [2] 39/7 217/23
accordingly [2] 66/8 147/12
account [21] 13/15

A

account... [20] 14/4 42/11 42/13 43/5 43/6 43/9 43/18 43/23 44/22 62/25 68/19 77/22 86/17 101/11 138/24 140/16 146/7 158/1 214/8 214/9
accounted [1] 86/8
accounts [2] 44/5 100/9
accurate [5] 94/3 100/25 175/10 182/24 206/19
accurately [1] 125/18
accused [2] 207/5 207/9
achieve [1] 20/10
achieved [5] 16/19 58/4 60/4 162/12 183/22
acknowledged [1] 229/25
ACOS [4] 42/14 42/19 42/25 58/19
acquaintanceship [2] 200/25 201/3
across [7] 90/8 93/9 95/12 113/18 117/3 117/23 232/19
act [11] 31/9 34/13 35/20 36/19 43/7 66/7 147/19 197/8 198/19 198/20 230/5
acted [2] 66/12 125/10
action [33] 4/25 6/25 13/5 13/16 19/1 30/7 31/1 31/20 32/18 35/13 35/14 39/11 41/5 42/11 46/2 46/21 52/24 60/7 61/15 80/25 103/10 139/22 140/3 147/22 174/16 175/24 176/3 182/5 192/25 216/25 220/9 225/22 228/11
actions [6] 5/4 33/3 171/12 173/10 196/2 234/19
active [1] 217/1
activities [1] 196/24
activity [7] 168/22 169/17 173/11 183/23 197/8 197/19 230/10
actual [4] 27/17 43/6 58/3 152/18
actually [43] 6/1 6/4 38/2 41/13 43/10 50/25 52/6 55/25 56/1 56/11 59/5 64/3 72/10 84/10 95/24 108/18 115/11 117/13 122/15 137/9 144/7 149/22 151/21 152/8 155/15 155/25 158/1 158/3 160/13 170/20 173/18 175/19 176/17 184/23 198/3 198/12 199/9 204/7 220/4 224/2 232/2 232/2 234/17
add [6] 141/5 144/1 152/15 152/17 169/9 186/13
added [2] 61/4 210/23
addition [4] 41/18 58/20 185/6 216/22
additional [5] 60/2 162/11 203/7 203/22 204/7
address [1] 235/8
addressed [1] 229/20
adjourn [2] 237/5 237/15
adjourned [1] 237/22
Adjournment [1] 130/22
adopted [1] 66/4
advance [1] 72/8
advantage [3] 206/25 207/18 208/7
adverse [1] 230/1
advice [22] 73/9 73/12 74/12 149/18 150/19 150/20 150/22 151/19 152/6 166/1 166/21 189/14 189/24 194/10 200/9 200/11 209/14 209/18 222/20 224/21 235/1 235/3
advise [6] 150/12 186/19 194/3 210/4 210/21 211/23
advised [2] 174/9 192/23
adviser [3] 149/14 161/12 176/5
advisers [6] 48/18 150/19 175/19 175/22 234/25 235/4
advising [1] 149/21
Advisory [1] 135/10
affect [1] 12/2
affording [1] 136/14
Afghan [9] 61/13 87/14 87/15 87/23 88/3 159/10 214/5 215/9 218/15
Afghanistan [9] 1/5 131/1 159/22 160/17 191/4 196/25 215/16 226/23 228/23
afraid [1] 234/11
after [18] 4/16 8/23 10/8 14/11 21/9 33/17 35/3 38/19 48/3 50/22 53/7 157/24 160/13 171/25 224/19 224/19 224/23 227/5
afternoon [2] 130/24 183/18
afterwards [3] 62/21 164/20 178/1
again [66] 10/14 12/4 12/14 13/23 15/13 16/18 17/10 18/13 25/18 27/6 40/25 41/8 41/10 47/17 52/22 69/2 69/8 73/15 74/6 76/17 77/23 77/24 85/21 89/13 91/12 91/24 93/2 96/1 97/12 102/21 103/12 106/16 111/21 113/6 115/2 117/9 119/5 120/16 121/12 123/1 136/8 138/5 138/22 150/14 155/4 155/18 156/22 159/16 165/8 167/9 170/2 170/23 177/25 179/6 184/23 188/17 188/20 194/5 200/17 205/15 206/12 212/15 224/8 224/8 224/8 236/22
against [11] 55/22 88/20 88/21 104/12 137/18 138/3 138/13 168/13 172/7 180/3 181/22
age [2] 152/12 214/21
agenda [10] 153/22 154/1 165/11 168/16 185/4 191/20 192/13 192/16 194/2 211/13
ago [4] 29/22 44/6 57/5 137/17
agree [42] 5/16 15/4 16/22 18/11 25/15 31/7 33/9 33/14 44/19 48/20 53/23 66/25 95/25 129/16 137/10 139/22 147/21 150/21 154/25 155/2 158/4 164/5 169/14 171/23 178/5 181/24 182/1 182/18 182/21 182/22 185/16 198/8 202/10 202/20 204/4 204/25 207/13 209/7 215/15 228/1 230/13 235/3
agreed [20] 35/13 60/16 66/6 138/19 149/15 160/15 161/8 165/2 175/24 182/5 183/20 185/7 185/13 185/18 208/17 208/19 214/3 219/1 224/21 225/15
agreeing [5] 48/12 48/13 170/4 181/22 212/18
agreement [4] 16/2 16/23 18/17 39/8
agrees [1] 154/3
AH [6] 142/24 165/21 165/22 208/13 215/25 219/19
AH Policy [1] 165/22
Aha [1] 170/16
ahead [3] 46/6 58/18 174/25
air [2] 135/3 138/4
AK [29] 80/2 87/24 88/4 88/7 93/25 94/4 94/16 94/20 95/4 100/13 100/21 101/1 103/8 112/24 112/25 113/2 113/7 115/20 118/13 118/20 121/9 122/1 122/4 123/21 123/24 125/19 140/25 218/6 218/17
AK-47 [23] 87/24 88/4 88/7 93/25 94/4 94/20 95/4 100/13 100/21 101/1 112/24 112/25 113/2 113/7 115/20 118/13 118/20 121/9 122/1 122/4 125/19 218/6 218/17
AK-47s [5] 80/2 103/8 123/21 123/24 140/25
alarming [3] 46/19 46/24 163/5
albeit [3] 78/14 137/16 224/23
aligned [1] 176/2
alive [4] 21/15 24/21 175/20 211/12
all [73] 1/5 3/16 17/7 33/3 42/8 48/7 69/13 70/4 70/22 72/4 73/9 73/20 76/10 83/23 84/5 94/25 98/20 101/3 101/13 102/11 119/9 121/4 124/17 125/9 125/18 127/9 131/1 133/11 133/18 138/23 139/22 141/8 141/21 143/2 144/3 151/23 152/12 153/2 156/16 156/24 157/3 158/5 158/19 158/23 159/1 162/21 164/18 164/23 165/2 168/24 170/10 171/6 176/24 178/20 183/11 185/24 187/7 187/18 189/13 189/16 190/19 195/7 204/16 205/24 217/1 221/7 228/22 231/1 236/25 237/6 237/11 237/16 237/19
allegation [7] 58/20 129/7 141/6 155/12 172/7 218/14 222/23
allegations [12] 24/15 44/14 44/24 45/3 45/7 58/12 71/1 168/13 191/10 221/1 223/7 223/12
alleged [1] 198/5
allocated [1] 50/23
allocation [1] 225/5
allow [18] 14/10 22/12 42/22 51/24 79/12 88/15 88/19 90/3 95/2 100/8 104/8 159/7 186/20 189/24 190/11 194/10 213/19 220/20
allowed [3] 10/19 46/1 52/8
allowing [2] 106/21 227/18
allows [2] 206/25 207/3
alluded [4] 11/24 29/17 221/9 234/18
alluding [1] 48/25
almost [14] 7/18 25/19 55/21 79/9 81/19 109/10 130/11 158/18 185/9 193/5 193/10 212/23 214/15 233/15
alone [2] 87/6 203/10
along [4] 52/4 126/16 146/14 183/11
already [17] 5/23 9/15 10/14 11/6 23/23 24/13 31/8 32/11 56/4 65/19 119/23 124/7 155/23 164/2 169/13 179/5 220/22
also [25] 1/8 2/10 5/19 27/12 31/16 36/1 36/4 41/18 75/10 84/19 101/6 101/24 116/7 131/4 132/6 167/5 168/1 169/9 185/6 189/18 192/23 209/14 216/1 229/25 233/10
altered [2] 9/23 23/20
alternative [1] 52/24
although [4] 70/21 171/25 172/3 235/14
always [8] 24/7 54/22 56/5 56/16 101/20 108/14 144/5 184/20
am [23] 1/2 3/22 4/25 11/2 21/13 31/3 31/4 46/12 46/14 47/5 69/15 69/17 77/19 78/23 105/14 106/4 106/17 106/18 117/10 120/16 210/4 219/11 235/16
amnesia [4] 232/11 232/17 232/20 233/23
amount [5] 46/7 49/3 56/17 144/18 179/22
analogy [2] 55/23

A

analogy... [1] 193/16
analyse [1] 121/18
analysis [4] 67/19 67/20 163/24 206/3
Ancillary [1] 65/7
Andrew [1] 165/22
Annex [2] 85/13 85/20
announce [1] 211/7
another [33] 10/25 15/18 22/7 81/4 82/13 83/14 84/16 86/18 90/13 92/5 92/21 96/7 104/25 107/4 107/7 108/17 110/6 111/21 114/12 118/3 118/5 127/1 139/4 139/12 139/16 141/2 141/7 150/16 155/16 208/13 210/1 218/25 230/15
answer [21] 37/13 89/12 96/14 98/5 98/21 98/23 108/9 119/6 125/21 130/8 178/3 181/16 195/15 203/20 203/24 205/2 212/15 233/1 233/16 233/19 234/23
answered [3] 84/11 96/12 236/25
answering [1] 204/2
answers [1] 128/10
anti [1] 81/10
anti-clockwise [1] 81/10
anticipated [4] 10/6 56/6 56/16 187/1
any [99] 1/19 1/22 2/22 3/1 3/17 3/17 8/16 9/12 10/11 10/20 10/23 16/11 16/16 17/10 20/2 23/20 25/9 26/17 29/15 30/25 33/1 36/12 39/5 46/2 49/25 63/10 64/21 64/23 64/25 68/17 78/12 81/5 82/16 84/25 88/4 88/13 97/8 98/1 103/9 105/20 119/4 123/15 125/5 127/3 131/15 131/18 132/18 132/22 133/12 133/12 135/25 136/8 137/5 138/7 138/20 139/22 147/3 150/12 156/5 156/15 161/8 165/8 165/16 166/18 166/21 171/19 174/17 177/6 177/11 179/6 180/24 187/21 190/8 191/14 194/16 200/24 201/12 201/23 202/14 204/24 205/10 208/23 209/1 210/23 211/11 217/10 217/17 218/21 221/4 222/22 225/17 228/8 229/13 230/1 230/7 230/9 231/13 233/23 236/16
anymore [1] 7/24
anyone [23] 3/20 19/9 30/10 67/13 67/15 73/20 102/24 104/21 106/4 129/5 133/15 136/8 138/4 141/20 160/5 163/7 170/20 177/7 181/4 181/14 206/14 212/16 228/20
anything [18] 3/3 3/5 34/12 45/13 71/24 77/3 77/4 80/16 84/8 94/9 108/4 128/4 132/24 133/1 165/15 168/15 223/14 232/18
anyway [3] 12/7 72/14 178/25
anywhere [3] 68/12 140/24 219/17
apart [1] 226/4
apologise [3] 191/24 220/18 237/14
apparently [3] 51/23 88/11 162/4
appear [39] 39/10 45/11 58/9 61/11 63/13 65/21 70/6 71/3 80/10 88/24 91/4 94/11 94/19 96/18 96/24 100/24 103/13 104/11 106/18 107/9 109/6 110/12 111/1 111/13 117/11 121/13 123/21 124/12 124/19 128/14 145/4 153/6 175/12 176/2 183/10 187/16 194/16 211/20 218/12
appeared [4] 87/21 122/11 184/17 232/12
appears [58] 16/22 29/23 32/6 63/21 80/11 81/20 81/23 82/5 82/7 83/5 83/21 88/12 90/3 90/22 90/25 93/1 93/4 93/14 95/4 96/17 103/16 104/17 105/18 106/3 106/5 106/7 106/15 107/3 107/10 107/13 107/15 108/24 109/2 109/15 110/2 110/9 110/11 111/16 112/24 113/7 113/13 113/17 113/19 113/20 114/8 114/15 115/20 116/10 116/22 117/22 121/12 134/13 144/23 153/3 201/19 206/13 209/6 212/18
append [1] 203/13
application [7] 3/2 3/2 3/9 58/9 132/23 132/23 133/5
applied [6] 2/6 29/6 57/22 81/11 108/7 132/2
applies [6] 1/11 2/2 102/22 131/7 131/23 203/22
apply [1] 51/7
appointed [2] 14/16 39/21
appreciable [1] 46/7
appreciate [2] 27/15 175/15
appreciated [4] 8/17 9/15 10/14 126/2
appreciation [1] 225/21
approach [16] 11/25 15/14 16/23 18/17 19/15 40/3 40/11 66/4 76/12 76/13 76/14 76/18 86/4 187/25 198/8 225/12
approached [2] 81/12 229/5
approaching [2] 103/24 119/12
appropriate [11] 2/17 18/2 28/13 52/11 78/21 97/10 132/13 184/24 212/10 225/14 231/8
appropriately [1] 52/13
appropriateness [2] 174/16 176/23
approval [2] 49/21 159/4
approve [1] 5/8
approved [3] 39/5 146/16 172/12
approximately [1] 73/1
April [3] 201/10 205/25 228/4
APU [24] 158/11 158/13 158/15 158/20 159/4 159/8 160/5 160/11 160/20 169/7 186/12 190/2 191/8 192/10 195/13 196/5 196/9 207/24 208/4 209/10 210/12 213/6 214/4 222/12
archives [1] 9/5
are [132] 1/6 1/12 1/13 1/23 2/15 3/16 5/8 13/8 13/25 14/2 18/20 19/14 26/4 27/4 27/4 28/10 30/8 32/16 33/3 34/18 35/12 40/24 43/10 43/19 47/2 48/12 49/1 53/2 58/19 58/21 60/25 70/9 71/13 72/22 77/8 78/15 78/15 78/16 78/19 78/19 78/24 80/8 80/11 80/25 84/11 85/21 85/22 88/9 94/18 96/4 98/20 99/6 100/2 100/5 100/8 100/9 102/23 102/25 103/4 105/14 108/18 110/24 110/24 115/22 120/5 120/19 121/4 124/14 124/19 130/11 131/2 131/8 131/9 131/19 132/11 133/11 135/21 138/10 144/24 151/10 152/14 153/18 156/24 160/13 162/18 164/24 165/18 168/10 168/25 169/17 169/18 169/18 169/21 174/5 176/8 179/14 180/13 180/20 181/18 182/23 183/4 183/5 186/9 186/20 186/23 187/4 187/4 187/6 188/18 192/12 192/16 196/10 196/19 197/12 202/10 202/20 203/2 204/6 204/15 204/18 205/3 206/23 206/23 207/18 209/25 211/20 214/21 214/24 215/1 228/8 232/17 236/14
area [3] 52/15 105/3 105/7
aren't [8] 99/6 102/8 141/9 151/23 152/6 169/11 187/4 204/18
argue [2] 180/3 181/22
argument [2] 12/5 177/21
arises [2] 2/2 131/23
arising [1] 201/19
arm [3] 109/15 116/6 116/7
armed [21] 35/20 36/19 84/13 87/14 87/23 118/13 118/18 119/3 119/13 121/9 122/13 124/4 124/6 125/3 125/9 168/14 197/8 198/20 214/6 218/14 230/4
arms [1] 231/10
army [2] 168/1 174/17
army's [1] 161/12
around [20] 6/20 10/7 12/6 17/18 19/7 68/16 76/3 81/21 111/24 112/3 113/13 124/16 140/19 150/19 175/20 181/9 223/7 223/11 225/10 227/23
arrange [1] 70/3
arrangements [1] 237/10
arrest [1] 180/21
arrival [1] 48/1
arrived [1] 8/23
arrow [1] 115/1
articulate [2] 12/14 212/2
articulated [1] 5/14
articulates [1] 5/12
articulating [1] 171/12
as [229] 2/2 2/22 4/12 5/7 5/20 6/8 6/12 6/19 7/16 8/24 9/25 9/25 10/22 12/16 12/16 13/20 15/11 15/14 16/5 18/14 21/22 23/18 23/22 26/16 27/1 28/4 28/10 29/7 29/17 31/1 31/3 32/6 32/10 33/5 33/11 33/11 33/14 33/16 33/22 34/7 36/12 37/23 37/24 39/13 39/22 42/4 43/3 43/8 44/2 46/13 46/19 46/21 48/8 48/15 49/16 52/11 52/23 53/8 53/23 57/9 57/20 63/19 64/7 64/7 64/20 69/4 72/18 72/19 73/9 73/10 73/10 75/7 76/1 76/4 76/13 76/19 76/21 77/17 78/3 78/16 81/3 81/11 82/2 82/19 86/1 87/6 89/5 89/24 91/25 92/1 93/6 94/19 95/3 95/10 103/5 103/5 103/17 109/1 109/14 109/14 111/2 114/3 115/17 115/19 116/7 116/20 118/10 119/11 120/18 121/7 121/14 121/20 121/24 122/19 123/21 124/19 125/16 129/17 131/23 132/18 134/18 136/1 137/8 141/21 142/18 142/18 143/16 145/2 145/23 145/23 147/3 147/11 147/11 147/17 149/13 149/14 149/20 149/25 150/5 152/6 153/22 154/1 155/7 156/17 159/25 160/9 161/16 164/2 164/17 164/22 165/15 165/18 166/18 166/23 171/6 172/22 174/4 174/15 176/3 176/5 177/10 177/10 177/24 179/6 179/25 182/15 183/5 188/8 188/11 190/2 190/9 191/15

A

as... [57] 191/18 192/16 193/8 193/20 193/22 195/18 195/20 197/2 200/5 200/23 201/18 203/15 203/19 204/3 205/5 205/5 205/8 205/8 207/17 207/24 207/24 208/8 208/9 209/14 209/18 211/1 211/24 214/1 215/4 215/24 215/24 216/9 220/19 220/23 221/24 223/14 223/17 225/17 225/20 226/5 226/21 227/12 228/2 231/19 232/4 232/16 233/20 234/4 234/14 234/17 235/15 235/15 235/17 236/1 236/3 236/11 236/11
as Northmoor [1] 236/11
aside [7] 63/19 76/12 85/7 173/4 197/12 202/14 224/12
ask [25] 23/19 26/12 36/9 36/13 36/23 40/11 77/8 78/23 84/14 89/10 114/2 150/16 154/8 155/8 161/4 171/1 171/20 172/15 174/24 199/9 205/11 227/1 235/10 236/15 236/17
asked [12] 13/17 36/22 38/10 67/24 179/5 199/5 199/10 208/17 214/2 218/8 224/8 232/6
asking [18] 40/16 40/21 54/14 54/20 120/20 122/15 138/10 141/13 148/3 154/3 174/10 174/13 200/1 205/12 224/3 232/17 232/23 233/4
asleep [3] 97/23 105/24 125/13
aspect [1] 136/8
aspects [2] 179/4 204/16
assessed [1] 161/7
assessment [6] 139/10 139/17 139/18 191/22 192/2 230/8
assigned [1] 144/4
assist [5] 32/3 45/22 191/9 200/4 203/17
assistance [2] 22/16 119/6
associated [4] 2/13 116/11 132/9 179/12
association [1] 201/4
assume [5] 13/8 75/7 123/22 149/11 186/25
assuming [3] 68/9 74/25 86/17
assumption [4] 104/19 107/12 125/4 225/3
assurance [13] 16/7 57/9 57/16 68/15 134/14 134/15 139/9 178/21 179/1 183/21 191/22 192/2 201/7
assurances [1] 32/8
at [314]
at page 3 [1] 189/7
atmosphere [2] 66/20 67/6
attached [4] 61/2 61/23 85/17 166/1
attempt [2] 5/22 158/15
attending [3] 1/12 131/8 226/4
attention [3] 1/8 131/4 237/13
attract [1] 230/1
audible [4] 89/12 181/16 203/20 203/24
audio [2] 1/20 131/16
audit [1] 130/13
August [6] 6/15 11/4 32/13 38/3 38/7 184/15
austere [1] 169/21
authenticity [1] 20/9
authorised [1] 49/19
authority [6] 28/24 31/1 135/10 155/9 161/15 175/16
automatic [4] 94/16 94/21 119/18 120/2
automatically [3] 62/19 63/15 63/16
autumn [1] 15/1
availability [1] 193/24
available [21] 2/15 7/23 10/24 14/25 17/22 19/4 41/1 46/15 50/7 51/1 55/10 55/11 63/19 86/2 123/20 132/11 142/3 186/5 216/24 234/25 235/4
avoidance [6] 3/10 103/9 133/5 209/1 217/10 217/17
awake [1] 97/23
aware [19] 14/21 17/12 17/18 18/20 23/25 34/18 37/24 155/22 156/12 177/10 177/15 197/9 199/11 199/23 200/8 200/11 200/24 201/2 203/2
awareness [1] 29/7
away [2] 78/22 115/10
awful [1] 223/24

B

back [87] 6/9 6/10 13/23 17/15 19/10 22/6 24/11 26/17 34/3 37/19 40/24 47/4 47/17 47/21 57/1 60/21 63/2 73/15 79/9 80/21 80/21 81/19 82/12 84/17 86/2 88/12 88/15 89/18 90/22 90/23 91/24 92/17 92/20 93/2 95/3 95/11 96/25 97/3 101/24 103/17 105/19 106/4 106/11 106/20 106/23 108/1 109/10 110/10 110/21 111/4 112/23 113/18 114/22 115/18 121/12 124/4 126/20 128/13 141/24 146/7 150/11 150/14 157/8 157/11 157/14 161/21 173/14 173/18 175/11 176/7 176/21 188/17 190/10 191/24 197/14 199/8 199/17 202/11 205/15 205/16 209/3 217/22 218/2 220/5 220/17 222/8 235/5
back-up [1] 26/17
backbrief [1] 190/13
background [3] 16/12 49/17 162/10
backing [1] 29/8
backwards [2] 89/18 91/10
bad [1] 144/14
balance [1] 216/24
balanced [1] 67/7
band [1] 190/13
Bang [4] 58/20 154/2 154/15 155/21
banging [1] 55/21
barrel [1] 113/14
barriers [2] 29/7 29/9
base [1] 41/1
based [11] 13/24 14/4 40/24 43/18 44/24 48/10 149/11 192/13 219/11 222/7 222/12
basic [2] 87/2 221/24
basically [2] 59/13 70/14
basis [4] 13/7 23/6 183/7 206/5
be [417]
bear [6] 19/11 59/2 106/10 108/23 139/4 148/2
bearing [2] 93/23 157/5
bearings [1] 114/17
bears [1] 103/13
became [3] 64/3 197/9 198/7
because [158] 5/24 7/13 7/23 8/9 11/17 12/1 12/8 13/10 18/12 18/17 19/19 21/22 23/2 24/4 31/24 33/24 33/24 34/18 37/12 39/18 40/22 41/24 44/22 46/1 48/17 49/21 51/25 52/8 52/14 53/9 53/17 54/19 56/9 57/1 59/8 61/24 62/11 64/23 64/25 65/5 65/20 65/21 66/6 66/12 66/21 70/12 71/10 71/16 72/24 73/19 74/3 77/2 77/20 77/23 80/6 82/23 86/9 89/13 90/21 91/16 93/13 94/9 96/2 96/2 97/15 98/16 99/1 100/12 100/16 100/20 104/3 104/24 105/23 110/6 114/8 114/17 117/1 117/14 120/5 121/10 122/16 124/15 125/9 126/18 128/10 129/6 129/13 130/1 134/9 137/21 138/13 139/4 141/22 142/4 144/3 144/16 146/3 151/6 151/23 152/17 154/17 154/22 159/3 159/5 160/14 160/22 161/7 161/12 162/24 164/1 166/9 169/22 170/9 170/13 172/14 172/21 173/1 173/14 173/19 175/6 175/8 176/6 179/20 182/5 182/15 184/20 185/10 187/9 188/3 193/18 195/18 197/6 198/4 198/9 198/11 200/18 202/11 202/18 205/2 205/7 205/23 209/1 210/12 210/25 214/15 214/20 217/12 223/21 224/7 224/10 225/11 228/11 231/13 231/18 233/18 234/1 234/17 235/22
become [1] 19/19
bed [19] 82/5 82/12 82/14 82/20 82/23 89/11 89/14 89/18 89/21 90/14 91/12 93/16 97/24 116/12 117/12 117/20 117/25 119/3 125/7
bedding [8] 80/12 88/12 88/13 91/10 103/19 105/20 108/25 116/24
been [283]
before [50] 6/13 13/25 22/3 27/10 29/17 35/21 36/20 37/23 38/1 38/9 50/6 53/10 55/23 57/7 60/15 60/19 65/5 77/8 77/12 77/15 77/20 78/11 108/8 136/11 139/13 139/15 139/15 141/1 146/8 165/15 167/13 170/1 170/7 176/23 181/4 183/17 187/17 191/15 194/5 208/14 209/8 209/11 210/5 210/22 211/7 219/14 221/10 223/17 232/2 234/18
beforehand [2] 129/13 140/22
beg [1] 212/14
began [2] 38/5 86/2
begin [1] 178/24
beginning [2] 20/25 192/18
begs [1] 11/18
behalf [2] 22/17 27/14
behind [18] 5/3 19/11 53/3 69/3 74/21 94/10 103/17 111/1 121/13 145/18 150/15 171/11 186/6 189/7 195/25 213/2 217/22 218/2
being [63] 9/23 22/1 26/1 27/8 27/16 27/21 28/6 34/19 35/22 37/16 39/8 48/6 48/23 50/12 55/14 56/14 61/25 63/3 63/21 71/6 71/14 77/12 93/24 103/4 104/8 109/9 141/6 141/25 150/10 151/22 152/13 156/20 167/7 168/23 172/22 173/14 173/16 175/8 176/1 176/21 181/17 185/12 187/1 187/17 188/10 193/16 194/2 197/18 203/21 203/25 207/18 215/1 217/7 220/11 223/24 224/8 225/9 228/8 228/9 231/14 233/13 233/15 234/4
belief [2] 23/13 64/6
believe [33] 12/15 12/17 12/20 13/9 14/4 27/9 28/22 33/4 33/18 34/5 35/2 40/12 41/3 41/15 41/17 41/24 44/3 45/5 52/22 55/6 66/16 67/3 105/13 166/8 170/23 171/7 193/9 199/18 203/3 203/6 204/21 215/11

B

believe... [1] 215/19
believed [13] 23/5 23/5 23/6 34/20 40/6 40/17 41/1 44/22 45/19 45/23 159/1 198/22 234/24
believes [1] 7/22
believing [1] 42/4
below [1] 4/23
beneath [5] 5/2 80/13 89/7 106/7 116/23
benefit [4] 175/12 203/7 203/22 204/7
beside [2] 119/1 218/17
bespoke [1] 50/10
best [10] 9/14 74/18 74/20 76/15 134/10 163/18 199/24 234/21 234/25 235/4
better [6] 4/22 70/18 99/6 99/16 104/24 126/19
between [13] 19/7 41/7 116/21 124/1 135/12 150/6 167/1 177/11 179/16 183/20 200/25 231/5 231/9
beyond [1] 208/12
big [2] 84/11 167/20
Bigging [1] 225/7
bin [1] 156/25
Birthday [1] 235/19
bit [10] 19/7 52/16 60/20 137/2 144/10 150/5 184/5 199/17 204/13 223/19
bite [2] 219/7 219/9
bits [1] 152/14
blame [1] 226/15
blanche [1] 182/7
blanket [3] 116/24 117/23 118/2
bled [3] 89/15 109/23 110/22
bleeding [8] 81/20 82/4 91/4 96/19 107/14 107/23 111/12 113/21
bloke [1] 77/4
blood [48] 81/21 81/23 82/7 83/17 83/19 89/1 89/4 89/7 90/5 90/7 91/25 93/5 93/9 93/13 96/21 96/21 96/22 98/16 103/17 104/16 105/3 105/7 107/15 107/21 109/13 109/14 109/24 110/11 110/20 110/22 110/23 111/1 111/12 116/5 116/12 116/15 117/2 117/6 117/8 117/9 117/14 117/19 121/13 121/14 121/18 121/19 121/23 121/25
blood-staining [3] 89/4 103/17 116/5
blue [2] 8/21 179/9
board [3] 50/6 149/25 232/20
bode [1] 201/21
bodies [23] 61/13 62/18 62/22 72/1 72/11 77/18 78/8 79/14 80/8 81/11 83/10 94/11 95/20 95/24 96/4 108/8 112/3 115/6 115/7 118/15 119/23 120/5 122/8
body [37] 69/5 81/4 81/4 81/19 82/1 82/21 83/5 83/21 84/1 95/21 98/15 100/17 104/8 104/11 104/23 104/24 104/25 105/6 105/7 105/19 106/1 108/5 108/16 108/19 109/7 111/16 113/12 116/20 117/5 118/2 119/1 122/2 140/21 214/5 215/8 218/17 229/7
borne [1] 28/8
both [10] 45/18 60/22 66/23 110/13 113/13 135/21 137/12 169/10 179/11 180/19
bottom [22] 5/13 8/21 20/25 31/2 63/2 79/12 79/13 81/15 93/1 108/24 118/10 123/22 136/16 163/1 173/8 179/8 195/9 201/13 213/12 218/20 220/21 221/4
bottomed [5] 169/1 169/23 170/7 176/24 209/3
bought [2] 56/21 77/8
bow [1] 184/7
box [3] 51/8 121/5 220/12
boxes [4] 48/9 51/3 57/1 220/11
brackets [4] 147/21 147/22 164/19 177/25
brave [2] 222/6 222/10
Bravo [2] 112/16 112/19
breach [8] 2/19 3/14 3/17 3/20 132/15 133/9 133/12 133/15
breached [2] 2/23 132/19
break [11] 57/8 65/5 69/11 69/13 69/16 130/20 183/17 185/22 185/24 186/1 234/2
brick [1] 55/22
brief [3] 150/10 176/16 211/12
briefed [5] 142/24 148/12 149/5 149/16 210/15
briefing [15] 149/15 152/19 152/21 152/21 153/1 162/2 169/21 174/7 177/11 186/7 187/16 189/8 192/13 200/20 217/12
briefings [2] 48/23 223/8
briefly [1] 106/24
Brigadier [32] 31/24 31/25 34/14 34/24 35/23 39/16 40/20 47/4 47/11 47/19 66/6 129/23 130/6 135/3 153/10 176/15 176/25 177/4 177/11 185/15 185/18 200/25 208/14 208/19 211/3 212/7 212/15 215/22 220/2 224/23 234/14 234/20
Brigadier's [1] 37/13
bring [4] 99/5 101/20 105/20 208/22
bringing [1] 190/10
brings [1] 213/16
British [6] 168/14 174/17 214/4 215/8 218/14 218/23
broke [1] 136/11
brought [2] 79/6 213/15
build [3] 7/17 51/20 52/8
build-up [1] 7/17
building [4] 52/9 112/16 112/20 223/8
built [6] 51/12 51/24 53/1 65/20 65/23 224/11
bullet [19] 4/23 16/2 168/25 171/16 173/5 173/25 186/9 186/15 189/11 190/8 192/18 193/23 194/6 194/9 196/4 196/15 211/14 211/25 220/21
bulleted [1] 189/16
bullets [1] 94/12
bullseye [1] 72/19
bundle [1] 235/7
burst [4] 119/15 119/18 119/19 120/2
business [4] 150/1 150/1 168/10 168/15
business-like [1] 150/1
but [230] 1/16 4/8 5/9 5/19 7/2 7/10 12/6 13/8 14/15 15/6 15/17 17/17 18/10 18/14 19/9 19/14 23/25 24/21 25/18 25/23 26/3 27/6 27/17 28/10 31/15 31/16 32/16 33/21 34/4 35/5 35/10 36/1 37/10 37/22 37/23 38/1 38/7 41/10 43/19 45/17 46/16 48/13 48/18 50/2 50/13 51/7 51/19 52/5 52/15 52/23 53/1 56/3 56/13 58/4 60/15 60/19 61/18 62/2 62/23 63/15 67/20 68/1 69/8 71/22 72/25 74/2 74/9 75/16 76/4 76/15 76/25 77/21 77/24 78/23 80/7 81/14 83/1 83/14 85/22 87/4 90/25 91/18 92/1 93/4 93/6 93/9 94/5 95/24 96/4 97/12 98/4 99/2 99/5 99/22 100/5 102/4 103/15 104/10 104/16 106/11 106/17 107/9 108/2 108/12 108/14 109/19 110/20 111/10 113/2 113/6 114/22 115/2 117/11 119/24 120/17 123/6 123/21 125/21 126/2 126/14 126/23 127/1 127/18 129/23 130/4 130/17 131/12 134/18 136/9 136/10 137/14 138/11 138/16 140/1 140/1 141/8 141/23 142/2 142/8 142/9 144/15 144/18 144/20 145/8 149/5 150/8 150/15 151/21 152/6 152/19 152/24 155/20 156/12 158/1 158/15 158/23 159/3 159/9 160/3 160/7 164/2 167/8 167/15 168/8 168/17 169/10 169/17 170/3 171/5 171/6 171/19 172/20 173/4 173/17 174/20 175/1 175/12 176/19 177/4 177/21 178/13 179/6 179/24 180/22 181/18 181/20 182/7 182/12 182/21 184/7 184/13 184/22 188/10 188/22 189/18 190/12 190/25 191/2 191/12 192/5 193/11 198/2 198/19 199/14 199/19 200/17 202/13 202/24 205/14 206/9 206/10 206/15 209/13 212/16 214/12 215/21 216/9 220/2 220/16 222/1 224/7 224/14 226/14 228/17 228/25 230/19 231/21 233/4 233/10 235/15
buy [1] 225/6
bytes [1] 199/3

C

cabinet [2] 49/19 50/17
cable [1] 51/17
call [5] 13/21 123/16 141/18 220/25 232/16
called [1] 20/11
came [19] 15/11 57/14 68/14 97/16 114/3 118/12 120/17 124/4 124/5 125/6 147/3 152/1 164/17 171/12 200/18 201/10 224/24 225/20 232/23
cameras [1] 74/7
can [116] 4/9 6/22 7/4 8/17 12/22 12/23 14/9 15/18 17/19 19/9 19/10 20/21 22/18 29/20 36/9 36/20 38/7 39/12 42/6 46/11 47/9 49/13 53/2 53/23 57/7 58/15 72/15 76/23 78/13 79/4 80/4 80/21 89/4 90/2 90/6 90/21 91/24 92/1 93/4 99/8 99/9 103/5 104/7 104/24 106/12 106/23 108/12 109/13 110/9 111/23 112/13 112/24 113/1 113/3 113/11 114/9 115/19 115/25 116/7 116/12 117/2 121/19 123/18 126/19 129/6 134/11 135/7 138/18 142/18 144/2 145/17 153/22 155/9 155/16 156/1 156/16 157/2 161/16 162/1 164/5 164/22 165/2 165/11 170/13 171/1 172/14 174/15 177/1 178/16 178/19 181/18 183/6 194/3 195/24 201/7 201/23 202/5 202/14 202/20 203/9 206/9 206/20 211/7 211/23 213/11 216/16 217/11 227/1 233/9 233/21 235/10 236/22 236/24 237/6 237/9 237/13
can't [38] 12/1 24/2 37/13 45/12 59/6 64/22 65/22 69/5 77/3 84/4 91/14 92/12 99/18 99/25 100/3 112/14 113/2 130/8 138/10 138/11 138/11

C

can't... [17] 138/25 139/2 144/15 146/3 152/19 153/1 165/2 174/14 181/8 193/16 210/20 215/20 216/15 220/16 225/23 232/19 233/20
candour [2] 25/14 232/9
cannot [2] 16/19 125/3
cap [1] 208/20
capability [5] 20/17 50/8 50/10 54/9 65/11
capable [1] 50/12
capacity [1] 150/20
capital [1] 206/13
Captain [39] 4/14 4/22 5/12 6/11 7/22 8/7 8/20 8/24 9/16 10/15 13/10 13/17 13/18 14/4 14/19 14/24 15/16 15/22 16/1 17/19 17/24 19/3 19/15 19/20 21/1 23/6 23/9 25/16 26/3 31/1 32/5 37/20 38/18 39/10 39/24 41/12 41/18 66/17 66/21
Captain Wright [13] 5/12 7/22 13/10 13/17 14/19 15/16 19/3 21/1 23/9 26/3 39/24 41/12 41/18
capturing [1] 73/13
career [1] 236/6
careful [2] 176/21 236/24
carefully [2] 21/11 21/21
carpet [1] 108/25
carried [6] 67/20 95/12 142/6 158/17 204/22 236/8
carry [2] 13/4 218/6
carrying [3] 139/9 139/17 157/21
cart [1] 55/23
carte [1] 182/7
carte blanche [1] 182/7
cascaded [1] 134/3
case [19] 8/16 25/19 25/25 30/25 43/9 58/17 65/21 76/25 77/1 77/2 78/10 79/16 82/4 84/21 114/16 160/22 165/8 181/22 229/18
cases [1] 57/24
cast [1] 167/21
casting [1] 233/24
catastrophe [2] 224/13 224/13
catastrophised [1] 222/23
catastrophising [2] 223/11 225/2
catch [4] 88/15 88/19 90/4 95/2
cause [1] 24/1
caused [3] 34/19 107/23 225/17
caution [6] 108/7 180/19 180/24 181/4 181/19 183/6
Cave [2] 1/10 131/6
caveat [2] 190/16 233/2
Cayley [1] 165/22
cease [1] 217/1
cent [4] 58/1 58/4 58/5 232/5
centre [2] 72/20 173/10
certain [3] 2/12 132/8 200/6
certainly [10] 38/9 53/23 58/8 144/18 149/2 164/5 166/16 190/6 210/25 232/15
certified [2] 3/18 133/13
chain [5] 134/4 147/14 151/17 175/15 196/20
Chair [16] 1/9 1/21 1/25 2/7 2/10 2/20 3/8 23/22 32/11 131/5 131/17 131/21 132/3 132/6 132/16 133/4
chance [3] 10/16 138/9 201/21
chances [1] 192/8
change [2] 106/11 198/10
changed [1] 71/24
changing [1] 192/8
characterise [1] 141/16
characterising [1] 141/14
charge [10] 25/13 26/1 45/2 148/21 154/9 176/10 186/24 187/6 187/7 235/12
chase [1] 89/25
chat [4] 160/1 178/2 217/3 219/7
check [2] 38/7 118/23
cheek [2] 93/10 117/4
cheeks [1] 110/14
cherry [1] 199/3
chest [1] 113/20
chief [4] 31/21 149/25 174/6 175/1
choice [1] 37/13
choices [1] 33/2
choreography [1] 194/25
chosen [1] 39/11
chronologically [1] 14/14
chronology [9] 6/4 11/3 38/6 46/6 46/23 49/15 49/25 53/17 193/10
circle [1] 72/20
circled [1] 113/11
circles [1] 72/19
circumstances [2] 96/2 227/23
claim [1] 233/25
clarification [1] 52/14
clarify [1] 77/16
classification [2] 16/10 33/25
clear [14] 10/15 14/24 34/18 64/3 73/5 75/16 77/13 127/3 145/4 147/2 169/18 226/13 231/4 234/4
clearance [1] 28/14
cleared [2] 2/21 132/17
clearer [1] 118/8
clearly [1] 104/25
Cliff [1] 179/1
Clifford [1] 57/10
clock [3] 19/18 21/16 26/22
clockwise [2] 81/10 81/10
close [13] 6/19 113/16 117/16 143/2 143/14 143/18 144/21 147/22 173/13 187/22 188/2 226/3 227/5
close-down [4] 143/14 188/2 226/3 227/5
closed [3] 2/21 132/17 160/14
closer [1] 115/10
closing [1] 154/20
closure [2] 193/12 209/7
cloth [1] 103/19
clothing [3] 116/6 116/8 116/21
cloud [3] 25/20 127/11 159/19
Co [2] 155/20 174/18
CO's [1] 85/13
coagulated [1] 107/15
cognisant [2] 27/12 49/1
coherence [2] 38/22 39/25
coherent [1] 229/22
coined [1] 121/2
collapse [1] 95/7
collapsed [1] 82/11
collected [1] 110/20
collection [3] 107/15 109/24 111/12
collective [1] 189/15
Collingwood [1] 55/8
colonel [44] 3/23 3/25 4/4 11/12 52/23 69/20 77/9 118/4 133/21 135/4 153/10 153/11 170/21 171/11 178/22 183/4 183/18 186/5 195/25 206/20 206/21 208/15 209/23 213/3 215/20 215/22 215/22 215/24 217/12 219/5 219/15 219/23 220/3 220/19 221/21 222/4 222/5 223/2 223/2 235/12 236/13 236/17 236/20 238/3
colour [1] 113/6
coloured [2] 80/12 124/18
come [18] 15/14 34/2 34/11 35/21 50/6 78/12 84/14 128/10 140/14 144/25 146/14 151/7 168/21 185/9 188/11 190/14 222/13 234/11
comes [3] 40/24 80/5 155/3
coming [8] 7/18 57/10 73/15 84/5 93/14 126/22 187/18 236/23
command [8] 45/24 147/15 151/17 175/15 196/19 227/12 230/3 230/9
Commander [11] 26/4 33/16 39/21 53/8 137/9 152/6 153/14 176/8 209/14 230/23 235/18
commanding [10] 85/17 101/7 142/11 174/19 174/23 196/16 200/23 227/8 228/22 229/12
commence [2] 7/1 220/9
commenced [1] 57/16
commensurate [1] 16/9
comments [1] 223/4
commissioning [1] 17/13
committed [8] 36/15 180/14 181/4 198/23 200/13 227/16 227/19 229/15
committing [1] 36/25
Commodore [1] 135/4
common [1] 232/15
Comms [1] 38/22
communicated [1] 211/17
community [2] 28/17 28/19
companion [1] 125/17
companions [1] 87/12
company [3] 169/22 228/3 228/8
compared [1] 86/23
complaints [1] 214/18
complete [5] 10/7 163/9 164/1 171/3 220/8
completed [11] 11/4 27/2 60/9 136/18 136/25 158/6 159/2 164/7 164/8 192/25 210/13
completely [5] 123/1 127/6 159/14 162/22 180/9
completion [4] 180/16 190/4 194/23 211/16
compliance [1] 57/17
complicated [2] 160/3 222/1
complicit [4] 198/17 199/8 199/12 227/18
compound [3] 72/13 87/19 121/8
comprehensive [1] 229/22
computer [5] 12/4 74/25 75/8 76/3 180/6
concentrate [1] 188/22
concept [1] 52/7
concern [5] 5/12 35/22 179/12 195/7 230/22
concerned [11] 31/7 35/25 64/8 80/16 138/8 142/18 145/24 150/9 189/1 207/24 215/24
concerns [1] 225/9
conclude [7] 93/12 107/21 178/17 187/21 197/4 200/13 208/18
concluded [6] 143/2 148/6 176/11 196/13 207/4 208/5
concludes [1] 28/22
concluding [4] 160/7 183/16 189/1 213/20
conclusion [17] 66/1 155/24 169/14 180/10 181/3 188/5 191/17 204/12 207/22 208/8

C

conclusion... [7] 210/24 211/9 211/22 213/16 213/18 220/13 234/22
conclusions [3] 108/9 108/12 204/4
conduct [13] 1/11 12/22 12/24 13/24 34/3 36/14 46/12 46/15 131/7 190/11 193/25 213/6 224/15
conducted [6] 30/1 43/1 163/4 164/12 164/25 228/8
conducting [1] 39/3
confidence [3] 23/13 85/9 170/9
confirm [3] 27/9 29/11 126/11
confirmation [1] 31/17
confirmed [1] 7/2
conflict [3] 32/8 160/17 191/6
conjunction [1] 33/21
connect [1] 51/10
connection [1] 204/16
conscious [2] 21/13 65/6
consent [5] 15/9 15/11 15/15 40/1 40/3
consequences [1] 175/21
Consequently [2] 179/14 202/4
consider [11] 4/5 40/16 43/3 65/9 82/1 83/4 90/12 98/2 120/17 125/25 204/16
considerable [4] 216/19 220/22 220/24 221/22
consideration [6] 83/12 84/16 139/8 141/25 153/15 155/15
considered [10] 21/11 21/21 48/19 64/5 134/15 143/7 174/9 179/14 205/24 208/3
considering [1] 105/23
considers [1] 70/21
consistent [4] 83/6 88/2 100/9 117/24
Constable [1] 151/5
constraints [4] 48/15 165/5 205/3 207/4
construct [2] 187/22 188/1
consultation [4] 171/17 171/19 172/4 220/1
contained [7] 1/13 2/12 13/13 59/21 85/20 131/9 132/8
contains [1] 22/23
content [5] 21/10 21/20 41/20 79/3 223/9
contents [3] 27/22 27/24 224/5
context [2] 203/9 223/19
contextualise [1] 63/11
continue [5] 171/19 190/1 194/13 196/5 206/24
continued [5] 3/23 3/24 38/6 238/3 238/5
continues [5] 9/20 63/5 187/20 208/4 208/12
continuity [4] 179/13 179/17 202/3 202/16
contrary [1] 183/12
contribution [1] 212/17
convene [2] 186/17 186/19
convenient [3] 69/10 130/18 185/21
conversation [7] 41/6 47/10 101/12 130/3 130/6 150/6 222/5
conversations [2] 138/16 181/9
Conversely [1] 183/23
convinced [1] 47/6
coordination [1] 168/20
copied [3] 16/4 228/12 228/14
copies [13] 2/15 13/20 41/13 78/15 78/15 78/15 99/1 99/1 99/2 99/9 99/9 99/9 132/11
copy [5] 80/6 80/6 80/7 85/12 228/13
copying [1] 209/23
corner [9] 85/13 88/21 104/7 104/13 107/5 114/16 114/19 116/10 118/11
Cornwall [1] 34/3
corporately [2] 162/18 215/7
correct [149] 6/4 8/15 11/5 11/11 14/18 15/8 22/5 22/15 26/2 28/21 29/6 30/11 31/11 34/2 34/21 35/1 39/15 40/5 44/4 45/1 45/8 45/20 50/15 50/15 51/6 51/11 52/23 53/21 54/18 55/18 55/20 56/22 56/25 57/3 61/14 63/1 63/12 64/9 66/12 71/15 74/7 75/19 77/1 82/25 83/3 84/19 85/15 91/15 91/17 91/22 93/22 94/21 96/5 96/16 98/7 99/19 102/1 105/15 106/16 108/6 110/8 110/12 111/22 118/6 120/1 126/1 127/17 127/21 129/14 134/7 135/11 135/18 137/4 145/7 146/19 146/24 148/16 148/18 148/22 151/7 154/10 154/11 154/16 154/18 155/14 164/3 164/13 164/13 164/16 165/6 166/15 167/14 169/24 170/12 172/9 172/11 172/25 177/22 177/22 178/7 178/14 181/6 182/11 183/9 185/20 185/20 187/8 189/3 189/5 191/3 191/5 193/7 195/17 195/19 196/12 197/11 199/15 200/10 201/6 202/19 202/23 202/25 209/9 213/7 213/10 214/8 214/14 214/14 214/19 215/11 216/6 218/12 221/17 221/20 223/16 223/18 226/16 227/13 228/19 228/24 230/20 230/25 232/10 233/2 233/22 233/22 234/7 236/3 236/12
corrected [5] 104/20 105/16 106/16 108/14 120/16
Correcting [1] 157/10
Correction [1] 237/19
corrective [1] 208/1
correctly [1] 198/2
costs [1] 50/24
coughing [1] 237/14
could [55] 12/17 13/18 18/22 19/11 38/11 48/21 51/19 52/12 52/25 53/1 58/4 69/20 70/25 73/10 74/16 74/19 76/16 78/7 89/13 89/15 97/8 98/20 98/23 99/11 100/21 108/19 118/7 119/4 127/11 137/14 143/3 149/17 150/11 159/12 178/20 184/12 184/13 186/6 186/13 189/6 191/19 198/10 199/3 199/5 199/8 205/8 207/5 207/16 218/19 221/16 222/25 224/11 230/1 230/10 233/1
couldn't [10] 18/23 53/18 65/20 66/17 67/4 74/12 84/18 98/24 125/9 224/11
Counsel [4] 2/25 3/24 132/21 238/4
couple [1] 236/20
course [60] 2/19 6/8 6/25 11/9 19/1 30/7 30/12 32/20 34/2 35/14 35/16 39/11 39/24 72/17 99/18 101/17 101/17 102/2 103/2 104/20 104/21 105/14 105/16 106/17 108/7 108/14 115/4 117/1 117/10 118/20 120/17 121/18 124/5 124/15 132/15 142/20 144/2 147/22 151/13 168/23 170/14 175/11 176/3 176/16 177/23 178/12 179/7 186/13 190/12 190/14 200/8 212/16 213/16 216/25 224/2 224/18 226/22 231/8 232/3 233/3
courses [2] 31/1 182/5
court [5] 3/19 3/19 20/3 133/14 133/14
courtesy [1] 6/8
cover [1] 116/22
covering [2] 114/10 117/23
Cox [27] 39/21 60/22 64/18 66/22 66/25 69/24 73/3 78/14 128/16 129/4 130/1 145/24 147/17 148/9 151/5 151/8 151/16 153/11 193/6 199/18 205/23 217/15 220/6 226/9 226/20 227/3 228/12
Cox's [2] 70/6 145/18
crass [1] 22/1
created [1] 70/23
credence [2] 141/6 161/9
credibility [1] 233/24
credible [1] 161/8
cried [1] 126/12
crime [6] 180/14 181/3 183/7 198/22 200/13 227/15
criminal [10] 22/2 36/13 36/15 36/25 168/12 197/8 198/15 212/11 230/10 230/10
critical [3] 68/24 72/10 225/11
criticise [3] 225/14 225/23 225/25
criticism [6] 25/6 26/5 214/12 230/1 230/11 235/15
cross [2] 43/19 221/5
cross-referencing [1] 221/5
crossed [1] 17/3
culpability [2] 198/11 198/15
cup [1] 237/15
current [3] 6/20 59/25 200/20
currently [3] 10/2 201/18 219/12
curtains [2] 121/8 124/4
curved [1] 113/9
cushion [7] 88/25 90/10 91/10 109/3 109/11 114/9 116/10
cyber [2] 21/5 53/11

D

damage [2] 11/6 24/1
danger [1] 151/21
dangerous [1] 159/24
dare [1] 199/4
dark [3] 87/17 88/3 88/7
Darren [1] 165/25
data [41] 6/17 7/4 9/5 10/12 13/19 16/3 16/7 20/8 20/9 23/19 23/23 24/1 32/7 32/11 33/4 33/18 34/6 34/12 34/20 34/25 35/22 36/2 36/8 37/4 37/20 37/24 38/24 40/6 40/17 41/3 44/13 46/9 49/11 50/16 55/19 56/17 74/3 140/9 154/13 180/7 224/15
dataset [10] 4/10 9/10 9/22 20/20 25/3 27/1 42/6 46/13 46/14 56/2
datasets [1] 40/1
date [22] 6/18 7/2 17/17 58/3 60/4 65/17 65/24 74/4 74/15 75/17 112/14 112/18 126/11 135/24 159/3 159/4 162/10 162/12 180/7 204/22 204/25 206/7
date-wise [2] 65/17 65/24
dated [3] 4/15 85/14 228/4
dates [3] 53/21 67/19 158/14
day [7] 151/8 151/8

D

day... [5] 155/20 155/20 156/1 234/9 237/22
days [6] 29/21 37/23 103/6 223/6 223/11 236/20
DCGS [5] 186/8 187/2 187/16 188/10 189/9
DDO [21] 17/14 43/1 62/9 72/13 73/4 73/5 75/11 75/23 75/23 84/15 85/1 85/5 85/10 85/15 86/4 101/7 103/6 119/11 142/13 152/8 180/7
DDOs [30] 42/16 58/21 59/8 59/19 61/2 70/9 73/2 79/19 79/22 102/20 123/8 126/8 141/21 142/4 142/5 142/6 146/18 148/13 152/11 153/2 156/24 162/9 162/22 164/6 164/14 164/21 179/24 187/11 227/9 230/24
dead [7] 87/2 100/17 109/19 111/16 119/23 120/5 121/10
deadline [1] 58/2
deal [11] 14/14 65/5 73/22 78/10 103/4 143/14 143/19 179/4 179/7 183/17 201/24
dealing [3] 14/2 14/15 232/9
deals [3] 58/16 136/12 212/11
dealt [3] 152/11 198/7 231/20
death [1] 95/8
debate [2] 40/23 45/14
deceased [19] 61/13 86/12 86/18 96/6 100/18 103/7 103/8 109/14 112/2 112/3 112/21 113/1 113/17 114/20 118/16 122/9 140/22 214/5 215/9
December [14] 26/22 29/21 32/5 35/21 37/22 138/21 139/1 142/20 144/10 146/16 147/6 186/19 187/2 190/6
decide [2] 151/4 157/20
decided [1] 174/13
decision [36] 6/11 14/11 18/25 22/18 26/25 31/4 35/23 46/10 50/2 50/6 138/18 147/8 149/3 156/16 157/2 186/20 187/21 188/3 190/12 190/20 206/1 210/14 210/18 216/25 219/18 219/24 220/2 220/6 220/20 222/6 222/11 226/14 226/15 234/6 234/8 234/15
decisions [16] 5/3 41/1 134/19 136/6 149/19 150/2 152/1 175/21 182/6 226/5 230/8 234/13 234/16 234/20 235/1 235/2
decisive [3] 209/25 210/8 210/10
declare [1] 21/24
deemed [3] 52/11 163/3 196/10
Deep [2] 171/25 173/4
deeply [5] 27/14 27/20 28/23 30/15 49/2
Defence [5] 70/16 167/1 210/4 210/21 211/6
deference [2] 151/16 231/15
definitely [1] 85/11
degree [2] 23/13 85/9
degrees [2] 69/8 205/3
delay [4] 2/4 5/15 127/1 131/25
delayed [1] 33/24
delete [3] 11/23 11/23 24/4
deleted [18] 23/19 23/23 24/9 29/24 30/2 31/9 32/7 32/12 32/13 33/19 34/6 35/2 35/22 37/4 37/21 37/25 40/6 40/18
deletion [7] 23/23 29/25 34/20 37/22 38/23 39/4 39/8
deliberate [1] 32/20
Delivery [1] 50/24
Delme [1] 67/24
demand [5] 30/19 32/22 35/17 51/25 71/22
demi [3] 21/9 21/21 22/10
demi-official [2] 21/21 22/10
demonstrate [1] 25/13
demonstrated [1] 128/1
demonstrates [1] 33/7
department [1] 167/5
departure [1] 208/13
depend [1] 198/5
dependent [3] 49/8 163/13 163/22
depending [2] 155/6 198/4
depends [2] 138/15 190/12
deployed [2] 159/7 233/5
deployment [1] 12/2
deposited [1] 82/5
deprive [1] 127/18
deprived [1] 127/22
deputy [1] 165/25
describe [2] 78/25 221/24
described [8] 57/8 82/2 86/9 103/11 122/19 124/19 125/16 134/18
description [6] 86/3 86/21 86/24 120/1 123/2 135/16
designate [1] 41/4
designated [2] 79/22 81/6
designation [1] 41/10
desire [6] 17/24 31/9 31/10 70/6 128/15 213/4
despite [7] 39/8 147/21 152/3 158/9 158/10 202/1 224/7
destroyed [2] 9/24 221/8
detail [3] 72/24 150/3 212/3
detailed [1] 5/3
details [3] 99/8 123/5 150/11
determination [1] 211/6
determinative [1] 83/1
determine [4] 28/15 30/2 89/1 90/11
determined [5] 3/8 15/9 109/22 133/4 187/10
determining [2] 118/12 217/3
detract [1] 178/12
developing [1] 183/25
development [1] 50/2
devices [2] 1/6 131/2
dial [1] 173/11
dialling [1] 173/18
dials [1] 173/10
diary [1] 193/10
did [96] 5/16 12/4 12/7 14/5 15/13 26/8 27/15 28/1 30/21 33/9 33/14 33/15 36/1 43/3 45/17 45/24 46/3 47/15 47/17 50/13 55/21 58/24 59/4 60/5 63/17 63/18 63/24 67/3 74/2 78/13 83/4 87/2 87/6 87/7 87/22 87/24 89/10 90/12 92/21 94/2 97/12 100/22 101/6 101/10 101/15 104/2 105/20 105/20 110/2 111/15 111/19 113/24 114/2 118/13 120/23 122/4 123/13 123/13 125/5 129/23 141/20 142/13 142/14 142/15 147/2 155/15 157/17 158/4 164/18 170/20 176/14 178/13 181/14 182/9 183/5 185/9 187/9 191/14 197/2 198/9 199/1 200/5 200/15 210/7 212/6 215/18 218/8 222/2 222/8 222/16 222/19 225/14 225/17 227/14 230/13 233/8
didn't [62] 12/14 26/9 26/19 27/23 28/19 34/22 37/10 42/9 44/19 45/16 45/17 47/10 53/1 60/17 60/18 66/25 67/1 71/10 74/2 77/4 90/16 96/14 102/14 110/7 114/12 120/19 122/12 122/22 127/23 128/4 129/16 134/22 138/21 140/6 140/22 142/3 158/3 158/21 159/7 160/9 160/22 166/13 170/16 171/1 171/2 181/24 182/1 198/25 200/5 210/10 214/16 219/17 223/25 224/9 224/23 228/13 228/17 232/19 235/14 235/16 235/20 236/4
died [1] 138/21
differed [1] 44/17
difference [3] 58/25 116/20 135/12
differences [1] 124/1
different [18] 6/5 11/15 11/25 35/9 44/19 124/15 142/5 142/8 150/21 151/20 175/12 188/20 198/13 198/15 221/10 234/19 235/8 236/8
difficult [22] 19/9 48/10 48/13 80/6 80/15 88/13 90/20 90/25 92/6 93/16 95/6 101/20 103/21 108/4 109/1 109/18 113/6 159/24 205/2 225/24 234/1 234/23
digital [1] 49/10
digress [1] 72/15
diligence [1] 25/14
dip [1] 41/10
dipping [1] 141/8
direct [9] 14/4 30/8 32/8 34/15 39/17 43/3 215/12 217/4 218/13
directed [6] 4/21 5/1 39/8 39/13 46/14 66/7
directing [1] 130/12
direction [34] 5/6 26/24 29/11 30/24 31/4 31/16 32/1 33/22 37/15 39/14 47/10 81/10 81/10 88/4 126/22 134/3 147/10 147/11 147/14 148/21 148/25 156/13 163/13 163/22 165/5 185/17 185/19 189/25 194/11 199/20 200/17 200/18 205/4 206/15
directly [1] 226/3
Director [4] 166/3 166/5 209/23 210/16
Directorate [1] 154/6
disadvantage [2] 207/19 208/12
disagree [4] 104/9 139/22 178/5 185/16
disagreed [4] 64/16 64/21 185/14 222/17
disagreements [2] 64/23 64/25
disappointed [2] 39/11 39/12
disappointment [1] 39/13
disapprove [1] 5/9
discern [13] 80/16 90/20 92/6 95/6 99/8 108/4 109/1 109/13 110/15 113/6 114/10 115/19 116/1
discerns [1] 116/20
discharge [1] 94/16
discharged [1] 94/18
disclose [2] 3/14 133/10
disclosure [3] 3/7 133/3 187/23
discontinuance [3] 190/12 190/20 191/12
discontinue [3] 206/24 207/16 234/15
discontinued [3] 57/24 58/5 191/15
discontinuing [1] 58/12
discounted [1] 70/21
discover [1] 198/4
discuss [4] 172/15 173/9 194/24 209/6
discussed [7] 32/6

D

discussed... [6] 134/14 135/22 136/6 157/19 166/7 166/8
discussing [3] 120/20 136/11 163/18
discussion [7] 4/20 41/7 60/22 179/16 181/11 181/17 199/18
discussions [5] 32/4 44/17 59/23 83/8 176/23
dispatching [1] 159/25
displayed [4] 1/15 2/14 131/11 132/10
disprove [6] 24/15 25/4 45/7 71/1 129/2 129/7
disquiet [2] 39/16 202/14
dissemination [2] 2/11 132/7
disservice [1] 233/4
distinctive [1] 113/9
Dive [2] 172/1 173/4
divider [37] 6/9 6/10 8/18 8/20 15/20 19/11 19/11 26/21 29/20 32/2 38/12 38/14 46/5 49/14 57/8 102/18 128/13 134/12 145/18 148/2 162/1 171/10 178/22 186/6 189/6 189/7 195/24 205/22 206/20 209/21 213/1 213/2 213/3 216/18 220/17 223/1 227/1
divider 11 [1] 57/8
divider 114 [1] 134/12
divider 121 [1] 148/2
divider 124 [1] 162/1
divider 125 [1] 171/10
divider 139 [1] 189/7
divider 143 [1] 195/24
divider 148 [1] 206/20
divider 15 [1] 178/22
divider 151 [1] 209/21
divider 157 [2] 213/1 213/2
Divider 166 [1] 223/1
divider 168 [1] 216/18
divider 169 [1] 220/17
divider 170 [1] 227/1
divider 171 [1] 186/6
divider 178 [1] 102/18
divider 2 [1] 128/13
divider 51 [1] 8/20
divider 56 [2] 19/11 19/11
divider 59 [1] 26/21
divider 61 [1] 29/20
divider 64 [1] 32/2
divider 66 [2] 6/9 6/10
divider 69 [1] 49/14
divider 71 [1] 38/12
DJEP [11] 163/16 166/3 166/5 173/9 173/17 173/19 177/12 189/19 209/23 210/18 223/5
do [145] 4/4 4/12 5/25 6/3 11/15 11/23 12/1 12/17 14/10 14/23 15/4 16/21 16/22 18/11 24/3 24/25 25/5 28/3 28/24 30/8 30/10 31/20 33/4 33/5 35/12 35/12 36/9 36/20 36/25 41/4 45/12 46/10 47/20 48/20 50/3 50/19 57/4 64/4 65/9 67/25 68/14 76/14 76/22 77/3 77/4 77/6 78/20 80/18 86/25 87/2 87/9 94/6 100/17 103/1 104/13 106/7 110/17 113/4 114/19 114/22 114/23 115/7 116/3 117/10 119/11 121/20 126/18 126/18 128/4 128/6 128/6 130/9 134/8 138/7 138/12 141/20 141/22 144/6 146/20 149/25 152/8 152/10 154/25 155/7 157/20 158/7 168/15 170/3 170/22 174/20 175/12 176/25 177/1 177/3 177/24 178/5 179/2 179/9 180/22 181/5 181/17 181/23 182/23 184/25 186/16 191/24 191/25 194/24 198/8 199/9 199/23 200/1 200/4 200/5 202/4 205/18 207/13 210/15 211/3 212/13 212/23 215/18 217/4 217/6 219/5 220/18 221/12 223/9 224/19 225/1 225/9 225/13 226/3 229/1 229/9 231/13 232/8 233/5 233/13 233/14 233/14 234/13 234/20 235/23 236/16
Doctrine [1] 231/19
document [10] 15/18 134/15 136/18 137/25 163/8 171/24 179/6 193/6 201/8 201/14
documentation [2] 85/23 137/6
documents [9] 2/13 3/11 23/9 61/9 132/9 133/6 141/12 193/19 237/8
dodgy [1] 24/8
does [39] 36/12 36/18 39/10 44/25 46/19 48/9 49/3 49/4 63/14 89/23 91/4 94/19 112/12 112/13 115/5 117/11 117/11 123/4 139/15 143/6 144/10 144/11 150/5 153/6 161/21 168/14 168/17 172/20 175/3 178/7 178/12 180/24 182/22 183/12 194/16 201/21 205/7 212/14 230/22
does it [1] 63/14
doesn't [32] 20/19 44/25 45/1 48/8 63/13 64/14 65/21 74/6 81/4 87/23 91/21 92/22 98/17 104/4 105/21 111/21 120/10 120/10 120/13 121/1 121/3 144/7 145/4 175/15 176/15 178/7 178/8 178/17 184/8 190/22 203/23 234/11
doing [8] 31/17 78/25 87/4 137/14 137/19 141/8 219/13 235/11
don't [100] 4/7 10/19 17/17 21/22 21/24 24/4 26/5 26/6 27/6 27/21 28/5 35/8 37/4 41/3 45/14 47/8 51/7 52/5 52/21 53/22 58/3 62/2 65/2 65/3 65/22 65/23 68/13 70/12 72/15 78/22 84/8 85/22 87/4 93/20 94/10 94/11 95/10 95/18 96/4 97/7 97/15 98/5 102/23 103/2 103/15 106/11 107/8 111/4 114/22 115/12 119/24 120/12 128/9 128/10 130/3 137/10 137/14 138/16 146/17 147/21 150/6 150/7 150/14 152/18 153/19 153/21 154/23 157/18 161/3 161/4 165/15 167/6 167/7 169/25 170/13 172/20 172/23 177/2 177/4 177/10 179/20 179/22 181/4 182/18 182/21 183/13 192/5 201/23 214/12 215/23 220/1 233/8 233/16 233/19 233/19 233/20 233/25 235/14 235/15 236/18
done [30] 3/4 3/6 11/7 32/11 46/3 66/11 67/19 70/18 70/19 85/24 87/5 112/5 120/8 132/25 133/2 143/25 143/25 152/3 158/7 169/25 171/23 171/25 187/23 188/2 206/14 211/4 211/4 212/4 213/24 230/19
door [8] 1/16 2/14 131/12 132/10 204/18 207/6 207/9 207/14
double [1] 216/1
doubt [19] 3/10 13/12 16/11 20/2 84/25 103/9 111/20 133/5 136/1 147/3 174/22 190/8 201/12 209/1 211/11 217/10 217/17 218/21 233/24
doubts [5] 94/23 96/7 96/9 98/5 125/6
down [62] 6/19 9/8 33/19 34/3 34/11 35/21 37/7 47/22 57/11 57/14 68/14 75/13 82/11 83/7 83/11 88/18 89/4 89/25 90/7 90/19 91/23 97/21 98/12 98/13 98/16 105/6 107/20 109/15 110/13 110/19 117/3 134/3 134/5 134/6 137/9 143/14 143/18 144/6 144/18 150/17 151/7 153/2 154/20 160/14 171/16 173/10 173/13 173/20 186/16 187/22 188/2 192/17 193/23 194/6 196/15 201/10 211/25 211/25 226/3 227/5 229/3 234/2
draft [3] 22/8 22/10 153/15
draped [1] 117/23
drastically [1] 198/10
draw [5] 1/8 21/23 131/4 144/18 233/9
drawdown [7] 142/24 142/25 144/3 144/5 144/16 144/20 206/25
drawing [1] 144/6
drew [2] 143/1 144/20
drips [1] 121/17
drop [1] 125/1
dropped [15] 43/8 43/12 44/10 44/24 67/16 67/20 140/17 140/21 141/7 156/21 214/16 215/1 221/1 221/5 222/14
DSF [31] 21/10 22/11 25/8 27/14 27/15 27/23 38/22 38/23 38/25 39/3 39/7 39/25 42/15 42/20 42/25 48/23 49/1 58/19 155/17 174/1 175/2 176/16 176/22 177/8 177/11 178/2 196/17 196/22 200/8 200/20 229/7
DSP [7] 154/3 155/2 155/24 160/15 165/23 169/13 171/8
DSSM [2] 70/3 70/12
DSTL [1] 221/7
due [15] 7/1 7/9 25/14 34/2 104/21 105/16 106/17 108/14 115/4 117/10 120/17 121/18 170/14 210/2 212/16
duplication [2] 3/8 133/4
during [6] 2/18 3/6 132/14 133/2 228/3 234/4
dusty [1] 87/19
duty [11] 25/13 63/20 63/24 64/1 64/4 64/7 64/20 197/7 198/22 200/9 232/9

E

each [18] 63/11 68/3 73/2 73/4 86/9 86/12 86/17 111/25 112/1 115/6 115/8 115/10 190/1 193/19 194/14 202/3 203/5 203/18
eager [2] 194/16 194/17
ear [2] 90/5 92/1
earlier [1] 11/25
early [4] 15/1 26/22 223/6 223/11
earth [1] 174/5
easier [2] 109/4 110/15
easily [1] 59/3
easy [2] 27/4 205/18
eat [2] 219/7 219/9
echo [1] 32/23
echoing [2] 24/13 194/5
edge [2] 114/8 115/25
effect [8] 2/8 36/7 43/11 57/10 57/22 58/10 132/4 155/9
effective [5] 12/6 20/17 25/7 40/3 143/3
effectively [2] 135/17 135/21
effectiveness [1]

E

effectiveness... [1] 10/13
effort [5] 32/20 53/11 220/22 220/25 221/22
efforts [2] 4/9 59/17
eight [3] 103/7 124/24 187/14
either [32] 1/6 6/11 12/22 26/18 43/12 44/10 44/20 48/9 52/3 53/24 87/12 89/16 90/5 93/5 95/15 96/24 97/6 110/11 110/13 110/23 113/21 116/24 118/21 120/11 121/22 125/10 131/2 159/12 181/21 185/16 208/14 215/22
EKIA [37] 61/15 80/1 80/19 81/17 88/11 88/18 90/2 90/19 91/23 92/5 93/1 95/1 103/15 104/6 106/1 106/2 106/12 106/20 106/23 107/1 107/9 108/23 110/9 110/14 112/1 112/2 112/19 112/22 114/15 114/23 115/2 117/2 117/9 119/9 121/7 124/2 124/24
elbow [1] 103/18
electronic [9] 1/6 17/22 41/20 43/2 50/16 99/3 99/12 131/2 140/9
electronically [11] 9/4 9/11 9/23 16/14 22/21 22/23 23/3 40/8 40/19 54/11 56/6
element [2] 3/17 133/12
elements [1] 143/2
Ellison [9] 135/3 166/2 170/24 170/24 192/20 192/23 207/20 209/4 211/1
else [10] 8/14 11/14 50/12 52/20 54/2 92/4 101/25 104/21 177/7 218/5
else's [1] 107/20
email [17] 16/1 16/4 16/22 20/25 22/7 37/21 155/17 171/11 195/25 206/21 209/22 213/3 223/1 227/2 228/14 235/6 235/8
emailed [2] 19/23 228/9
emails [7] 17/16 19/13 19/14 41/23 42/15 43/1 197/18
embargo [1] 46/2
embarked [1] 22/4
emerge [1] 203/17
emerged [1] 199/7
empowered [1] 31/3
enable [4] 53/12 149/18 170/9 187/3
enabled [1] 113/12
enabling [2] 20/9 72/23
encountered [1] 29/9
end [22] 55/1 137/25 144/25 145/4 161/10 162/19 162/21 171/20 172/23 187/17 188/11 190/6 190/16 191/15 198/3 199/21 199/21 205/24 208/14 208/22 229/3 229/11
ended [1] 56/13
ending [1] 188/4
endorsed [1] 138/19
endorsement [1] 130/14
ends [2] 173/1 176/11
enemies [1] 103/10
enemy [2] 61/15 80/25
enforcement [1] 20/6
engage [2] 39/25 195/2
engaged [3] 86/5 121/10 193/24
engagement [1] 175/9
English [2] 218/5 218/21
enough [5] 41/3 41/5 154/8 154/23 206/11
enquiries [13] 83/2 160/23 164/20 196/9 202/1 204/21 204/25 207/3 207/24 209/2 228/3 228/8 233/18
enquiry [70] 8/6 9/3 14/22 16/13 22/20 31/13 32/19 46/22 47/7 48/8 56/7 66/14 67/7 71/1 99/16 127/18 128/22 145/23 158/6 158/24 159/2 159/9 160/4 161/24 164/18 164/24 165/3 169/1 169/11 169/19 169/22 170/5 170/11 170/19 171/2 171/23 172/22 173/16 176/24 182/12 185/2 185/11 186/10 189/13 189/25 190/1 194/12 194/18 195/8 195/18 195/20 196/5 203/8 205/25 207/13 207/18 207/21 208/4 208/7 209/10 209/15 210/13 213/8 213/14 217/1 219/25 220/9 221/25 230/16 231/2
enshrined [1] 31/13
ensure [12] 1/5 9/11 10/23 13/4 16/15 131/1 143/1 187/22 188/2 191/14 206/14 212/4
enter [1] 14/6
enterprise [1] 65/10
enthusiastic [1] 71/13
entire [2] 6/18 151/13
entirely [1] 79/1
entirety [2] 49/24 56/3
entry [3] 50/21 168/3 201/9
environment [2] 168/5 233/7
Equally [1] 25/12
equip [1] 49/9
equipment [3] 12/13 14/7 51/1
equivalent [1] 54/2
error [1] 218/24
ESI [1] 16/15
especially [2] 69/7 157/24
essential [3] 18/8 25/3 34/7
essentially [2] 225/6 225/7
EST [4] 154/4 155/22 165/13 171/20
establish [5] 62/16 62/18 69/5 71/24 202/2
established [4] 65/19 74/4 129/17 203/9
estimate [2] 142/24 142/25
Evans [3] 57/10 179/1 201/10
even [16] 14/2 14/16 30/15 55/4 56/9 56/16 56/23 59/6 152/7 160/4 163/8 166/23 180/14 201/4 219/14 230/16
event [3] 2/18 63/11 132/14
events [4] 58/17 58/18 136/13 143/24
eventually [1] 163/23
ever [2] 81/3 177/10
every [5] 4/8 72/12 73/3 127/1 136/9
everybody [2] 237/13 237/15
everyone [5] 32/10 77/7 99/20 103/13 188/20
everything [10] 5/9 24/5 44/23 53/9 66/6 83/1 123/2 175/11 185/18 232/6
evidence [104] 2/5 2/13 2/17 2/20 5/14 5/18 8/4 10/23 13/21 16/21 20/4 20/15 25/10 25/11 25/12 31/7 31/8 33/8 34/7 39/5 40/25 40/25 41/4 41/5 42/3 42/8 43/4 43/25 44/7 44/25 45/21 47/6 47/15 59/10 60/3 68/17 68/23 69/6 74/18 74/20 76/4 76/16 78/14 85/4 101/15 111/24 118/9 126/19 127/2 127/5 128/3 128/23 132/1 132/9 132/13 132/16 142/3 154/8 154/11 154/23 155/3 155/4 156/15 156/17 156/19 156/23 157/21 157/23 159/17 160/15 169/9 169/15 179/15 179/22 180/14 180/21 180/23 181/18 184/21 184/24 185/7 189/4 190/13 190/23 199/7 199/14 200/12 202/5 203/13 212/3 213/16 213/17 214/7 215/12 215/12 216/10 217/5 221/4 222/3 226/18 232/1 234/4 236/23 237/2
evidential [12] 16/16 22/25 53/13 62/20 64/8 123/16 155/25 160/8 172/16 213/22 214/1 214/2
evidentially [1] 46/17
ex [1] 74/11
ex-Merseyside [1] 74/11
exact [1] 7/1
exactly [3] 120/12 212/4 216/14
examination [5] 72/10 126/12 126/17 128/21 138/25
examine [6] 20/10 26/6 55/9 56/2 68/23 203/4
examined [9] 26/10 70/10 71/4 71/6 71/8 71/14 126/14 128/9 128/15
examining [1] 227/9
example [10] 4/9 22/1 104/8 112/7 140/2 140/25 141/5 152/9 218/24 230/15
examples [1] 125/24
excellent [1] 237/11
except [2] 164/20 178/1
exchange [1] 34/23
exchanged [1] 19/15
Executive [15] 134/19 134/22 134/25 135/12 136/3 147/5 165/7 165/11 165/19 165/21 185/4 193/3 211/24 212/6 212/10
exercise [1] 220/13
exercised [1] 18/22
exhausted [1] 209/10
exhibit [2] 13/20 74/14
exist [1] 232/12
existed [1] 231/5
existence [3] 5/20 5/21 5/23
existing [1] 6/2
exists [1] 156/15
exit [15] 143/6 143/9 143/11 145/8 145/10 184/7 184/18 190/11 190/20 191/22 192/2 193/25 194/24 195/1 204/17
exiting [1] 184/11
expect [3] 31/20 35/8 233/10
expectation [1] 54/14
expected [2] 37/17 234/18
expecting [1] 188/8
expensive [6] 49/8 49/20 50/8 55/13 203/7 203/21
experience [3] 28/2 56/9 64/1
experienced [1] 209/18
expert [57] 48/18 60/9 60/16 60/19 76/6 76/9 76/14 76/18 76/21 76/22 83/4 83/14 83/25 84/4 84/7 84/10 84/19 91/16 94/5 98/8 98/20 99/12 100/21 100/24 105/14 108/19 115/3 117/10 119/6 120/16 120/17 125/21 126/5 127/5 127/6 128/3 128/25 129/21 136/19 137/13 139/5 139/13 140/11 141/4 145/25 146/4 146/9 146/12 146/17 147/2 148/6 158/9 158/21 166/2 169/9 185/7 204/6
expert's [2] 146/23 164/25
expertise [4] 96/14 97/9 98/5 129/1
experts [13] 67/1 98/20 99/12 102/9 102/14 102/16 104/21

E

experts... [6] 106/16 108/19 136/21 138/20 163/9 186/14
expired [1] 213/13
explain [10] 14/10 36/9 53/9 134/10 143/25 144/15 174/14 195/4 220/16 232/13
explained [1] 41/12
explaining [2] 5/4 73/14
explanation [9] 72/1 84/18 84/18 84/22 109/25 126/16 126/19 126/24 146/8
explicit [1] 47/11
exploitation [3] 9/10 16/16 70/22
explore [1] 6/3
explosives [1] 118/24
exposes [1] 177/14
exposing [3] 60/4 60/6 139/18
express [4] 1/21 1/24 131/17 131/20
expressed [1] 25/15
expressing [1] 39/16
expression [1] 162/13
extensive [2] 202/1 204/21
external [4] 48/17 48/18 48/20 49/3
externally [1] 188/18
extra [1] 175/8
extrapolated [1] 144/22
extremely [1] 226/20
eye [7] 68/24 117/4 145/8 173/12 191/12 197/13 236/5
eyebrow [1] 91/5
eyewitness [5] 44/10 45/12 214/13 215/5 219/2

F

fabric [11] 80/12 90/9 91/10 93/17 103/20 106/6 106/13 107/3 107/8 114/17 114/24
face [22] 26/5 81/21 83/17 90/7 92/18 96/22 98/17 106/21 107/14 107/16 107/20 107/23 109/13 110/19 110/20 113/22 117/2 117/3 122/1 178/7 178/8 180/3
facilitate [1] 9/10
facility [7] 49/10 54/17 54/19 55/13 56/19 56/20 224/4
fact [40] 1/8 5/19 23/2 23/22 24/21 38/1 39/17 43/18 44/12 49/1 74/20 81/3 92/5 99/22 102/14 103/24 118/14 119/3 121/19 122/11 123/15 123/22 123/23 124/6 125/17 127/3 127/8 131/4 139/4 147/21 158/9 158/10 175/1 177/12 206/13 220/17 222/12 225/19 225/24 229/15
factors [1] 168/5
fail [1] 227/14
failed [1] 197/7
failing [3] 5/16 25/6 225/25
failure [3] 198/19 224/14 230/7
fair [51] 7/18 8/1 9/17 23/15 23/25 28/17 35/10 37/18 37/20 56/5 78/10 91/2 92/10 92/11 96/9 98/4 103/24 104/19 105/10 105/10 107/12 107/18 107/21 108/3 108/9 115/15 120/13 120/15 122/24 122/25 123/11 125/4 128/11 137/16 137/22 145/13 146/5 147/24 162/16 167/22 173/23 173/24 176/13 178/15 180/9 188/13 190/21 192/10 194/20 224/1 231/17
fairness [3] 32/10 66/20 134/8
faith [1] 190/22
fallen [7] 82/11 89/17 91/9 92/14 97/3 98/12 114/5
fallout [3] 143/15 143/20 228/8
falls [1] 213/23
familiar [1] 85/22
far [16] 64/7 67/9 72/4 137/23 142/18 145/23 154/15 156/12 162/9 162/16 177/10 192/6 207/24 215/24 219/8 233/20
fashion [3] 143/3 179/18 208/18
fat [2] 224/10 224/12
fault [6] 14/15 35/18 38/14 43/21 191/24 237/18
favour [2] 75/2 100/6
favoured [1] 6/25
FDHC [25] 41/2 49/8 49/16 49/18 50/3 52/8 53/1 53/5 53/17 53/24 54/1 54/4 54/8 55/4 55/5 55/24 56/13 56/15 65/10 65/20 223/22 224/9 224/10 224/10 225/6
FDHC-light [4] 54/1 54/8 55/5 224/10
feasible [1] 52/25
feather [1] 208/20
February [8] 38/12 38/18 39/22 85/16 103/7 145/5 190/10 190/19
February/March [2] 190/10 190/19
feed [2] 78/20 102/25
feel [5] 55/21 161/21 191/14 205/18 234/20
feet [4] 97/17 98/12 112/25 113/2
fell [8] 67/21 95/16 103/22 110/3 111/6 115/12 118/21 196/2
felt [2] 172/20 188/14
few [5] 6/12 8/23 9/8 34/20 37/23
field [1] 94/5
fifth [1] 192/18
fighting [3] 101/13 152/12 214/21
fighting-age [2] 152/12 214/21
figure [3] 107/4 108/24 167/24
figures [1] 189/18
file [46] 4/13 4/13 4/15 5/13 6/8 8/18 8/19 15/19 15/20 19/10 19/10 26/20 26/21 32/24 38/11 38/12 38/13 38/14 38/15 38/18 39/20 39/22 46/5 49/13 53/2 53/3 53/5 57/4 57/13 69/24 79/4 128/18 134/11 134/18 145/17 145/19 146/10 148/1 148/4 153/5 178/22 178/24 186/5 206/1 220/15 227/2
file 1 [9] 15/19 15/20 19/10 38/11 53/3 57/4 57/13 178/22 178/24
file 2 [10] 4/13 19/10 26/20 38/12 38/13 46/5 53/2 128/18 134/11 134/18
file 3 [3] 79/4 186/5 227/2
file 70 [1] 4/13
files [3] 19/7 99/3 99/12
final [2] 123/19 210/18
finally [2] 3/8 133/4
finance [2] 168/10 168/15
find [9] 25/11 25/19 26/15 38/15 79/12 92/24 140/4 186/7 222/2
finds [1] 84/1
fine [2] 3/20 133/15
finesse [1] 212/22
finessed [2] 212/2 212/7
finish [1] 42/22
finished [4] 146/4 146/18 164/21 228/6
fire [7] 52/2 86/6 97/23 119/15 119/18 119/19 120/2
fired [4] 86/14 86/15 94/20 120/1
firing [3] 88/3 119/21 173/20
first [26] 1/18 4/23 11/19 11/21 11/22 19/12 20/5 22/7 22/10 36/20 37/19 80/23 104/22 105/3 106/18 106/20 109/7 121/2 131/14 134/13 186/9 188/19 196/4 199/5 201/14 213/12
firsthand [24] 13/15 13/17 42/11 42/13 42/21 43/4 43/5 43/6 43/9 43/18 43/22 44/5 44/22 68/19 68/22 68/23 140/16 146/7 157/25 214/8 214/9 215/12 217/4 226/18
five [4] 10/8 29/21 46/6 70/7
flat [1] 88/11
flawed [1] 52/7
floor [3] 103/20 109/16 109/23
floral [1] 114/10
flow [1] 62/20
flown [1] 226/23
FMV [2] 169/3 186/12
focus [4] 25/8 41/11 53/11 188/20
focused [1] 212/5
follow [17] 4/8 22/6 31/4 47/12 58/17 63/16 74/10 123/16 172/20 172/22 184/21 185/16 186/11 196/6 207/20 208/7 216/25
followed [10] 15/15 47/18 147/12 170/11 202/24 207/3 207/18 207/25 213/17 231/2
following [18] 4/20 4/21 5/5 6/22 38/24 47/14 47/25 74/14 94/14 145/16 149/15 152/9 153/23 187/2 189/14 189/24 194/10 237/22
follows [3] 129/14 154/1 201/8
foolish [1] 182/9
footage [3] 70/25 169/3 186/12
footing [1] 13/4
footnotes [1] 218/19
force [3] 14/25 28/10 160/18
forces [56] 17/21 20/20 25/8 25/21 26/12 29/15 29/24 34/6 35/2 35/20 36/19 37/10 41/20 44/6 52/11 54/12 54/25 60/25 61/4 61/22 62/25 64/7 72/21 75/11 85/1 127/3 127/12 127/22 140/18 140/19 141/21 168/14 172/8 174/5 174/7 175/6 180/18 196/24 197/8 198/20 208/23 218/15 218/23 218/25 224/2 224/7 227/8 228/23 230/5 230/23 231/6 231/14 231/21 232/5 232/12 232/23
Forces' [1] 224/14
forearm [1] 106/7
forehead [2] 89/4 93/9
forensic [16] 9/1 9/9 19/25 20/12 56/12 69/25 70/2 70/15 70/23 126/17 154/11 163/2 163/24 164/11 164/20 206/3
forensically [2] 9/24 46/12
foreshadowed [3] 153/4 189/9 191/18
forgive [6] 14/14 18/13 19/18 22/14 76/17 126/2
forgotten [1] 152/10
form [39] 70/25 147/10 154/2 154/19 154/21 154/22 155/19 157/18 157/21 158/4 158/7 160/7 160/22 160/25 161/2 161/4 161/6 161/9 165/12 169/13 169/25 170/1 170/7 170/10 171/5 171/7 171/7 171/16 171/19 172/3 172/6 172/12 172/24 173/1 173/13 187/6 193/20 193/20 193/22
Form 3 [18] 154/21 154/22 155/19 158/4 158/7 160/25 161/6 161/9 165/12 170/7 171/5 171/7 171/7 171/16 171/19 173/1 173/13 193/20

F

formal [5] 9/3 9/9 16/13 21/12 22/20
format [3] 16/20 29/17 216/15
formed [2] 58/21 219/16
former [3] 228/9 228/22 228/25
forthcoming [1] 156/18
forward [12] 15/10 67/7 67/9 139/2 145/20 171/7 184/5 186/21 187/10 222/13 229/23 235/23
forwards [2] 79/24 163/18
found [9] 3/20 68/7 68/8 80/8 112/21 119/22 133/15 140/2 199/14
four [20] 80/23 86/8 86/12 86/15 86/18 87/2 87/2 100/17 100/17 119/23 120/2 120/5 124/24 136/15 148/2 151/12 173/5 173/8 213/11 217/6
framework [1] 145/22
fratricide [1] 87/10
friendship [2] 200/25 201/4
front [6] 33/2 79/11 107/15 109/13 110/20 121/25
fruit [6] 59/3 192/11 215/16 215/18 217/7 220/20
fruition [1] 7/18
frustrated [3] 226/18 226/20 233/13
frustration [3] 15/16 68/18 206/16
full [11] 26/25 27/1 27/5 27/18 27/22 27/24 32/22 35/17 96/1 224/10 224/12
full-fat [1] 224/10
fuller [2] 126/19 126/23
fully [5] 8/16 163/4 164/12 164/15 164/25
fundamental [1] 159/9
fundamentally [2] 52/7 222/17
funding [2] 50/2 50/7
funds [1] 50/23
furnishing [1] 90/9
further [15] 5/6 83/2 115/10 139/8 156/14 156/16 157/3 165/16 180/17 183/21 184/5 195/13 216/10 220/8 230/11
Furthermore [2] 1/21 131/17
futile [2] 203/6 203/21
future [11] 50/13 142/18 149/18 150/3 150/13 150/24 166/6 167/18 187/24 193/24 206/22

G

gain [1] 8/3
gaining [1] 179/17
gaming [1] 162/13
garnered [2] 192/11 215/16
gathered [1] 85/4
gave [3] 37/15 78/14 224/7
General [6] 1/10 1/18 2/1 131/6 131/14 131/22
generated [1] 201/5
genuine [1] 170/9
gestured [2] 217/22 218/3
get [41] 10/17 10/17 10/22 12/23 19/21 26/5 27/3 27/4 27/24 33/16 33/20 35/5 46/25 47/9 54/15 54/20 59/9 62/25 65/13 69/8 72/20 73/2 73/5 94/3 104/24 114/17 120/6 126/20 128/3 129/5 138/23 144/12 155/16 159/5 159/22 165/15 184/4 189/12 194/3 217/6 233/20
getting [3] 36/8 141/12 225/5
gist [4] 2/17 3/13 132/13 133/8
give [25] 22/12 50/18 54/8 83/25 118/16 119/2 126/19 126/24 143/24 150/17 155/15 157/25 161/9 166/1 166/21 171/8 173/17 174/15 189/25 194/11 214/5 216/19 223/18 231/25 236/23
giveaway [1] 124/1
given [40] 13/12 20/20 21/7 32/9 37/16 37/17 40/16 40/17 48/23 49/7 56/23 73/1 73/4 109/19 112/15 112/18 127/14 139/8 139/21 150/10 152/3 152/5 159/5 161/22 165/6 175/8 175/9 185/17 204/4 205/5 205/6 205/8 205/11 207/9 210/21 220/2 224/2 225/15 236/1 237/17
gives [2] 75/17 165/10
giving [4] 11/22 125/24 169/17 232/5
Glasgow [13] 4/2 11/3 69/12 69/18 77/11 85/12 111/23 130/19 133/19 185/23 186/3 236/18 237/6
Glasgow's [1] 236/25
go [42] 5/22 8/13 12/17 12/21 12/22 12/24 13/7 19/10 19/21 21/9 24/4 33/19 34/22 35/5 35/21 47/9 49/24 62/2 72/24 72/25 76/18 106/11 113/12 114/22 115/5 117/25 129/23 146/7 150/11 150/14 150/17 151/5 156/24 158/14 159/10 174/25 184/5 197/23 199/19 219/4 219/17 220/17
goes [9] 13/23 53/9 60/21 84/16 130/12 170/1 176/7 176/21 188/17
going [85] 11/13 11/19 13/6 13/16 13/25 14/1 15/13 17/2 19/7 27/4 28/22 30/15 31/22 35/5 37/19 40/21 41/8 49/7 49/24 52/7 56/12 65/13 65/14 68/11 70/9 70/12 72/8 76/9 76/17 77/6 77/6 78/23 94/3 99/5 102/22 130/3 136/5 136/9 136/10 137/5 138/13 139/2 139/21 140/4 140/8 140/14 140/24 143/6 144/5 144/12 144/24 145/2 145/5 146/2 155/11 155/19 155/24 155/25 156/24 163/8 166/7 173/3 174/24 183/5 185/9 186/23 187/17 190/3 191/20 193/22 195/4 197/24 198/6 199/12 199/23 205/15 210/10 210/18 218/5 220/25 221/7 226/15 231/24 231/25 232/1
Gold [51] 4/21 4/21 6/12 26/4 26/24 27/13 29/12 30/7 33/16 39/5 46/13 53/8 133/24 135/13 135/17 137/9 147/10 149/16 151/20 152/6 152/22 152/24 153/14 153/16 153/23 163/13 163/15 163/22 165/22 166/10 171/12 172/18 176/8 183/20 186/16 186/16 186/19 187/3 189/8 190/9 190/13 190/19 191/18 196/2 207/16 209/14 211/13 213/4 213/19 223/4 235/18
Gold's [1] 31/4
gone [10] 18/15 36/10 37/6 66/21 72/13 81/9 110/13 199/8 219/6 237/7
good [16] 1/3 3/25 4/2 25/10 30/5 35/14 57/22 58/9 64/5 130/24 143/18 175/14 176/15 206/10 208/24 219/8
goodbye [1] 184/10
got [59] 14/2 17/20 17/21 26/8 26/17 35/4 37/5 45/9 47/5 47/7 47/7 47/15 49/2 49/13 52/17 53/16 55/17 55/19 55/25 56/1 60/15 67/9 67/11 67/13 68/17 74/25 75/17 75/18 76/9 84/13 92/8 94/22 99/23 102/4 113/18 115/3 121/23 123/5 146/20 147/2 153/5 154/11 154/13 154/23 157/11 158/20 159/14 160/10 162/16 162/24 163/16 167/23 181/18 207/19 209/11 209/15 212/19 222/3 229/1
Government [1] 167/1
grade [2] 15/6 18/25
granular [2] 149/2 150/2
graphic [1] 78/17
grateful [2] 236/13 237/3
greater [1] 99/8
greatest [1] 176/14
green [7] 19/20 37/3 37/9 106/6 107/3 107/7 124/16
grenades [1] 96/3
grip [1] 116/1
ground [4] 55/17 72/19 80/11 230/4
grounds [6] 12/15 12/17 12/20 13/9 42/3 44/3
group [41] 134/20 134/22 134/25 135/10 135/13 135/13 135/17 136/3 140/19 147/6 149/17 151/20 152/22 152/24 153/16 153/23 163/13 163/15 163/22 165/7 165/11 165/19 165/21 166/10 167/6 171/13 172/18 185/4 186/16 186/16 186/19 187/3 190/9 190/19 191/18 196/2 207/16 211/13 213/4 213/19 223/4
Group's [1] 189/8
grouped [1] 119/9
gruesome [1] 102/23
guesswork [1] 99/25
guesthouse [5] 86/5 86/9 119/13 119/16 120/3
guidance [1] 9/14
gun [7] 25/23 140/2 140/4 140/8 140/12 140/14 155/23
gun' [1] 25/11
gunshot [1] 107/22
Guys [1] 44/11

H

Hacker [1] 49/16
had [215] 4/6 5/5 5/19 5/21 5/22 6/2 6/15 8/7 8/10 8/23 9/16 11/3 11/6 13/10 13/10 13/11 14/24 15/4 15/7 15/9 15/15 17/13 17/16 17/21 23/7 23/9 23/23 24/13 27/9 32/11 32/12 33/15 34/22 37/3 37/24 38/19 40/17 41/12 41/13 41/14 41/21 42/8 43/1 43/2 44/5 45/19 46/3 49/7 52/11 53/15 55/13 56/5 56/11 58/2 59/23 61/23 62/16 62/18 62/21 64/2 64/2 66/21 67/9 67/11 67/13 67/15 68/7 68/15 69/5 71/23 71/25 72/3 72/12 72/13 73/20 73/20 74/4 74/13 77/18 77/24 78/8 78/16 84/13 85/1 86/5 87/11 93/24 94/23 95/16 96/7 99/1 99/2 99/11 101/12 101/23 101/24 106/1 106/3 109/23 113/20 114/5 114/5 114/6 115/7 115/8 117/16 118/14 118/18 119/13 119/13 119/14 122/5 122/16 124/7 125/2 125/17 125/18 126/11 130/6 130/6 136/10 137/8 137/17 137/23 138/12

H

had... [90] 138/16 140/1 141/1 144/16 146/10 146/14 146/17 148/5 148/6 157/23 158/6 158/10 158/13 158/14 166/8 167/12 172/3 175/20 175/23 175/24 176/1 176/2 176/24 179/25 180/4 184/23 185/11 185/13 190/25 191/2 193/1 193/6 197/7 197/13 198/7 199/3 199/7 199/16 199/22 199/23 200/8 200/11 200/13 202/15 204/25 209/13 210/15 212/12 213/5 214/3 214/4 214/6 214/20 215/5 215/10 215/10 215/16 215/20 216/19 217/3 217/11 219/16 220/24 221/8 221/9 222/5 222/14 222/23 223/9 223/14 224/2 224/18 226/3 226/18 226/23 227/5 227/11 227/11 227/15 229/19 231/2 231/18 232/8 234/8 234/24 235/7 236/1 236/5 236/8 236/15

Haddon [2] 1/10 131/6

hadn't [13] 9/15 10/14 33/23 40/16 68/16 158/20 158/20 159/4 160/4 160/5 160/8 162/16 185/2

hair [1] 202/7

hairs [1] 13/6

half [5] 136/1 155/13 215/13 217/5 217/6

halt [1] 187/18

halted [2] 2/20 132/16

hand [51] 13/1 21/24 85/13 90/6 92/4 95/4 100/24 103/18 104/11 104/15 105/1 105/18 106/2 106/5 106/5 106/7 107/4 107/4 107/10 108/25 109/1 112/24 113/18 113/19 114/18 114/20 114/24 114/24 114/25 115/1 115/2 115/18 115/24 115/25 116/1 116/9 117/4 118/11 121/15 121/20 121/22 121/23 121/24 122/1 124/15 124/17 127/14 136/5 138/4 138/25 157/17

handing [2] 27/18 27/19

handover [1] 208/12

hands [6] 47/2 53/22 118/21 118/22 118/23 215/10

handwritten [1] 46/9

hanging [2] 59/3 111/24

happen [2] 31/22 218/8

happened [15] 34/24 37/6 47/24 47/25 48/4 48/5 55/4 72/11 113/13 138/12 164/3 195/5 197/13 220/4 225/22

happening [2] 139/23 151/22

happens [3] 123/2 164/2 217/2

happy [1] 160/7

hard [6] 46/11 89/1 90/11 180/3 201/8 237/7

harm [2] 34/19 188/24

HARVEY [6] 3/23 118/4 151/6 153/11 170/21 238/3

has [129] 1/10 2/7 2/10 2/22 3/4 3/8 5/5 5/12 7/7 7/10 7/16 8/24 10/16 14/5 14/19 16/11 18/17 18/25 21/7 23/3 27/1 28/4 29/25 30/2 31/6 31/7 32/11 32/19 33/18 34/24 37/6 39/5 39/13 40/6 46/13 49/18 57/12 59/16 60/4 66/20 67/6 74/25 81/9 82/4 82/11 82/20 83/6 85/12 89/17 94/20 95/7 95/7 96/18 96/24 97/3 97/4 98/12 98/15 101/25 102/4 105/6 105/7 106/18 107/20 107/22 107/23 108/3 109/7 109/18 110/13 110/19 110/22 111/11 116/5 116/21 118/8 119/1 120/1 120/2 121/19 121/22 121/23 124/15 124/17 124/17 127/12 131/6 132/3 132/6 132/18 132/25 133/4 136/25 137/11 145/8 145/24 151/1 154/2 154/7 154/22 161/12 161/15 162/4 162/10 162/12 163/3 164/11 165/21 169/13 172/12 172/16 173/12 177/5 179/16 180/14 183/20 183/24 195/5 202/2 204/22 206/14 213/13 213/15 218/15 220/11 220/22 228/6 237/2 237/11

hasn't [5] 40/3 120/9 181/3 188/18 207/4

hat [1] 72/18

hatted [1] 216/1

have [269]

haven't [5] 14/2 14/16 55/1 157/11 192/5

having [28] 37/20 48/7 68/19 82/10 89/14 89/16 92/14 93/3 97/3 98/4 110/21 111/6 117/5 118/22 121/8 130/3 141/12 151/19 156/12 164/17 164/22 170/3 176/23 177/10 217/20 218/9 225/17 235/3

he [215] 4/19 5/6 5/12 6/15 6/21 7/7 7/7 7/13 8/1 8/9 8/10 8/13 8/24 8/24 9/20 10/1 10/16 14/5 14/22 15/1 16/1 16/18 19/23 20/7 20/13 20/19 20/20 22/16 22/18 24/12 24/21 24/24 25/18 26/3 31/7 31/7 31/23 33/15 36/1 37/15 37/20 37/22 37/23 39/2 39/13 39/17 39/18 39/23 41/13 42/14 42/25 46/21 59/16 64/19 67/1 67/2 67/3 67/5 70/1 82/4 82/4 82/11 82/11 82/14 82/14 82/20 82/20 83/11 86/15 88/14 89/13 89/17 90/3 90/8 90/20 90/22 91/12 92/20 92/20 93/17 95/7 95/16 95/16 96/18 96/24 96/25 97/3 97/6 97/7 101/12 103/16 103/18 103/22 103/23 103/23 103/24 105/24 105/24 107/13 107/20 107/21 108/1 108/3 109/19 110/2 110/3 110/4 110/9 110/10 110/19 110/22 111/4 111/5 111/6 111/7 111/10 111/11 111/11 113/20 114/3 114/5 114/5 114/6 114/15 116/5 116/21 118/22 119/3 119/13 120/9 121/7 121/8 121/10 121/12 121/19 122/13 129/4 130/7 130/9 146/2 146/10 146/20 147/3 147/17 148/17 149/2 149/5 149/17 150/2 150/11 152/5 152/5 157/25 158/1 158/1 158/3 166/9 166/20 166/23 167/8 172/14 174/11 175/2 175/20 176/1 176/2 176/6 190/22 190/25 191/2 191/14 194/16 194/16 199/19 205/24 206/9 206/14 207/8 209/7 209/24 210/3 211/23 214/20 215/24 216/1 216/1 216/2 216/9 216/20 216/23 217/17 217/23 218/6 218/7 218/8 219/10 219/15 219/17 220/23 222/19 225/1 225/11 227/6 227/11 227/14 227/15 227/18 228/1 228/13 229/5 229/18 234/24 234/25 235/1 235/2

he'd [14] 8/10 17/15 42/15 42/16 66/21 66/22 109/22 152/3 200/15 207/13 215/10 218/22 219/14 222/14

he's [37] 21/5 36/7 82/23 89/15 89/21 90/23 91/7 91/9 91/11 92/12 92/14 92/17 93/3 93/6 93/12 93/16 93/20 95/10 95/12 97/3 109/19 113/18 120/8 120/8 148/19 148/21 148/23 149/24 149/24 165/22 166/16 166/18 189/16 192/20 193/4 208/22 210/21

head [56] 26/1 55/22 72/20 81/21 81/24 82/10 82/12 87/25 88/2 88/8 88/20 88/24 89/7 89/14 89/19 90/6 90/9 90/22 90/25 91/7 91/9 92/4 93/4 93/13 94/3 96/19 96/25 97/4 98/9 98/17 100/25 104/16 104/17 105/4 105/19 107/14 107/22 109/23 111/1 111/17 113/21 113/24 114/8 117/16 117/19 118/2 120/9 121/13 125/19 138/11 154/7 161/15 167/5 174/3 174/7 196/24

headquarters [10] 29/24 32/7 32/22 33/5 39/25 42/15 42/20 42/25 58/19 197/18

heads [2] 167/24 173/18

heads-up [1] 173/18

health [1] 154/17

hear [1] 152/7

hearing [20] 1/3 1/12 1/16 2/2 2/15 2/19 2/21 3/4 30/22 130/24 131/8 131/12 131/23 132/11 132/15 132/17 132/25 237/7 237/10 237/22

hearings [11] 1/11 1/15 1/19 2/2 2/13 19/14 131/7 131/11 131/15 131/23 132/9

hearsay [2] 44/24 102/2

heart [1] 138/25

heat [1] 52/1

heavily [2] 109/23 111/12

heavy [5] 89/1 89/7 104/15 121/13 121/25

heed [3] 210/25 211/1 211/3

heels [1] 201/8

held [6] 23/19 35/3 45/6 124/2 124/10 128/25

Helmand [2] 75/21 75/24

help [24] 4/4 15/18 24/22 29/14 46/5 73/20 76/23 79/16 81/14 84/4 84/14 84/21 99/18 99/25 111/23 125/21 134/11 136/23 139/13 153/7 178/20 194/3 216/16 223/1

helped [1] 200/2

helpful [2] 204/1 237/3

helps [1] 114/16

hence [12] 15/15 56/14 81/21 83/9 96/21 107/14 108/7 109/23 110/23 112/4 112/9 121/1

her [1] 99/14

here [20] 4/19 8/2 14/13 38/21 52/21 55/22 89/25 94/23 104/7 115/1 120/25 123/19 123/21 134/12 135/19 138/9 138/18 148/8 155/24 161/16

Here's [1] 54/25

hide [1] 127/25

hierarchy [2] 230/2 230/7

high [6] 3/18 66/14 67/4 85/9 133/13 175/3

higher [4] 12/16 12/21 15/9 211/12

higher-ups [1] 211/12

highest [1] 227/18

highlight [2] 168/4

H

highlight... [1] 177/13
highly [1] 201/19
him [28] 7/23 20/20 20/24 22/12 34/14 34/23 35/9 99/14 101/13 103/17 116/23 118/24 147/17 150/7 151/16 167/7 169/14 175/20 176/14 176/16 186/20 200/9 216/14 218/6 222/16 226/15 230/13 234/25
himself [4] 121/9 149/7 176/2 207/8
hinder [1] 24/22
hindsight [1] 175/12
his [146] 5/16 7/10 14/22 22/17 24/12 24/24 30/24 31/9 31/9 32/1 39/13 39/16 41/1 47/2 58/5 67/4 71/6 81/19 81/21 81/21 81/24 82/12 82/12 88/12 88/15 88/16 88/19 88/19 88/20 88/24 89/14 89/18 89/19 90/4 90/5 90/6 90/7 90/8 90/9 90/21 90/22 90/22 90/23 90/24 91/5 91/24 92/1 92/4 92/6 92/17 92/18 92/20 93/2 93/10 95/3 95/3 95/3 95/7 95/11 96/19 96/21 96/21 96/22 96/25 96/25 103/16 103/18 105/18 105/19 106/3 106/7 106/20 106/21 107/14 107/16 108/1 108/25 109/2 110/10 110/20 110/20 110/21 111/1 111/2 111/4 111/16 111/24 112/23 113/2 113/18 113/18 113/19 113/19 113/19 113/21 113/21 114/8 115/18 115/18 116/5 116/6 116/6 116/7 116/8 116/21 118/22 118/23 121/12 121/13 121/19 121/22 121/23 151/13 151/20 154/7 154/17 165/25 166/14 167/5 171/8 175/22 176/8 176/17 176/17 177/5 191/12 191/15 193/8 205/25 206/16 208/20 208/24 209/14 209/18 212/17 212/22 212/24 215/10 216/13 217/22 218/2 219/21 222/20 222/20 234/17 235/1
historic [1] 6/17
historically [1] 166/10
hit [2] 86/17 88/7
hold [7] 5/9 10/17 10/22 33/5 47/1 140/22 213/19
holds [2] 6/17 12/9
homework [2] 57/11 57/12
honour [1] 235/25
Honourable [2] 1/9 131/5
Honours [1] 235/19
hope [1] 138/20
hoped [1] 175/19
Hopefully [1] 98/8
hoping [1] 54/20
horse [1] 55/23
hour [2] 65/6 130/17
housed [1] 52/12
how [37] 14/3 42/13 42/19 42/24 67/9 76/8 81/6 97/15 100/17 102/11 103/10 114/3 117/2 117/24 134/9 141/15 141/16 143/14 144/12 145/10 147/3 149/25 150/1 150/1 164/22 172/20 188/14 189/25 194/3 194/13 195/4 201/12 206/6 206/10 207/9 211/17 229/15
However [2] 115/6 190/18
HQ [2] 25/8 142/25
hung [1] 127/12

I

I accept [1] 77/5
I add [1] 144/1
I alluded [4] 11/24 29/17 221/9 234/18
I also [2] 1/8 131/4
I am [17] 4/25 21/13 31/3 31/4 46/12 46/14 47/5 77/19 78/23 105/14 106/4 106/17 106/18 117/10 120/16 210/4 219/11
I articulated [1] 5/14
I ask [3] 77/8 171/1 235/10
I asked [3] 36/22 208/17 214/2
I assume [1] 186/25
I believe [14] 27/9 41/15 41/17 41/24 52/22 55/6 66/16 67/3 166/8 170/23 171/7 193/9 199/18 215/19
I believed [3] 23/5 23/5 45/23
I came [1] 225/20
I can [6] 15/18 103/5 113/3 178/16 202/14 216/16
I can't [20] 37/13 59/6 64/22 84/4 113/2 130/8 138/10 138/11 138/11 138/25 139/2 144/15 152/19 153/1 174/14 181/8 193/16 215/20 216/15 220/16
I crossed [1] 17/3
I dare [1] 199/4
I did [3] 63/18 78/13 198/9
I didn't [5] 12/14 45/16 47/10 235/20 236/4
I digress [1] 72/15
I do [8] 33/4 144/6 181/23 191/24 220/18 225/13 233/14 233/14
I don't [45] 4/7 17/17 27/6 27/21 35/8 51/7 52/5 58/3 65/3 68/13 70/12 72/15 78/22 84/8 96/4 97/15 102/23 119/24 130/3 137/10 150/6 150/7 150/14 152/18 153/19 153/21 157/18 167/6 167/7 172/20 177/4 177/10 192/5 201/23 214/12 215/23 220/1 233/8 233/16 233/19 233/19 233/20 233/25 235/14 236/18
I exhibit [2] 13/20 74/14
I felt [1] 172/20
I get [1] 69/8
I go [2] 47/9 146/7
I got [2] 53/16 209/11
I had [4] 184/23 216/19 222/5 236/15
I have [3] 6/18 13/19 223/3
I introduced [1] 112/9
I invite [5] 57/7 69/20 78/12 79/4 80/21
I just [3] 77/16 77/18 227/1
I know [4] 33/23 41/6 126/22 158/14
I made [1] 13/2
I make [1] 234/9
I may [2] 193/11 198/2
I mean [55] 12/25 26/16 27/3 28/7 43/5 45/2 47/21 48/23 55/21 62/2 62/24 65/13 65/17 66/10 66/17 68/14 72/3 74/20 87/5 88/6 88/9 94/25 120/23 130/11 140/1 141/13 150/15 151/24 154/22 164/22 165/2 167/20 168/7 168/18 176/5 181/3 184/7 185/1 199/3 199/17 199/22 201/3 202/7 212/15 215/15 218/21 220/4 224/10 224/12 226/1 227/14 230/15 231/1 233/2 233/9
I might [1] 223/18
I misled [1] 220/18
I need [4] 21/8 89/24 104/3 130/9
I now [1] 108/20
I pause [1] 32/10
I read [2] 17/17 46/11
I really [1] 138/16
I recall [5] 40/23 139/1 170/2 198/1 200/14
I right [2] 11/2 235/17
I said [2] 26/16 112/9
I saw [6] 45/18 140/16 140/17 140/20 140/21 215/7
I say [3] 12/21 87/7 103/8
I see [6] 41/24 94/17 111/14 113/5 120/10 150/8
I should [1] 189/16
I skip [1] 50/1
I started [1] 73/16
I struggled [1] 225/19
I suppose [26] 5/23 11/18 28/4 33/13 36/5 55/23 66/9 123/14 130/13 130/15 138/15 150/16 151/24 168/17 173/22 184/13 184/20 185/12 188/17 190/24 198/9 205/3 205/15 222/25 234/1 234/23
I tell [1] 40/20
I thank [5] 236/22 236/24 237/6 237/9 237/13
I then [2] 30/24 31/24
I think [83] 12/14 13/2 13/14 17/1 17/3 25/17 27/7 27/22 28/16 28/16 29/17 37/18 38/2 38/3 39/12 42/10 42/21 43/20 46/3 46/4 52/14 53/16 56/13 59/1 59/5 60/21 62/16 64/18 65/19 67/10 67/14 68/18 69/21 71/21 72/18 72/25 73/12 73/12 77/10 77/13 77/16 96/2 104/19 111/25 112/13 118/8 121/1 130/1 142/12 142/14 145/14 146/6 152/9 156/3 170/21 170/24 173/24 175/10 176/7 176/20 176/21 190/21 191/17 192/10 193/2 193/4 193/4 193/11 193/18 195/6 209/11 211/9 216/23 221/9 223/18 223/21 223/23 224/1 228/11 231/17 234/16 234/18 237/14
I thought [1] 101/17
I took [4] 73/21 74/14 74/15 85/8
I understand [5] 5/19 37/23 144/8 205/17 209/25
I understood [4] 44/21 126/15 126/20 225/6
I use [1] 77/23
I very [1] 174/22
I view [1] 190/9
I want [7] 14/3 33/19 91/19 92/24 97/16 110/2 150/16
I wanted [1] 77/11
I was [19] 11/13 13/2 13/14 14/8 14/11 14/21 17/17 44/16 48/25 53/18 136/10 152/18 153/1 197/24 209/12 228/12 229/10 235/12 236/4
I wasn't [2] 184/12 201/2
I will [9] 1/16 14/10 49/25 78/25 103/4 105/16 131/12 179/8 233/2
I won't [1] 72/24
I would [11] 23/18 47/21 96/1 141/18 149/11 158/15 175/19 178/10 211/1 234/18 234/24
I wouldn't [5] 31/20 72/25 184/24 210/25 234/16
I'd [7] 4/4 4/12 6/3 40/15 42/1 80/18 134/8
I'll [14] 29/11 38/15 79/12 84/21 88/14 88/18 90/3 95/2 104/20 106/16 150/14 183/17 184/23 232/13
I'm [79] 13/6 14/1 14/1 14/14 15/13 17/3 17/5 19/7 19/8 25/8 41/8 43/5 43/17 46/23 48/13 48/17 49/24 52/2 53/22 60/6 65/6 65/24 67/19 68/9 68/9 70/12 71/21 74/17 76/9 76/17 77/6 77/6 79/3 85/21 87/4 94/5

I

I'm... [43] 94/5 99/5 101/8 101/8 129/10 129/10 129/15 129/19 129/19 129/24 130/17 136/9 138/8 139/15 140/5 146/6 148/8 152/7 168/19 168/19 170/2 174/10 174/13 174/24 175/13 176/17 177/10 177/21 178/3 181/8 181/10 181/12 193/13 203/25 205/12 206/12 216/14 220/25 234/11 235/21 236/13 237/3 237/17
I've [15] 35/10 38/10 70/19 76/9 84/7 115/3 130/16 137/10 146/20 179/5 184/20 193/5 197/6 206/9 237/17
I, [1] 72/6
I, John [1] 72/6
I/we [1] 155/2
IAG [4] 135/7 163/16 207/25 209/2
ICC [1] 187/25
idea [6] 37/6 64/5 64/12 99/23 175/14 198/2
ideal [4] 26/15 182/25 231/22 232/3
identical [1] 107/7
identified [6] 52/6 58/18 62/17 133/21 170/19 185/1
identifies [3] 6/21 186/9 191/20
identify [9] 7/17 71/23 83/15 83/16 112/2 194/23 211/16 211/21 218/23
identifying [3] 35/17 73/13 190/3
identities [2] 3/11 133/6
ie [11] 12/1 12/22 71/25 72/21 126/22 130/3 140/16 141/7 171/8 176/21 193/13
ie conduct [1] 12/22
ie going [1] 130/3
ie if [1] 12/1
ie is [1] 171/8
ie the [1] 193/13
ie they'd [1] 141/7
ie to [1] 126/22
ie what [1] 176/21
ie where [1] 72/21
if [271]
ignore [2] 185/10 230/2
ignoring [1] 129/15
IHAT [5] 12/3 28/2 29/18 56/9 56/12
ill [2] 154/17 174/9
ill health [1] 154/17
ill-advised [1] 174/9
illegally [1] 140/21
image [7] 72/2 79/12 79/13 95/6 114/24 114/25 118/7
imagery [1] 128/21
images [14] 59/18 59/19 59/25 60/24 73/14 73/14 80/18 128/25 129/20 140/25 163/2 163/24 164/9 164/14
imagine [3] 72/19 96/1 189/16
imaging [2] 20/12 20/13
immediately [5] 4/16 62/21 102/10 102/13 115/25
imminently [1] 38/24
impact [2] 4/5 10/12
imparted [1] 188/10
impetus [1] 6/1
importance [4] 9/15 33/1 50/16 126/3
important [14] 8/3 8/3 40/8 40/18 46/22 49/21 167/16 170/21 196/4 197/2 205/25 209/15 212/19 213/8
impossible [1] 30/2
impressed [2] 66/3 66/10
impression [4] 12/19 118/16 119/2 214/6
impressive [1] 168/7
imprison [2] 3/20 133/15
improved [1] 66/16
inaccurate [1] 32/12
incident [13] 71/25 72/22 73/7 84/23 85/2 85/18 87/7 87/9 122/20 217/1 232/18 233/5 233/11
incidents [3] 162/6 163/4 230/2
include [5] 70/2 73/6 186/11 235/14 235/16
included [5] 77/7 100/9 167/8 217/17 236/3
includes [2] 63/14 85/5
including [3] 116/6 187/23 237/7
incomplete [2] 60/1 207/13
inconsistent [2] 88/3 100/25
increase [2] 50/2 50/7
incredibly [3] 45/3 50/8 226/17
indecipherable [1] 112/18
indeed [7] 102/25 104/21 107/3 119/1 137/25 145/5 237/10
independence [3] 177/20 178/6 230/22
independent [15] 1/4 20/10 48/6 48/16 130/25 135/9 159/16 161/15 166/2 176/13 177/24 178/11 178/18 224/16 229/6
independently [1] 179/18
indicated [3] 59/16 200/11 218/22
indicating [1] 38/22
individual [11] 68/19 89/8 109/18 115/17 117/12 117/24 118/21 124/3 124/5 124/9 201/1
individual's [1] 227/23
individuals [5] 73/11 80/16 80/24 118/16 159/20
influence [4] 48/17 48/19 48/20 49/4
Info [1] 38/22
inform [6] 11/20 99/16 125/5 139/13 174/18 231/23
information [52] 2/6 2/9 2/12 8/9 9/4 9/12 9/23 13/13 16/7 16/14 17/20 17/22 22/12 22/21 22/24 23/3 25/10 32/11 34/5 35/3 40/9 40/19 41/13 41/14 44/13 45/6 54/11 56/6 84/13 85/16 85/24 86/1 102/4 112/7 122/16 127/20 127/23 132/2 132/5 132/8 147/4 152/14 161/8 162/10 175/4 184/4 188/10 199/10 217/13 219/11 219/17 226/8
informed [4] 3/1 31/23 132/22 165/13
informing [3] 31/21 31/21 228/16
infrastructure [5] 51/23 51/25 52/4 52/8 52/15
inherent [2] 12/8 52/2
initially [1] 67/22
injuries [1] 87/21
injury [5] 81/20 91/5 96/19 107/14 113/21
input [1] 170/3
inquiries [1] 236/9
inquiry [37] 1/4 1/9 1/11 1/15 1/19 1/20 1/23 1/24 2/2 2/3 2/5 2/7 2/25 3/1 3/4 3/24 85/2 86/2 130/25 131/5 131/7 131/11 131/15 131/16 131/19 131/20 131/23 131/24 132/1 132/3 132/21 132/22 132/25 136/19 170/16 236/23 238/4
inside [4] 119/16 120/1 124/4 124/5
insisted [1] 77/19
insistence [2] 74/17 77/15
insistent [1] 77/12
insofar [4] 28/4 48/15 69/4 231/19
instance [2] 11/19 11/22
instances [2] 2/7 132/3
instead [1] 53/19
instinct [2] 91/18 123/8
instruct [1] 64/3
instructed [1] 139/14
insufficient [1] 180/20
INSUMs [1] 221/6
integrity [3] 9/21 20/9 177/18
intelligence [5] 60/2 180/21 180/23 203/13 216/24
intelligence/evidence [1] 180/21
intended [1] 53/24
intent [4] 6/25 10/15 163/23 172/19
intention [3] 11/21 155/8 213/25
interchangeably [1] 135/16
interesting [1] 59/17
interests [2] 112/6 234/21
interface [1] 166/24
interim [1] 55/7
internal [7] 30/19 35/19 35/24 36/14 36/20 38/23 85/2
internally [1] 188/19
interpret [2] 174/11 201/23
interpretation [5] 6/5 105/10 106/15 218/12 225/3
interpreted [1] 48/22
interpreter [3] 217/20 218/4 218/9
interrogate [2] 49/10 54/11
interrogation [1] 7/5
interrupt [2] 14/1
15/13
interview [13] 157/24 159/7 180/19 180/23 181/19 191/8 199/19 217/18 227/7 227/12 228/20 230/7 232/2
interviewed [5] 68/3 183/6 228/1 228/2 228/10
interviewing [3] 73/3 181/5 186/11
interviews [9] 162/8 180/16 190/15 196/16 196/19 197/2 202/1 213/6 213/23
into [34] 1/4 20/5 21/9 33/7 36/15 38/23 39/4 41/10 45/3 55/8 72/13 72/20 72/24 107/20 110/3 114/5 119/22 130/16 130/25 145/23 156/24 160/14 160/17 162/21 168/8 168/13 183/23 204/2 206/12 208/22 217/1 220/22 220/25 237/7
introduced [1] 112/9
introduction [1] 178/25
introductions [2] 189/10 192/16
INV [1] 216/2
invested [2] 220/22 220/25
investigate [8] 35/16 123/7 123/9 123/13 155/3 231/11 231/23 231/24
investigated [4] 42/17 156/14 183/8 231/14
investigating [15] 21/22 22/2 28/11 45/2 46/20 58/24 59/12 62/14 64/6 123/17 161/3 161/4 167/9 174/5 176/17
investigating-light [2] 59/12 62/14
investigation [93] 5/15 10/13 10/22 24/2 25/7 26/4 30/19 34/19 35/19 35/24 36/14 36/20 37/1 38/23 39/4 39/6 44/12 45/3 45/22 47/23 48/5 65/12 66/7 68/5 100/14 126/3 127/19 130/12 139/25 141/10 141/18 143/1 143/14 143/19 144/8 144/20 145/20 147/12 149/18 149/22 150/3 150/13 150/24 151/2 151/4 154/20 156/7 156/17 157/3 160/14 162/15 166/6 168/12

I

investigation... [40] 168/23 171/21 172/23 173/10 174/2 174/8 174/20 176/10 176/16 177/9 177/18 177/20 178/11 183/1 187/21 188/11 189/2 189/3 193/10 193/15 195/12 198/1 198/4 199/21 200/21 201/18 201/19 203/3 207/10 212/5 212/11 212/13 213/20 216/11 220/8 223/6 224/16 229/25 230/24 231/22
investigation-light [2] 139/25 162/15
investigations [4] 6/18 64/2 162/21 208/22
investigative [17] 9/10 11/17 136/15 139/13 148/21 150/11 150/22 152/1 162/13 168/22 169/17 171/24 184/19 186/10 188/23 229/7 231/19
investigator [19] 31/6 47/1 51/15 76/1 76/14 76/21 82/19 89/24 120/18 148/19 150/12 166/16 176/6 208/9 216/3 216/5 217/2 219/18 233/21
investigator's [1] 30/4
investigators [9] 24/19 31/12 45/24 53/12 149/3 182/3 213/5 232/22 233/13
investigatory [1] 189/21
invite [7] 57/7 69/20 77/6 78/12 79/4 80/21 180/18
invited [2] 136/21 227/21
involved [5] 17/13 73/1 129/12 149/2 230/2
involvement [6] 157/15 212/3 212/7 212/12 212/23 227/24
involving [1] 151/20
irrespective [3] 12/7 28/16 184/22
irreversible [1] 30/1
IRT [115] 4/5 4/17 4/20 5/5 13/24 14/2 14/12 14/16 15/11 15/14 33/22 34/2 34/11 35/21 38/19 43/22 44/9 45/11 46/3 46/8 47/8 47/11 47/19 57/9 59/23 60/1 60/8 60/14 63/4 64/10 64/16 65/9 66/3 68/4 68/14 71/13 73/8 76/12 77/2 77/12 77/25 84/17 126/21 129/16 129/19 129/25 130/10 130/11 133/22 134/14 135/25 136/2 137/18 137/21 137/23 138/14 138/19 139/6 139/12 139/21 145/21 146/3 146/14 146/20 147/5 147/19 148/5 148/15 161/7 162/2 163/16 178/21 179/15 179/16 179/20 180/9 180/13 180/20 181/15 181/18 181/24 182/19 182/21 182/22 182/23 183/20 183/24 184/10 184/17 185/10 185/18 190/10 190/20 191/22 192/2 192/5 193/24 194/3 194/24 195/2 196/10 201/7 202/18 202/24 203/2 203/5 203/22 204/5 204/15 204/21 206/5 212/18 228/4 229/19 230/16
IRT's [2] 43/17 47/14
is [577]
ISAF [1] 218/25
isn't [31] 14/8 19/19 24/3 30/10 30/16 34/13 36/4 44/25 75/3 75/14 76/3 120/24 123/14 135/13 135/14 135/19 135/20 139/19 143/12 149/2 151/4 155/25 156/5 156/23 157/20 163/19 168/18 184/11 203/11 203/15 207/5
isolation [1] 181/10
issue [4] 5/6 71/17 78/13 222/14
issues [4] 77/17 167/6 167/9 229/19
it [610]
it'll [3] 14/15 111/2 212/15
it's [96] 5/24 7/18 9/19 17/19 19/8 25/19 26/22 27/17 27/21 27/22 28/22 30/6 33/18 35/4 36/4 36/6 36/19 37/13 46/10 47/6 48/10 57/4 58/15 62/2 65/6 69/21 69/24 73/21 76/15 79/9 83/1 84/5 88/13 93/12 93/16 94/2 96/5 101/20 103/21 106/25 107/12 107/21 108/3 109/19 117/12 119/19 123/14 123/17 126/22 136/5 137/16 137/18 141/10 141/16 156/6 157/2 163/16 163/17 165/15 166/20 167/20 168/18 169/10 173/14 173/19 173/23 173/23 175/5 175/6 180/3 182/25 183/19 184/7 184/10 184/10 184/11 186/25 187/1 190/21 191/24 194/12 195/15 202/11 205/16 205/18 209/13 221/2 222/10 224/12 227/6 229/11 233/23 234/1 236/20 237/14 237/17
item [5] 89/2 114/10 136/1 136/12 153/13
item 2 [1] 153/13
item 4 [1] 136/12
its [23] 1/17 3/7 6/18 7/9 16/9 16/20 22/24 26/1 50/19 53/25 56/15 56/16 68/5 131/13 133/3 149/22 153/19 205/19 208/7 223/6 224/4 227/14 227/18
ITS1 [59] 4/9 4/25 5/17 6/16 7/23 8/25 9/1 9/9 9/16 9/24 10/2 13/10 13/11 13/12 13/19 14/5 16/4 17/12 18/23 19/16 22/23 23/3 23/11 26/5 26/8 26/24 27/13 27/24 29/25 38/24 40/1 41/11 41/13 43/4 43/14 43/21 44/7 47/1 47/5 48/8 49/6 53/15 55/11 55/15 56/1 56/23 65/13 67/11 68/25 140/6 154/13 155/16 157/11 158/10 158/20 169/9 185/7 186/13 199/24
itself [8] 52/15 55/9 74/12 79/13 84/2 166/9 168/1 222/7

J

Jackets [2] 37/3 37/9
January [10] 4/16 33/24 67/9 75/21 75/24 144/12 145/2 145/5 146/20 147/9
Jason [4] 21/1 22/7 24/12 46/9
jaw [1] 90/8
JDLR [2] 121/2 121/4
jeopardise [1] 6/20
JFIT [2] 12/4 29/19
Jim [2] 21/3 29/23
job [1] 50/19
jog [1] 153/7
JOHN [4] 3/23 72/6 85/7 238/3
joined [1] 6/12
joint [2] 167/13 171/24
Jon [27] 135/3 138/7 148/12 148/15 149/16 149/21 150/2 151/10 151/25 152/7 152/10 153/1 153/10 153/15 165/22 175/22 175/23 176/1 196/6 196/10 207/20 216/23 217/3 219/6 219/15 225/9 225/11
Jon's [2] 149/17 219/15
Jones [3] 57/10 179/1 201/10
JPEGs [3] 74/3 99/2 99/8
JS1 [1] 72/6
judgment [1] 57/21
judicial [2] 9/13 16/17
July [6] 66/22 178/21 178/25 211/8 213/2 233/6
jump [1] 19/7
jumped [1] 46/6
jumping [3] 58/18 155/23 199/17
jumps [1] 9/17
juncture [6] 14/12 46/1 157/20 158/16 161/6 197/25
June [9] 38/3 38/6 50/22 50/23 58/2 58/6 165/8 211/10 211/14
just [80] 5/24 6/7 10/4 17/19 20/14 20/19 27/4 27/7 32/4 32/23 35/10 36/8 37/4 37/19 38/10 41/11 50/21 51/15 53/8 57/5 57/7 57/20 59/14 59/15 63/19 65/24 73/19 73/21 74/10 75/7 75/20 76/5 77/16 77/18 88/11 95/18 97/6 98/23 99/1 103/12 106/10 106/24 107/11 109/20 110/6 111/21 113/11 114/8 115/12 116/18 121/1 121/3 123/6 123/8 123/13 123/18 123/22 134/14 136/22 139/5 140/5 141/12 147/6 149/24 149/24 171/10 182/15 192/14 193/22 195/7 197/24 202/15 206/10 209/3 218/7 219/4 220/4 220/6 220/17 227/1
justice [5] 1/10 30/13 32/21 35/17 131/6
Justice Haddon-Cave [2] 1/10 131/6
justification [1] 223/22
justify [5] 13/15 42/11 159/20 225/5 229/6
justifying [1] 204/6
JW1 [1] 13/20

K

keen [2] 210/4 210/21
keep [3] 40/21 53/2 176/15
keeping [4] 58/1 59/14 193/6 194/2
Kell [3] 4/20 135/4 165/25
kept [3] 54/19 54/20 177/6
key [5] 39/4 70/25 214/18 219/2 219/25
kick [1] 155/4
kill [3] 87/11 101/13 120/2
killed [20] 61/15 80/25 97/16 100/13 102/5 103/10 103/14 119/14 122/13 124/7 124/14 125/3 125/7 125/14 125/17 140/20 152/13 214/21 215/10 218/7
killing [8] 43/7 43/13 68/20 86/8 155/12 156/20 168/13 172/7
killings [3] 44/23 67/18 215/2
kinetic [1] 233/7
kneeling [3] 83/11 89/17 97/19
knew [9] 8/9 50/13 126/8 172/21 190/25 191/2 198/12 198/14 227/15
know [66] 23/2 26/16 33/18 33/23 35/4 41/6 44/12 45/9 45/14 47/8 48/2 58/3 62/19 62/22 64/22 65/2 68/13 69/6 70/13 72/15 75/10 77/25 91/19 93/20 94/10 95/18 96/4 97/7 97/15 99/20 103/15 104/3 107/9 108/2 109/18 110/3 111/4 115/7 115/13 126/22 136/9 141/20 150/6 150/14 152/10 152/18 157/8 157/11 157/14 158/14 159/3 159/14 166/11 167/6 167/7 179/21 187/18 205/16

K

know... [8] 210/7 210/10 210/15 220/1 222/6 231/21 235/20 236/4
knowing [3] 96/1 108/9 177/15
knowledge [2] 11/9 177/6
knows [1] 151/22

L

label [2] 111/24 118/9
lack [1] 97/9
laid [1] 120/23
lain [1] 127/16
landed [2] 89/18 97/4
large [5] 49/10 50/18 56/17 65/10 109/14
last [26] 6/21 10/1 10/4 15/15 15/20 23/17 30/10 34/20 65/4 66/4 68/16 79/5 80/24 96/17 124/3 136/1 139/6 152/3 183/17 194/9 199/10 204/13 208/2 219/8 220/7 235/6
later [4] 48/4 103/6 158/18 233/6
latter [2] 76/24 89/23
law [2] 20/5 75/7
lawful [2] 28/23 49/3
lawfully [1] 122/13
Lawrence [1] 237/10
lawyer [6] 125/24 166/1 166/20 209/18 216/7 217/2
lay [2] 31/1 137/9
laying [38] 80/11 81/19 82/12 83/6 83/21 88/12 90/4 90/8 91/24 92/4 92/7 92/20 93/2 93/18 95/2 95/16 97/6 97/21 98/12 103/16 103/18 104/6 104/25 105/18 108/1 108/25 109/3 109/10 110/10 111/4 111/5 112/23 112/25 113/17 114/6 115/11 117/17 122/1
layman [1] 125/24
LDC [2] 155/20 165/13
lead [7] 21/5 31/6 47/1 83/1 100/17 216/10 230/11
leaders [4] 181/21 181/24 182/18 182/23
leading [3] 17/4 105/6 220/13
learnt [1] 37/22
least [15] 24/21 71/22 77/14 77/17 78/22 80/12 88/16 114/1 122/5 125/2 145/23 150/7 207/24 226/15 228/22
leave [8] 19/9 76/12 173/3 197/12 200/15 200/17 202/14 208/15
leaves [1] 86/20
leaving [3] 85/7 215/21 224/12
led [2] 95/7 189/4
left [35] 66/21 69/21 90/4 91/5 92/1 93/5 93/6 95/3 104/11 104/23 105/1 105/18 106/2 106/5 108/25 109/1 109/2 109/7 109/15 112/24 114/19 114/20 114/24 115/2 115/18 116/6 116/9 121/15 121/19 124/15 184/2 190/19 198/3 215/20 217/7
left-hand [13] 104/11 105/1 105/18 106/2 106/5 108/25 109/1 112/24 114/24 115/18 116/9 121/15 124/15
legal [11] 2/21 132/17 161/9 161/12 166/2 166/21 167/23 189/21 200/8 200/11 219/18
Leggatt [1] 57/21
legitimate [1] 69/7
legitimise [1] 215/2
Leigh [3] 155/20 155/20 156/1
lengthy [2] 59/23 179/15
less [4] 20/4 71/13 145/10 232/3
let [3] 25/11 136/9 223/1
let's [12] 13/8 15/18 32/2 40/20 41/11 46/5 74/10 75/7 75/16 75/20 147/2 202/15
letter [3] 21/9 21/21 22/11
lettering [1] 164/19
letters [2] 48/21 206/13
level [14] 49/19 49/20 50/17 51/14 149/19 175/3 175/8 175/16 195/2 197/19 198/15 212/3 212/22 226/6
liability [1] 198/5
lieutenant [3] 151/5 153/11 235/12
life [2] 19/8 66/18
lift [1] 159/19
lifted [1] 127/11
lifting [1] 25/20
light [16] 19/21 54/1 54/5 54/6 54/8 55/5 59/12 62/14 108/20 139/25 155/4 162/15 190/14 213/17 224/10 224/12
like [34] 4/4 4/12 6/3 22/8 27/15 40/15 41/23 52/21 55/14 55/21 70/17 71/19 76/2 77/18 78/8 80/18 81/23 87/23 87/24 89/5 98/9 98/11 98/17 108/16 113/24 134/8 142/23 143/6 144/25 150/1 170/16 178/9 179/7 236/16
likely [11] 59/9 66/10 93/12 107/21 109/22 109/25 137/19 138/12 151/15 188/11 192/20
likes [2] 44/18 99/20
limited [4] 20/17 32/16 46/21 94/22
line [27] 9/3 14/22 16/13 22/20 32/19 37/19 46/22 47/6 127/18 128/22 136/1 160/4 173/20 190/1 195/18 196/5 205/25 207/21 208/4 208/7 209/10 209/15 210/12 213/8 213/14 219/25 220/7
lines [47] 8/6 9/8 10/5 21/23 31/12 48/8 52/4 70/25 123/16 134/23 136/15 139/6 158/5 158/24 159/1 161/24 164/18 164/23 165/3 168/25 169/10 169/19 169/22 170/4 170/11 170/19 171/2 171/23 172/21 173/16 176/24 182/12 185/2 185/11 186/10 189/13 189/25 194/12 194/17 195/8 204/13 207/13 207/17 213/11 217/1 220/9 231/2
link [2] 101/15 117/11
linked [8] 43/7 62/11 75/11 126/8 141/25 142/2 142/3 179/24
links [1] 117/8
list [1] 167/21
listed [1] 63/15
listen [3] 103/2 129/25 185/12
listened [1] 130/7
listening [1] 129/25
lists [1] 235/19
little [11] 60/3 73/18 110/15 137/2 145/10 161/21 168/9 179/17 199/17 205/11 223/18
live [6] 2/3 2/23 6/16 9/5 131/24 132/19
lively [2] 44/16 45/13
lives [2] 160/18 160/18
living [1] 193/6
locate [1] 158/15
located [2] 65/11 79/14
location [7] 52/10 74/5 74/15 75/18 108/4 112/20 126/11
locked [1] 158/2
logically [2] 183/4 183/6
logs [1] 168/20
long [13] 12/16 53/7 119/15 119/18 119/19 120/1 190/1 190/3 194/13 212/22 224/23 236/5 236/20
longed [1] 7/22
longer [2] 103/4 124/17
look [164] 4/9 5/2 5/8 8/17 8/19 9/1 15/20 25/10 26/12 27/11 29/20 32/2 37/5 49/13 49/25 52/24 53/3 53/8 55/14 55/19 56/17 56/19 56/24 57/20 58/15 59/2 59/14 60/17 61/18 63/3 67/24 71/10 76/21 78/11 78/22 78/24 79/1 79/3 80/4 80/18 81/17 87/7 87/23 87/24 88/11 88/21 90/2 90/16 91/21 91/25 92/5 92/22 93/6 95/3 95/10 96/4 98/17 100/20 102/9 103/1 103/12 103/17 104/4 104/10 105/21 106/10 109/1 110/7 110/14 111/2 111/21 114/12 114/18 114/23 115/18 115/19 115/24 116/9 116/20 117/6 118/5 118/7 118/10 120/10 120/12 121/3 121/12 121/14 121/20 121/24 128/3 128/14 130/13 134/11 136/8 136/15 136/21 137/5 138/5 139/6 141/12 141/20 141/23 141/24 142/3 142/19 143/18 144/8 144/14 144/24 144/24 145/2 145/10 149/12 150/17 153/5 153/22 154/13 155/5 156/4 158/15 160/16 162/1 162/5 163/1 163/17 165/9 165/19 168/3 170/17 170/24 171/1 171/2 171/10 171/16 173/4 175/14 176/15 178/7 178/8 178/17 178/21 189/10 192/17 193/23 194/5 199/24 201/7 201/13 202/15 204/12 206/20 208/24 211/10 213/11 217/11 217/20 220/5 220/7 220/20 221/7 227/1 229/3 232/1
looked [41] 55/25 56/14 76/5 84/7 86/23 87/21 89/10 93/23 101/4 101/10 102/20 104/2 105/17 107/11 110/1 111/15 114/2 118/2 122/4 122/21 122/21 123/15 134/15 137/10 137/11 141/3 141/21 141/25 145/25 146/11 147/6 148/5 157/9 157/12 163/9 165/1 165/4 174/17 192/14 194/2 235/6
looking [44] 22/3 48/21 53/18 53/21 57/5 62/9 76/22 83/13 91/18 96/11 98/23 101/24 102/22 123/7 123/11 126/5 126/5 129/19 138/20 138/23 141/19 144/12 147/24 153/2 155/12 156/5 157/22 158/14 161/21 162/18 165/10 165/16 175/11 178/6 180/11 190/5 191/10 197/7 202/11 202/12 204/18 221/15 229/10 235/5
looks [14] 22/8 76/2 80/10 81/23 89/5 98/9 98/11 106/4 106/25 108/16 113/24 147/4 153/13 168/24
Lord [2] 1/9 131/5
loss [4] 5/14 5/18 16/21 24/1
lost [3] 20/15 33/4 37/9
lot [3] 83/8 181/21 223/25
lots [2] 57/24 83/12
loudly [1] 26/23
low [1] 59/3
low-hanging [1] 59/3
lower [2] 90/8 173/5
lowest [1] 227/14
lucky [1] 88/6
lunch [2] 130/16 136/11

M

made [42] 1/10 1/14

M

made... [40] 2/7 2/10 2/20 3/3 13/2 14/12 14/24 26/25 38/19 44/6 52/16 57/13 120/19 122/6 123/6 131/6 131/10 132/3 132/6 132/16 132/24 142/18 145/16 155/22 156/12 156/16 157/3 168/13 172/7 183/24 187/21 188/3 217/20 222/24 223/4 225/4 226/13 230/8 235/1 235/2
magazine [1] 113/9
main [7] 8/6 9/3 14/22 16/13 22/20 136/2 223/8
maintained [1] 193/18
major [34] 39/21 49/15 60/22 64/18 66/22 66/25 69/24 70/6 73/3 78/13 128/16 128/22 129/4 130/1 145/18 145/24 147/17 148/9 151/5 151/8 151/15 153/11 160/4 193/6 195/18 199/18 205/23 205/25 217/15 220/6 226/9 226/20 227/3 228/12
Major Cox [21] 60/22 64/18 66/22 66/25 69/24 128/16 129/4 130/1 145/24 147/17 148/9 151/5 151/8 153/11 193/6 199/18 205/23 217/15 226/9 226/20 228/12
Major Cox's [2] 70/6 145/18
make [28] 3/1 13/2 19/8 22/18 25/18 31/3 34/4 77/19 80/7 95/22 104/9 110/15 112/12 113/1 115/22 116/3 116/12 132/22 144/13 145/10 149/19 168/9 180/24 186/20 210/13 222/6 233/18 234/9
maker [2] 234/6 234/8
makes [3] 111/19 113/6 218/1
making [8] 1/19 18/13 64/4 106/17 131/15 133/22 149/3 169/18
male [13] 80/8 81/19 87/14 87/15 87/23 88/3 105/17 106/1 106/5 119/13 215/9 215/9 218/15
males [7] 61/13 90/13 101/14 103/7 103/9 152/13 214/21
man [2] 88/15 89/11
manage [3] 142/13 143/22 183/1
managed [6] 87/11 87/15 120/2 120/8 125/18 184/4
management [3] 59/24 163/19 229/20
manager [4] 70/15 70/15 70/16 70/16
manner [3] 16/9 16/19 67/8
many [6] 73/10 88/9 233/8 237/1 237/8 237/8
March [6] 85/14 145/5 190/10 190/19 191/18 196/1
Marched [1] 47/22
mark [8] 57/11 135/3 166/1 170/24 192/20 192/23 207/20 209/4
marked [1] 115/1
marker [1] 137/9
marks [1] 232/8
Marlborough [1] 134/23
marries [1] 180/6
Marshal [1] 168/1
match [1] 128/6
matches [1] 61/15
matching [2] 122/19 221/16
material [19] 2/16 3/10 3/13 13/8 13/11 16/8 17/11 28/14 29/24 43/4 52/12 53/13 60/2 63/10 76/20 132/12 133/5 133/8 187/24
materially [1] 67/7
maths [2] 86/20 87/2
matter [14] 6/12 8/23 23/18 58/22 62/6 65/5 79/1 129/1 177/12 183/17 206/3 216/19 221/2 229/13
matters [9] 1/4 9/14 25/4 65/7 67/21 130/25 172/15 185/6 236/14
Mawgan [6] 51/5 51/24 53/24 55/8 134/22 201/10
may [94] 1/8 1/22 2/19 2/20 2/21 3/18 6/7 19/12 24/18 25/19 25/23 27/11 29/7 33/6 35/9 37/25 43/19 46/7 52/22 53/9 61/18 69/19 72/17 78/19 81/9 82/1 82/10 82/13 82/16 88/25 89/21 90/5 91/7 91/9 91/11 91/11 91/25 93/2 98/1 103/19 103/19 104/22 107/8 107/9 108/1 109/3 110/15 110/19 110/22 114/25 117/10 118/20 121/22 124/1 125/9 125/16 131/4 131/18 132/15 132/16 132/17 133/13 134/9 144/1 148/3 148/8 149/15 151/25 152/5 152/5 153/7 155/7 161/19 168/7 171/25 173/13 173/16 175/2 175/11 179/4 186/4 193/11 198/2 204/3 206/12 206/14 206/20 208/8 211/4 211/4 214/1 226/11 229/15 234/22
maybe [3] 6/12 83/16 125/13
me [59] 6/14 11/15 14/10 14/14 18/13 19/12 19/18 21/7 22/12 22/14 30/22 31/21 33/6 42/22 44/6 46/17 50/1 53/22 76/17 84/5 91/21 92/22 100/25 104/4 104/9 105/21 106/10 108/23 111/20 113/25 115/3 126/2 136/8 136/9 137/14 138/10 139/4 147/11 148/2 150/17 170/16 174/11 177/1 178/3 179/7 184/22 189/24 192/18 192/19 192/20 194/10 205/2 220/2 223/1 228/12 228/16 234/23 235/22 236/23
mean [74] 5/21 12/25 26/16 27/3 28/3 28/7 37/3 43/5 44/25 45/2 47/21 48/23 51/7 55/21 60/5 62/2 62/24 65/13 65/17 66/10 66/17 68/14 72/3 72/11 74/7 74/20 78/22 87/5 88/6 88/9 94/6 94/17 94/25 102/13 111/14 120/23 122/12 130/11 140/1 141/13 150/15 151/24 154/22 164/22 165/2 167/20 168/7 168/18 176/5 181/3 184/7 185/1 192/5 199/3 199/17 199/22 201/3 202/7 205/7 212/15 214/12 215/15 218/21 220/4 221/12 224/10 224/12 226/1 227/14 230/15 231/1 233/2 233/9 235/14
meaning [1] 184/12
means [9] 3/17 133/12 155/2 156/5 184/8 187/4 195/6 216/23 232/17
meant [4] 19/8 60/6 138/13 225/1
media [1] 168/20
meet [4] 134/22 173/6 173/9 174/1
meeting [28] 52/4 52/5 70/3 134/20 136/3 144/23 145/17 157/6 165/7 166/6 166/9 167/16 167/21 168/4 171/14 173/17 174/12 175/24 177/13 187/3 189/8 189/12 191/18 192/21 194/7 210/1 211/15 211/21
meetings [5] 134/13 151/23 166/10 177/7 226/5
member [13] 17/20 72/20 86/14 101/12 101/13 102/4 140/18 140/18 214/3 218/14 218/25 222/13 236/1
members [11] 41/19 62/24 86/8 118/14 140/15 160/11 160/16 190/2 191/8 203/2 218/23
membership [1] 134/25
memory [10] 6/7 52/22 61/20 64/18 153/8 155/18 168/19 170/23 184/20 215/19
men [2] 97/16 124/14
mentality [1] 126/18
mention [1] 96/3
mentioned [2] 10/4 223/3
mentor [8] 148/17 149/13 149/24 150/5 150/10 150/20 151/19 175/22
mentoring [4] 150/20 153/3 153/6 153/23
menu [2] 146/23 147/2
mere [2] 81/3 122/11
Meredith [9] 215/22 215/24 219/5 219/16 220/3 221/21 222/4 222/5 223/2
Meredith's [2] 219/24 220/19
merit [1] 203/4
Merseyside [1] 74/11
MERT [1] 44/18
messages [1] 41/21
messaging [1] 194/25
messenger [2] 39/14 226/8
Messrs [1] 201/9
met [9] 134/22 154/4 155/22 156/1 160/8 165/13 171/20 172/16 209/4
metadata [11] 74/4 74/7 74/12 74/21 75/17 75/19 75/20 76/2 85/7 126/11 180/4
metal [1] 116/1
Mexican [1] 72/18
mic [1] 204/2
mid [2] 57/7 69/11
mid-morning [2] 57/7 69/11
middle [6] 96/17 106/25 113/19 124/16 127/16 217/24
might [121] 6/5 8/14 13/7 17/11 21/15 24/1 24/8 24/21 27/12 29/16 31/8 36/10 36/13 38/3 43/4 45/6 48/23 48/24 50/12 62/14 68/23 72/4 72/11 73/19 73/22 74/20 82/12 82/13 82/23 83/1 83/5 83/13 83/14 83/25 84/4 84/4 84/7 84/10 84/14 88/14 89/18 89/19 90/8 90/10 90/13 91/4 95/22 97/1 97/10 98/8 98/15 99/18 99/23 100/2 100/5 100/8 100/24 104/16 105/19 105/24 108/16 114/9 116/11 116/15 117/24 118/13 122/15 123/1 123/4 123/5 123/5 123/6 127/2 127/5 127/14 127/16 130/9 139/14 141/23 142/17 143/15 143/18 144/13 149/20 150/3 152/10 152/14 152/24 167/15 168/8 169/9 174/8 175/9 175/14 177/7 177/13 181/7 184/5 190/1 190/13 191/8 191/20 194/13 196/10 198/17 198/23 199/7 199/11 199/12 200/1 200/4 200/13 208/19 208/24 211/3 211/5 218/24 221/18 223/18 224/3 231/11
mightn't [1] 95/22
migrated [2] 7/3 27/8
migration [4] 6/22 7/1 7/10 27/1
military [7] 14/6 14/6 28/10 174/6 231/10

M

military... [2] 231/10 231/19
million [3] 49/18 54/6 223/22
mind [27] 28/8 53/3 53/15 53/22 65/7 71/16 78/24 89/24 93/23 97/12 98/15 101/4 101/6 101/9 101/21 101/23 101/24 105/20 112/22 118/14 126/12 138/5 157/8 157/11 157/14 209/21 219/2
minded [1] 219/12
mine [1] 237/1
Minimal [1] 162/11
minimise [1] 9/22
ministerial [1] 195/2
Ministry [1] 167/1
minute [3] 2/4 131/25 171/14
minutes [4] 3/5 69/13 133/1 185/24
misled [3] 204/10 204/10 220/18
missed [1] 123/5
missing [1] 138/8
mistake [2] 52/16 123/6
mistaken [2] 106/4 209/11
misunderstanding [7] 8/16 14/9 17/6 17/10 30/25 33/1 165/8
mitigated [1] 27/9
mix [1] 135/7
mixture [1] 135/9
Mm [9] 35/7 76/11 89/9 107/17 108/11 115/14 117/18 184/1 202/6
mobile [2] 1/5 131/1
MoD [3] 9/5 12/11 12/13
mode [2] 1/7 131/3
molehill [1] 222/24
moment [36] 5/10 7/17 13/8 14/1 17/7 19/3 19/19 29/21 41/11 44/6 53/2 57/5 66/18 69/10 71/14 74/10 75/20 76/13 78/21 79/7 92/6 117/1 119/25 123/2 123/2 128/13 129/25 130/18 137/8 141/8 185/21 195/20 202/14 210/19 219/4 220/5
Monday [3] 57/14 213/4 213/19
money [5] 50/18 151/25 223/25 224/14 225/5
month [8] 34/11 35/21 127/1 151/10 187/2 188/12 210/6 210/23
month's [2] 34/3 127/1
months [9] 38/1 38/9 46/6 50/22 142/21 148/3 162/4 162/5 162/5
morale [2] 66/14 67/4
more [24] 10/20 13/3 20/4 30/15 40/4 59/3 59/9 59/9 60/20 87/15 91/19 92/24 100/12 104/3 109/25 122/15 137/3 155/3 156/5 160/3 168/9 212/3 219/8 221/18
morning [7] 1/3 3/25 4/1 57/7 69/11 171/13 237/16
Morris [12] 52/23 135/4 153/10 171/11 195/25 206/21 208/15 209/23 213/3 215/20 215/23 223/2
most [4] 24/15 25/4 59/17 151/15
motion [2] 217/21 218/1
mountain [1] 222/24
mouth [1] 110/23
move [2] 27/10 145/20
moved [39] 55/8 62/18 62/22 67/7 69/5 72/1 73/21 77/18 78/8 82/2 82/21 83/10 89/13 89/14 91/11 92/12 93/7 93/20 93/21 96/3 98/16 98/18 103/23 104/8 104/23 105/8 108/3 108/8 108/18 111/7 111/10 111/11 114/5 115/7 117/5 118/22 121/22 139/2 235/8
movement [1] 95/20
moving [2] 95/24 184/18
Mr [23] 4/2 11/3 60/22 69/12 69/18 77/11 85/12 111/23 130/19 133/19 135/4 135/5 154/15 155/21 155/21 170/24 185/23 186/3 211/1 211/2 236/18 236/25 237/6
Mr Bang [2] 154/15 155/21
Mr Ellison [2] 170/24 211/1
Mr Glasgow [13] 4/2 11/3 69/12 69/18 77/11 85/12 111/23 130/19 133/19 185/23 186/3 236/18 237/6
Mr Glasgow's [1] 236/25
Mr Pollard [1] 135/5
Mr Ryan [1] 211/2
Mr Saifullah [1] 155/21
Mr Tooke [1] 135/4
Mr Williams [1] 60/22
much [11] 75/13 77/15 99/6 160/3 174/22 190/22 204/18 206/12 236/19 236/22 236/24
multiple [1] 202/1
murder [2] 44/11 44/14
Murphy [20] 135/3 138/8 148/12 148/15 149/21 150/2 151/11 151/25 153/10 153/15 165/22 175/23 175/23 176/1 207/20 216/23 217/3 219/6 219/15 225/10
must [29] 3/1 16/20 20/8 25/13 45/13 60/11 70/23 85/8 87/5 104/10 126/2 128/25 129/20 132/22 136/9 145/24 146/11 158/7 161/2 187/11 204/23 206/18 213/17 216/23 226/17 228/1 228/2 228/10 229/25
mustn't [2] 171/20 172/15
my [41] 6/25 11/25 14/9 14/15 17/5 28/2 28/16 38/6 38/6 38/14 43/21 47/6 52/20 64/1 71/21 72/2 85/12 86/20 98/15 101/8 106/15 108/20 126/21 128/22 130/1 137/11 138/11 138/22 138/22 139/2 146/6 176/16 184/20 191/24 216/25 219/13 225/3 225/3 228/16 237/14 237/18

N

N1141 [2] 101/7 157/15
N1201 [3] 157/15 180/17 214/21
N1466 [5] 17/13 42/13 42/19 42/24 58/19
N1786 [5] 227/7 228/2 228/10 229/5 230/5
N1799 [2] 180/17 214/20
N7058 [6] 215/7 217/11 217/20 218/1 218/13 226/24
nailed [1] 75/13
name [5] 112/3 112/9 112/16 112/20 212/24
narrative [6] 143/22 187/22 188/2 193/1 195/4 207/4
national [2] 9/13 112/6
nationality [1] 218/23
natural [4] 31/10 175/20 188/5 208/8
nature [1] 31/25
NCA [8] 44/18 224/18 225/10 225/14 225/17 225/21 225/23 225/25
NCA's [1] 225/11
Neal [19] 39/17 40/20 47/19 66/6 129/23 130/6 135/3 153/10 177/4 177/11 201/1 208/14 208/19 211/3 212/8 212/15 224/24 234/14 234/20
Neal's [1] 176/25
near [1] 115/8
nearly [1] 46/25
necessary [6] 21/20 24/14 50/19 50/25 52/12 202/2
necessity [1] 7/9
neck [2] 93/10 111/24
need [62] 4/7 7/14 7/23 10/20 10/22 16/2 21/8 21/8 24/4 33/7 33/16 35/5 44/9 50/17 51/14 52/20 54/17 56/2 56/19 65/10 65/14 65/22 74/2 79/16 89/24 100/16 104/3 106/11 114/22 118/4 119/5 123/7 123/9 129/5 129/24 129/25 130/9 141/20 141/22 144/24 152/8 155/5 160/22 165/9 170/21 171/23 173/19 175/16 177/2 181/4 187/22 188/1 188/22 193/22 195/2 196/6 196/16 209/2 211/12 212/23 223/25 224/9
needed [17] 5/8 12/19 19/24 42/8 42/10 42/16 51/8 57/1 62/13 71/8 141/3 197/4 212/6 224/15 225/10 229/18 229/20
needing [3] 33/11 54/19 79/1
needless [1] 21/10
needs [8] 29/6 60/19 79/6 81/3 149/5 173/11 196/5 212/2
negative [3] 191/22 192/2 193/17
negligence [1] 198/5
neither [1] 105/14
network [2] 6/16 51/10
never [28] 26/8 26/10 26/17 47/15 52/7 55/17 55/19 56/21 56/23 67/5 102/12 102/16 147/2 164/2 164/7 164/8 164/14 164/15 164/21 199/14 199/16 223/14 224/7 225/22 225/24 230/19 230/24 233/1
new [6] 68/7 143/1 143/7 155/3 215/25 235/18
new-found [1] 68/7
news [3] 30/5 30/6 235/22
next [23] 32/16 34/11 81/15 88/18 90/19 91/23 114/15 145/5 149/16 153/16 165/7 173/3 173/9 173/25 178/21 188/11 190/8 190/9 193/23 194/6 196/15 210/5 210/22
nicely [5] 40/11 40/16 40/21 54/15 155/17
nine [6] 79/14 80/1 80/8 80/19 96/17 100/18
no [158] 1/21 4/25 5/3 7/14 11/13 13/12 15/7 15/11 20/4 20/4 25/11 25/11 26/9 26/11 26/16 26/19 26/19 26/24 28/2 28/21 30/6 32/18 35/13 35/15 40/22 43/15 43/18 44/11 44/11 44/11 45/1 45/16 47/10 47/16 47/17 48/2 50/13 51/16 51/18 51/21 51/22 51/23 55/18 60/18 64/8 65/1 65/1 65/2 65/2 65/3 66/19 66/19 67/12 67/17 70/18 70/18 72/5 72/9 72/9 74/10 74/10 76/9 76/15 79/3 83/8 88/5 88/16 88/17 89/12 92/13 93/2 94/25 94/25 95/17 95/19 99/23 101/8 101/18 102/10 102/14 102/15 102/17 103/4 103/14 113/3 124/17 131/17 135/14 135/20 138/10

N

no... [68] 138/11 138/17 140/25 143/4 148/5 148/16 148/22 148/24 149/1 151/1 151/22 152/7 154/11 154/13 157/2 158/9 158/10 160/15 162/8 166/17 169/15 176/7 176/8 176/8 177/10 177/12 177/14 177/15 179/12 180/14 180/25 181/1 181/2 181/16 181/18 183/3 183/14 183/23 187/6 188/15 188/16 188/25 192/7 195/15 199/2 199/17 203/7 203/9 203/13 203/20 203/21 203/24 204/6 204/7 205/21 205/22 210/12 210/17 216/4 216/8 220/8 220/18 225/16 228/21 229/11 234/12 235/5 236/5

nobody [1] 232/18

nodded [6] 98/10 99/13 121/6 167/11 189/22 218/9

nominated [1] 73/6

non [7] 59/10 127/6 217/2 217/2 219/18 219/18 230/10

non-criminal [1] 230/10

non-expert [1] 127/6

non-lawyer [1] 217/2

non-legal [1] 219/18

non-tangible [1] 59/10

none [1] 192/9

nonetheless [1] 78/17

norm [1] 175/18

normal [1] 37/17

normally [2] 22/3 122/16

Northmoor [105] 4/6 6/23 8/3 8/25 9/3 13/12 17/15 22/19 24/16 25/5 26/3 36/7 47/15 48/6 48/19 49/9 49/22 50/10 50/18 52/11 56/15 61/9 64/6 65/12 68/8 134/19 135/7 135/9 142/18 142/24 142/25 143/12 144/13 155/8 160/10 163/23 164/17 164/22 165/21 166/1 166/7 167/18 168/1 173/13 177/14 177/24 178/8 183/2 184/10 184/11 184/14 188/4 189/18 190/6 191/15 192/24 195/21 197/4 201/13 201/19 201/22 203/3 204/8 204/15 205/19 206/22 206/25 207/12 207/16 207/19 208/4 208/13 208/18 209/8 210/1 210/5 210/8 210/19 210/23 211/6 211/22 212/17 213/9 213/23 214/15 216/4 217/6 220/13 222/7 222/23 225/18 226/4 227/6 228/2 228/6 228/12 230/22 231/18 232/15 234/14 234/19 234/21 235/18 236/3 236/11

Northmoor's [1] 57/12

Northumbria [1] 159/25

nose [2] 110/21 110/23

nostril [2] 110/11 110/13

not [212] 10/19 11/9 13/6 14/5 14/12 15/10 15/14 17/19 18/17 19/1 19/8 21/15 23/19 25/5 25/10 27/3 27/17 28/2 28/15 28/17 30/5 31/3 31/10 32/16 33/3 34/13 35/13 36/15 36/22 37/16 37/24 39/8 40/12 41/8 41/12 43/3 43/13 43/21 45/16 46/14 46/16 46/17 48/17 48/19 49/24 51/24 52/9 52/15 52/22 53/7 56/14 58/17 60/6 63/15 64/5 65/9 65/22 65/25 67/19 68/1 68/9 68/19 71/21 71/21 71/24 72/4 72/8 72/9 73/19 73/21 74/16 74/17 76/14 76/15 76/18 77/19 77/21 78/15 78/20 81/14 83/1 87/7 90/10 90/24 91/16 93/20 94/5 94/6 94/19 99/1 99/5 100/9 101/8 101/8 101/8 102/10 102/11 102/13 103/1 103/19 104/17 105/14 110/20 110/24 115/7 116/12 118/18 120/16 122/19 123/1 124/22 127/18 129/5 136/9 136/21 139/15 141/10 141/23 143/18 144/14 144/19 146/2 146/6 146/23 148/15 148/19 148/21 148/23 149/24 149/24 151/16 151/21 152/17 152/17 154/4 154/15 155/19 155/22 156/5 156/15 157/2 159/25 163/3 163/5 163/17 164/11 166/16 166/18 169/10 171/20 171/25 172/17 174/10 175/9 175/13 176/6 176/19 177/10 178/3 178/12 179/15 181/20 181/22 182/7 182/25 184/10 185/1 186/21 186/23 186/24 187/18 189/18 195/15 199/9 199/23 200/15 201/2 202/2 202/4 205/8 206/2 206/7 206/10 206/13 207/18 207/20 209/7 210/13 210/25 214/6 215/9 216/3 216/4 216/5 216/7 216/9 219/11 219/13 219/24 220/2 221/8 222/13 223/9 224/13 229/8 231/2 231/17 234/3 234/6 235/5 235/5 235/23

note [16] 5/13 8/19 10/8 14/24 27/7 38/6 46/9 46/19 53/5 69/24 153/4 162/2 187/16 217/12 219/15 227/21

notes [4] 165/18 186/7 189/8 192/13

nothing [18] 25/19 30/8 33/6 36/1 45/24 46/4 79/6 127/8 127/25 155/3 156/4 160/15 161/16 177/2 203/17 212/12 217/7 226/3

notice [2] 3/17 133/12

Notification [1] 29/23

noting [1] 190/2

notion [1] 39/3

notwithstanding [3] 94/22 124/6 196/9

November [14] 1/1 7/21 10/7 19/16 19/23 21/16 50/3 57/14 58/8 65/18 134/14 146/14 186/8 189/9

now [80] 7/19 13/6 17/5 20/4 21/15 22/6 24/11 26/21 32/6 33/18 34/13 39/20 46/25 47/17 47/21 49/6 65/6 66/22 69/13 69/24 78/21 80/1 80/4 80/15 82/16 83/4 84/1 86/1 87/17 96/25 102/18 105/6 106/3 106/20 108/1 108/5 108/20 109/9 109/10 110/19 118/20 120/16 130/20 137/2 137/12 139/23 144/15 145/20 146/2 146/2 146/20 148/15 149/21 150/9 161/21 162/4 168/23 174/3 175/11 176/25 178/7 184/2 186/23 188/1 197/12 199/10 204/16 205/16 211/5 215/5 220/12 222/3 223/11 226/18 227/6 228/6 229/5 235/4 237/5 237/16

nowhere [1] 162/24

number [54] 6/2 9/5 15/20 62/19 62/22 69/20 72/22 73/1 73/4 73/23 81/17 82/16 88/11 88/18 90/2 90/19 91/23 92/5 93/1 95/1 98/1 100/2 100/5 101/5 104/6 106/1 106/2 106/12 106/20 107/1 107/9 108/23 109/4 110/9 110/15 112/8 112/10 112/22 114/15 114/23 115/2 121/7 124/3 133/21 152/8 153/14 157/19 166/10 176/20 186/10 211/14 214/9 221/16 236/8

number 1 [1] 81/17

number 2 [10] 69/20 88/11 104/6 106/2 106/12 106/20 107/1 109/4 112/8 112/10

number 3 [5] 88/18 106/1 107/9 152/8 211/14

number 4 [2] 90/2 108/23

number 5 [3] 90/19 110/9 110/15

number 50 [1] 15/20

number 6 [4] 91/23 112/22 114/23 115/2

number 7 [3] 92/5 93/1 114/15

number 8 [3] 95/1 121/7 124/3

numbered [3] 80/25 81/4 81/4

numbering [1] 81/14

numbers [5] 61/15 101/20 141/1 221/13 221/14

numerous [1] 94/18

O

OBE [1] 235/19

object [3] 88/24 217/22 218/2

objective [13] 79/17 79/23 80/1 102/19 112/8 112/8 112/10 112/16 112/19 156/14 178/17 179/11 206/2

Objective 1 [3] 79/17 80/1 206/2

Objective 2 [3] 102/19 112/19 179/11

objectively [2] 176/13 178/8

objectives [11] 70/4 136/14 136/16 156/9 163/24 164/5 165/14 180/19 184/4 187/11 201/24

obligation [1] 229/12

obligations [1] 230/4

observation [9] 5/16 33/9 65/24 91/2 107/18 110/12 115/15 163/5 204/4

observe [9] 7/18 8/1 23/25 32/10 56/5 57/14 108/3 137/16 167/20

observed [2] 18/14 23/22

observing [1] 19/18

obstacle [2] 29/15 139/5

obtain [2] 4/9 29/18

obtained [2] 60/3 61/9

obtaining [1] 127/2

obviate [1] 10/11

obvious [17] 5/24 11/17 18/12 18/14 20/14 25/18 31/24 59/4 59/9 62/2 85/24 88/16 95/22 104/8 123/16 124/1 194/21

obviously [26] 10/4 13/10 28/7 30/22 31/25 35/8 39/12 56/3 72/24 84/16 121/24 130/4 144/3 144/16 157/22 157/25 159/22 166/9 176/19 181/21 192/10 197/25 199/20 202/12 215/21 224/10

OC [1] 152/10

occasion [2] 153/7 221/19

occasional [1] 237/14

occasions [5] 73/23 133/21 163/16 178/1 214/10

occupants [1] 87/12

occur [1] 143/15

occurred [2] 39/6 178/21

October [8] 7/21 14/3 14/15 16/1 227/6 228/25 235/7 235/11

odd [2] 141/23 150/5

off [21] 1/7 4/16 9/17 12/23 23/17 31/2 55/17 69/24 88/4

O

off... [12] 131/3 156/6 160/7 161/12 162/19 162/22 164/22 172/10 177/24 182/9 194/17 210/3
offence [8] 30/12 36/14 36/16 36/25 129/2 198/17 198/25 229/14
offences [1] 227/19
office [3] 49/19 154/3 154/7
officer [18] 12/23 31/21 46/20 101/6 101/11 142/11 149/25 159/25 174/6 174/19 174/23 175/1 196/17 200/23 216/6 227/8 228/22 229/13
officer's [1] 85/17
officers [2] 28/13 226/23
officers' [1] 74/11
official [3] 21/9 21/21 22/10
often [1] 76/8
Oh [1] 152/7
okay [83] 9/20 13/14 15/17 15/17 18/13 19/7 23/17 24/11 29/5 32/15 34/9 40/23 43/16 44/5 47/3 49/24 50/21 54/8 55/4 57/15 58/1 58/14 60/13 61/21 62/8 62/21 66/13 66/20 68/2 74/23 75/7 75/10 76/7 79/21 80/1 80/3 80/15 80/20 81/17 83/10 84/21 86/11 91/14 91/23 93/1 94/22 95/1 95/20 103/11 104/6 108/12 120/8 124/11 124/24 135/24 136/8 141/11 142/17 145/15 148/1 153/20 156/9 157/6 158/4 160/24 161/1 162/14 163/1 163/21 165/7 167/4 168/3 173/3 173/25 178/11 184/15 187/9 189/6 189/23 192/12 213/11 216/17 234/10
old [4] 6/22 7/13 218/6 218/17
on [351]
once [10] 7/3 7/10 55/25 56/1 126/18 163/9 164/25 185/18 187/21 213/13
one [91] 4/14 4/15 8/6 10/24 42/1 45/22 50/13 57/4 64/12 69/21 69/22 72/15 79/19 79/23 80/10 81/3 81/3 81/7 81/15 83/13 83/25 86/20 87/15 88/18 89/4 90/6 90/16 90/19 90/21 91/23 91/24 93/4 94/24 95/20 100/3 100/12 106/4 106/25 107/2 107/2 108/12 108/16 109/13 112/4 112/4 116/7 116/11 116/20 117/1 117/8 117/11 117/16 117/20 117/24 118/3 118/9 119/25 123/22 124/15 124/16 124/17 124/22 129/19 135/13 135/14 144/1 147/4 151/16 151/22 153/13 156/23 168/24 173/5 175/22 176/17 178/5 184/2 184/13 188/1 188/6 191/9 191/24 200/12 205/22 209/3 214/18 221/19 222/25 226/14 227/11 234/23
one's [3] 114/17 193/17 193/17
ones [2] 78/16 85/21
ongoing [9] 7/9 59/24 60/1 156/10 162/4 171/4 184/18 189/13 191/1
only [26] 15/11 33/6 37/22 41/12 46/15 46/17 54/6 64/16 78/10 90/21 128/9 129/6 142/20 155/19 161/2 162/9 163/8 164/25 165/9 183/6 184/2 188/1 189/18 192/20 199/9 222/12
onset [4] 47/23 47/25 48/5 234/19
onto [5] 90/23 92/17 95/11 106/20 109/23
onus [1] 20/3
Op [27] 22/19 24/16 25/5 68/8 134/19 142/24 142/24 165/12 165/21 166/7 167/18 183/2 190/6 192/24 195/12 206/22 206/25 207/12 207/16 207/18 208/4 209/7 211/22 212/17 220/13 222/7 228/2
Op Northmoor [20] 22/19 24/16 68/8 134/19 142/24 165/21 166/7 167/18 190/6 192/24 206/22 206/25 207/12 207/16 208/4 211/22 212/17 220/13 222/7 228/2
Op Tyburn [2] 165/12 195/12
open [10] 1/3 25/9 46/17 49/13 57/4 68/4 121/8 124/4 130/24 147/21
opened [1] 86/1
opening [3] 189/10 192/17 211/20
operated [2] 6/16 51/24
operating [2] 48/14 48/15
operation [20] 8/25 17/14 36/6 57/11 65/11 70/4 143/11 154/9 155/8 156/6 157/23 204/15 205/19 222/23 226/4 227/5 233/9 234/14 234/21 235/18
operational [13] 10/12 12/2 12/6 28/7 53/13 61/7 61/16 61/23 86/3 100/10 120/13 144/5 168/6
operations [11] 6/20 7/9 28/5 59/21 59/25 61/25 63/6 144/3 183/23 233/5 233/8
opine [1] 121/18
opinion [20] 42/10 42/12 44/17 44/19 60/9 83/25 108/20 129/1 136/19 139/5 155/5 171/8 174/15 185/14 202/21 203/5 203/10 203/14 204/15 216/9
opportunity [10] 8/2 11/23 137/8 139/12 139/21 144/18 159/16 160/20 199/16 209/13
opposed [2] 64/20 211/2
ops [5] 42/14 42/19 42/25 58/19 189/14
OPSUM [1] 75/23
OPSUMs [3] 63/7 137/5 221/6
option [13] 35/13 35/16 35/17 35/19 35/24 36/4 36/6 46/15 46/17 53/18 56/14 100/6 208/2
options [10] 34/14 34/15 35/12 82/17 100/2 100/5 100/6 184/2 206/22 209/6
or [253]
order [61] 1/11 1/13 1/14 1/18 2/1 2/10 2/14 2/20 2/22 3/2 3/3 3/7 3/14 3/21 4/22 9/21 28/14 29/6 32/3 33/8 39/18 43/21 50/7 52/12 78/6 81/5 90/24 103/12 103/13 103/14 104/24 111/12 112/2 112/5 118/23 119/6 126/16 126/24 131/7 131/9 131/10 131/14 131/22 132/4 132/6 132/10 132/16 132/18 132/23 132/24 133/3 133/9 133/16 134/3 136/17 137/2 147/17 149/17 169/14 187/3 225/5
ordered [2] 182/23 184/25
orders [7] 2/8 3/16 3/18 133/11 133/13 205/5 205/7
ordinary [1] 51/15
organisation [4] 22/2 28/4 66/3 176/22
organise [2] 213/4 228/20
original [5] 16/14 16/20 20/8 22/21 22/24
other [64] 1/6 20/19 27/12 45/22 50/25 57/24 58/21 63/10 64/21 64/23 64/25 68/23 81/7 81/14 82/16 84/1 87/11 87/14 87/15 88/21 98/1 100/3 100/24 105/7 108/2 114/16 115/6 115/8 115/10 119/16 120/3 122/20 124/12 124/13 124/20 127/14 131/2 136/5 136/21 137/6 139/12 139/22 144/23 145/23 146/23 153/2 154/6 155/23 156/9 156/19 157/3 162/9 162/11 162/24 163/4 171/6 173/12 174/17 175/9 191/9 195/1 201/23 218/22 231/10
other's [1] 193/19
others [6] 22/16 59/3 70/5 100/6 226/9 228/14
otherwise [5] 5/1 16/21 24/7 59/11 184/7
ought [2] 33/5 39/17
our [24] 20/24 38/12 48/17 48/18 57/7 66/4 93/1 96/17 99/9 103/16 108/24 110/10 159/5 159/7 168/25 191/25 201/13 201/25 203/1 206/23 213/2 220/6 225/25 227/2
ourselves [3] 52/10 163/15 180/13
out [92] 4/23 5/2 7/7 8/24 10/16 11/12 13/4 16/2 20/24 21/13 22/19 24/13 24/24 35/10 38/21 41/20 46/10 47/1 47/5 49/15 51/8 64/19 67/20 72/25 80/7 82/20 92/24 98/8 105/8 106/3 113/1 115/22 116/3 116/12 120/23 123/6 124/6 124/13 126/12 128/10 134/25 139/9 139/17 142/6 142/13 143/11 144/13 144/22 149/20 150/18 151/8 153/23 158/11 158/13 158/14 159/5 159/7 160/17 162/1 167/12 168/5 169/1 169/23 170/7 171/11 171/12 176/24 179/9 184/7 185/4 186/15 194/3 195/1 195/4 195/21 196/2 199/22 202/7 204/22 205/23 206/21 206/23 209/3 212/24 213/3 213/23 221/4 222/24 226/23 229/4 232/4 236/8
outcome [9] 68/11 172/18 183/22 188/8 210/1 210/5 210/8 210/11 210/22
outcomes [3] 38/25 67/6 68/5
outlined [1] 139/10
outset [1] 56/16
outside [7] 2/6 67/21 119/13 132/2 136/19 205/19 229/7
outsider [2] 175/15 178/13
outstanding [11] 161/24 169/10 169/19 170/19 172/21 194/12 195/8 207/12 209/16 228/11 230/15
outstretched [1] 114/25
over [24] 27/18 27/19 40/17 50/1 60/7 65/6 66/16 90/2 95/21 96/7 100/6 118/2 127/12 136/11 175/17 192/12 195/8 201/9 201/24 203/1 207/19 229/24 232/9 233/24
overall [2] 230/8 230/21
overcome [2] 29/15 234/2
overseen [1] 152/12
oversight [1] 28/20
overwritten [2] 3/13 133/8
own [10] 36/14 36/25

O

own... [8] 39/3 56/15 97/12 125/17 129/20 141/23 151/20 164/24
owned [1] 226/15
owner [4] 10/12 16/3 16/7 107/10

P

package [1] 141/22
page [77] 4/13 8/19 8/19 8/20 9/17 15/21 15/24 19/12 20/14 20/24 22/6 24/11 32/3 38/15 50/21 57/13 58/15 60/7 60/7 63/2 63/19 65/4 79/11 80/23 80/23 80/24 90/3 90/3 91/24 93/2 95/1 96/18 103/16 108/24 110/10 142/19 149/20 162/5 163/1 165/9 165/10 168/25 171/11 178/24 179/8 180/12 183/18 186/7 189/7 189/17 191/20 191/24 191/25 192/12 195/8 195/9 195/25 201/9 201/13 201/14 201/24 201/25 203/1 203/1 204/12 206/23 209/3 211/11 212/1 213/2 216/18 217/11 217/24 220/6 223/1 229/3 229/24
page 1 [1] 191/20
page 10 [1] 165/10
page 11-5 [1] 63/2
page 11-6 [1] 63/19
page 114-4 [1] 142/19
page 12 [2] 168/25 186/7
page 124-6 [1] 162/5
page 142-1 [1] 191/25
page 142-3 [1] 195/9
page 144-3 [1] 201/13
page 148-3 [1] 206/23
page 15-6 [1] 183/18
page 164 [1] 165/9
page 168-4 [1] 217/11
page 17 [3] 8/19 8/19 8/20
page 176-2 [2] 90/3 93/2
page 176-3 [3] 79/11 95/1 96/18
page 178-1 [2] 103/16 108/24
page 178-2 [1] 110/10
page 2 [8] 32/3 38/15 171/11 195/25 213/2 216/18 220/6 223/1
page 3 [4] 15/21 15/24 57/13 178/24
page 4 [5] 19/12 50/21 179/8 201/25 211/11
page 5 [3] 58/15 180/12 203/1
page 56 [1] 20/24
page 56-2 [1] 24/11
page 56-3 [1] 22/6
page 56-5 [1] 20/14
page 6 [2] 60/7 204/12
page 7 [1] 65/4
pages [4] 79/5 80/22 165/19 178/24
paper [2] 10/16 30/23
paperwork [1] 128/7
paragraph [22] 9/2 10/1 20/7 24/13 24/24 49/17 65/8 136/10 139/7 142/19 144/15 181/9 183/16 183/19 201/16 201/25 203/1 204/13 213/12 229/3 229/11 229/24
paragraph 10.1 [1] 203/1
paragraph 13 [2] 142/19 204/13
paragraph 3 [2] 9/2 20/7
paragraph 3.2 [1] 183/19
paragraph 4 [2] 49/17 229/11
Paragraph 4.1 [1] 201/16
paragraph 5 [1] 139/7
paragraph 5.1 [1] 201/25
paragraph 6 [1] 65/8
paragraph 7 [1] 229/24
parallel [2] 139/9 139/17
parameters [1] 48/14
Parliament [3] 210/5 210/22 211/7
parliamentary [2] 168/9 168/15
part [28] 1/18 2/1 8/10 20/19 31/8 49/7 56/7 58/1 72/14 74/6 84/15 85/16 86/1 90/21 121/7 128/22 131/14 131/22 151/1 159/9 168/21 179/25 193/20 198/12 198/14 206/16 228/2 236/3
partially [2] 46/15 104/25
participants [1] 180/18
particular [22] 4/7 21/23 47/18 62/9 69/23 73/7 75/11 86/4 88/13 89/2 96/6 101/7 102/5 115/17 119/11 144/15 152/11 165/19 176/19 181/20 201/9 233/11
particularly [6] 19/9 68/1 143/16 183/14 223/7 231/17
partly [2] 88/19 92/4
Partner [1] 159/10
parts [3] 1/13 116/5 131/9
partway [1] 163/9
party [4] 20/10 204/24 205/10 205/19
Pashtu [1] 218/9
passage [2] 49/25 179/7
past [4] 5/25 64/1 76/8 137/17
path [2] 29/16 139/5
patience [1] 236/13
pattern [3] 83/19 114/10 121/18
patterned [1] 116/22
pause [1] 32/10
paused [2] 5/4 172/19
pausing [1] 119/8
pay [2] 15/6 18/25
paying [2] 151/16 175/2
penal [2] 3/16 133/11
pended [2] 6/18 13/24
penultimate [2] 24/24 168/24
people [28] 24/2 26/1 44/5 50/17 63/17 84/15 86/9 86/10 88/7 93/24 100/12 101/20 102/5 120/3 120/23 124/6 125/2 125/6 128/9 142/8 151/20 151/23 158/14 159/5 159/7 168/20 181/19 186/24
per [4] 58/1 58/4 58/5 232/5
perceived [1] 25/9
perception [4] 27/23 221/2 221/3 222/22
perfect [1] 152/6
performance [1] 235/17
perhaps [25] 11/21 14/9 32/3 55/24 104/7 108/2 117/24 124/18 134/10 138/8 167/2 174/11 189/25 190/3 194/13 196/4 203/25 205/11 206/16 208/2 221/9 233/2 233/4 235/5 235/5
period [7] 2/24 3/6 7/3 38/4 94/19 132/20 133/2
permission [4] 1/21 1/24 131/17 131/20
permit [2] 43/13 83/25
permits [1] 75/7
perseverance [1] 236/14
person [26] 1/19 1/22 41/4 51/19 62/16 64/4 69/7 72/12 73/3 73/13 73/18 73/19 92/7 106/18 118/12 119/2 123/4 124/10 131/15 131/18 151/5 151/15 200/13 218/22 228/14 231/23
personal [4] 1/6 131/2 174/22 175/2
personalities [1] 142/5
personally [1] 30/22
personnel [8] 160/21 169/7 186/11 195/13 195/21 207/1 223/8 231/21
persons [2] 68/3 135/1
perspective [2] 21/8 222/25
persuade [2] 47/19 207/20
pertinent [1] 6/17
pervert [1] 32/20
perverting [2] 30/12 35/16
Peter [5] 166/2 167/15 209/22 210/15 223/4
Philip [2] 57/10 179/1
phones [2] 1/5 131/1
photo [1] 109/2
photograph [74] 1/22 62/3 62/20 69/3 69/4 75/21 80/15 83/13 90/24 91/1 91/18 92/6 92/8 92/17 92/22 93/23 94/23 95/12 95/13 95/21 96/6 96/7 97/13 103/21 104/2 104/15 104/18 104/23 105/1 105/17 105/18 106/2 106/6 106/10 106/20 106/21 106/25 107/5 107/10 107/24 108/2 109/2 109/4 109/6 110/1 110/6 110/14 111/8 111/10 111/16 111/20 111/21 112/4 112/4 112/7 112/24 113/16 113/17 114/2 114/12 115/17 115/19 116/9 117/5 118/3 118/23 120/23 121/23 121/25 122/4 122/12 131/18 217/23 218/3
photographed [1] 221/6
photographer [1] 109/10
photographs [100] 60/15 60/16 60/25 61/5 61/23 61/24 62/8 62/15 62/17 67/1 67/25 69/1 69/2 70/10 70/22 71/4 71/20 72/3 72/6 73/19 73/21 74/14 74/21 75/10 76/5 77/4 77/8 78/1 78/4 78/11 78/12 78/14 78/20 80/22 84/22 84/25 85/5 85/9 85/20 86/23 87/6 87/22 90/16 94/25 98/24 99/3 100/8 101/4 101/10 101/16 102/8 102/22 103/3 105/12 108/8 111/25 114/18 115/9 115/24 118/4 120/12 121/4 122/19 122/22 123/19 124/13 124/22 126/6 126/16 127/9 128/6 128/9 128/15 129/13 130/2 130/4 130/5 136/22 137/11 137/11 138/21 138/24 140/11 145/24 146/8 146/11 157/9 158/10 163/10 165/1 179/11 179/21 202/3 202/4 202/15 202/21 203/5 206/2 214/24 221/15
photos [3] 70/3 138/5 206/7
phrase [6] 24/18 71/10 77/24 214/9 215/15 234/6
pick [4] 79/4 124/13 125/1 136/10
pick-up [1] 125/1
picked [1] 91/11
picking [4] 38/10 53/3 95/24 195/7
picture [4] 71/23 73/5 99/22 118/8
pictures [3] 73/7 85/8 202/12
piece [8] 10/16 12/20 34/7 90/9 91/10 93/16 107/7 116/24
piecemeal [1] 16/19
pillow [27] 81/24 82/8 82/13 83/19 83/19 88/25 89/15 89/15 89/19 90/10

P

pillow... [17] 96/21 97/1 97/4 97/6 97/7 109/3 109/11 111/17 114/9 116/10 116/13 116/18 117/6 117/8 117/17 117/19 118/2
pink [1] 178/24
place [32] 1/23 6/11 7/11 23/23 29/8 33/22 33/23 38/16 49/9 52/17 53/19 56/4 62/13 68/16 72/22 75/24 79/12 85/15 103/6 129/11 131/19 153/4 175/4 180/7 183/21 199/24 207/8 208/23 210/2 215/8 229/10 230/17
placed [7] 9/16 83/7 89/14 112/3 118/15 119/1 218/17
plain [1] 55/25
plan [3] 144/4 144/5 196/16
planted [2] 122/8 214/24
planting [2] 122/17 214/4
play [1] 151/1
playing [1] 168/5
pleasant [1] 219/8
please [91] 1/5 1/8 4/10 4/13 6/9 8/18 11/14 11/16 15/19 15/21 19/10 22/6 29/20 29/20 32/2 32/2 38/11 39/20 42/22 46/5 49/14 50/21 58/15 60/5 63/2 65/4 66/1 69/13 69/19 69/21 69/22 80/18 81/17 88/18 90/19 91/23 95/1 102/18 108/23 110/9 112/22 114/15 128/14 130/20 131/1 131/4 135/12 148/1 153/5 153/18 162/1 162/5 163/1 165/19 171/10 178/20 178/22 179/8 180/12 183/18 185/24 186/4 186/6 189/6 189/15 191/19 192/12 192/17 195/9 195/24 201/7 201/24 203/1 204/12 204/14 205/22 206/20 209/21 209/22 211/10 213/1 216/16 217/11 218/20 219/4 220/17 223/20 227/1 232/14 235/15 237/16
pleased [1] 66/17
plugged [1] 51/12
plus [1] 87/2
pm [77] 5/4 5/8 21/10 22/11 22/12 22/16 30/24 34/22 34/23 47/4 48/23 52/20 58/2 129/23 130/4 130/21 130/23 133/17 133/25 134/3 138/7 138/19 147/5 147/8 147/10 148/17 149/13 149/14 149/19 149/24 150/6 150/10 150/12 150/16 151/22 161/8 161/16 163/15 165/21 172/4 172/10 172/12 172/19 173/5 173/9 173/12 173/17 174/1 175/13 176/6 177/8 178/1 182/21 185/25 186/2 186/19 187/3 189/10 190/5 190/18 191/16 194/17 200/18 200/25 202/24 204/10 207/5 207/7 208/13 208/19 211/5 211/12 211/20 212/3 226/14 234/9 237/21
point [67] 4/12 4/23 7/21 10/24 11/12 11/17 13/2 13/23 14/11 14/25 16/2 17/5 17/18 18/14 25/18 27/21 30/4 38/10 42/1 42/8 52/18 54/14 54/23 66/21 69/9 71/25 72/21 72/21 73/2 73/18 96/3 103/3 106/17 119/8 138/7 144/4 150/8 150/14 157/17 160/5 168/25 170/21 171/9 171/16 173/25 179/10 180/15 181/20 186/9 189/11 190/8 192/18 193/23 194/6 196/4 196/15 199/20 203/23 204/8 211/14 211/25 213/5 213/14 216/25 225/19 231/22 235/7
pointing [2] 64/19 218/22
pointless [1] 160/25
points [7] 45/18 168/10 173/5 186/16 194/9 205/15 220/21
POL [1] 216/2
police [10] 28/10 74/11 174/6 174/21 193/12 193/15 197/9 229/13 231/10 231/19
policeman [1] 166/18
policy [20] 4/14 5/13 6/10 26/21 32/23 38/15 38/18 39/22 53/5 69/23 145/19 146/10 148/4 165/22 166/23 167/23 189/21 206/1 219/21 220/14
political [4] 167/23 189/21 211/13 223/24
politics [1] 166/23
Pollard [1] 135/5
poorly [1] 201/22
popular [2] 137/23 138/1
pose [2] 101/14 214/22
posed [4] 102/6 121/10 125/10 195/11
position [38] 6/13 8/17 26/15 83/7 84/1 90/20 92/7 92/14 93/17 95/15 103/22 103/22 103/23 104/12 104/17 106/19 108/17 108/17 108/17 110/1 110/3 110/4 111/5 111/6 111/7 114/3 114/6 114/6 115/11 134/10 182/25 193/5 197/6 197/12 207/8 219/24 222/2 228/17
positive [4] 156/17 183/22 192/6 193/17
positively [1] 204/10
possession [1] 20/5
possibilities [3] 82/1 82/16 110/24
possibility [17] 25/25 54/22 83/4 87/10 90/12 94/9 105/10 105/23 116/23 120/18 122/17 127/2 185/7 188/6 197/19 227/15 229/14
possible [13] 33/12 36/6 82/13 83/14 98/1 101/15 117/12 121/14 197/8 202/2 205/5 206/22 209/6
possibly [19] 7/21 15/1 34/24 43/20 72/12 76/16 84/19 90/7 114/9 116/7 117/3 117/22 136/6 159/16 159/19 160/19 162/21 169/14 171/24
post [5] 40/12 40/15 53/8 142/20 208/15
potential [13] 4/5 17/11 24/1 34/19 39/4 50/16 126/2 135/24 140/15 142/17 143/15 187/5 191/8
potentially [19] 8/3 40/8 72/9 101/2 105/25 108/11 125/8 127/4 127/10 127/11 127/19 127/22 130/8 157/1 157/2 161/18 175/7 179/5 223/17
power [19] 3/19 12/8 14/6 15/4 18/20 18/22 31/6 31/9 31/13 33/15 35/4 43/13 45/9 45/19 47/7 49/3 51/25 133/14 228/18
powers [1] 29/3
practicable [1] 9/25
practice [2] 9/14 152/12
precedent [1] 33/6
preceding [2] 3/5 133/1
precise [2] 93/17 104/17
precisely [4] 56/11 90/20 106/12 109/18
preclude [1] 160/9
predecessor [1] 234/17
predicate [1] 198/25
prefer [1] 59/16
preliminary [2] 108/12 141/18
premature [5] 144/7 144/10 161/22 172/14 173/14
premises [2] 12/11 14/6
preparation [2] 19/13 193/12
prepared [3] 8/19 187/25 217/12
preparing [2] 188/6 237/8
present [17] 126/6 135/1 135/19 150/12 153/7 155/22 156/3 156/4 157/6 166/5 166/20 167/15 168/24 174/12 175/23 179/12 179/15
presented [2] 147/5 153/14
preservation [1] 20/11
preserve [2] 7/8 9/21
preserved [6] 7/4 9/12 10/24 16/15 20/8 29/25
pressed [1] 51/17
pressure [2] 210/23 211/5
presumably [26] 17/12 30/4 31/23 44/11 45/13 47/4 52/18 54/19 64/10 76/1 82/19 87/19 95/10 119/18 125/21 169/21 172/3 182/3 182/15 195/15 224/21 226/13 226/17 226/21 228/17 236/5
presumption [1] 75/2
pretty [4] 75/13 88/6 168/18 182/24
prevent [1] 50/24
previous [5] 5/20 6/2 27/7 28/2 152/25
previously [3] 64/2 70/21 134/16
Priddin [2] 21/3 29/23
prime [1] 141/5
principal [1] 155/11
principle [2] 7/2 121/3
principles [2] 57/21 58/12
printed [1] 85/12
prior [11] 6/14 59/5 59/6 60/9 117/5 136/14 136/18 149/16 152/21 152/21 166/8
prioritisation [5] 59/7 59/8 63/5 79/22 136/13
prioritise [1] 59/17
prioritised [3] 102/19 164/6 187/11
priority [1] 156/14
private [2] 150/10 178/2
probably [3] 175/10 191/17 192/10
probative [1] 203/9
problematic [1] 69/4
problems [1] 225/18
proceeded [1] 173/16
proceedings [8] 1/20 1/23 2/3 9/13 16/17 131/16 131/19 131/24
process [10] 10/3 10/6 20/11 21/13 29/25 139/10 139/18 139/18 176/13 229/6
produce [2] 52/1 62/15
produced [4] 20/4 23/9 67/6 177/16
product [3] 39/6 43/2 49/9
production [1] 16/16
professional [5] 185/12 231/5 231/9 231/15 235/12
proffered [1] 216/9
progress [25] 4/6 10/12 18/15 57/12 142/17 145/16 149/9 149/22 156/12 162/2 162/15 168/12 174/1 174/8 174/18 174/19 177/8 183/24 189/13 193/25 200/20 201/12 212/11 225/20 233/18
progressing [1] 5/15
prohibiting [4] 2/11 3/7 132/7 133/3
prohibits [2] 1/19 131/15
project [1] 49/18
prompt [1] 25/6

P

promptness [1] 10/13
proper [1] 171/9
properly [1] 10/23
proportionate [13] 18/4 48/7 158/5 158/24 159/1 164/18 164/23 165/3 170/11 180/18 207/21 213/18 231/2
proportionately [2] 208/5 213/15
proposal [1] 66/25
propose [1] 213/13
proposed [5] 22/10 139/10 139/17 139/22 183/22
Prosecuting [3] 135/10 155/9 161/15
prosecution [1] 20/3
Prosecutions [1] 154/6
prosecutor [1] 209/19
prospect [2] 156/23 179/17
protect [5] 2/8 112/5 132/4 187/23 188/3
protection [1] 160/18
protocol [1] 231/20
prove [13] 20/8 24/15 25/3 45/6 60/21 60/23 71/1 74/19 129/1 129/6 203/6 206/9 206/9
proved [2] 40/3 137/23
proven [3] 74/16 198/10 198/11
provenance [16] 9/21 60/21 60/23 60/24 62/14 69/3 71/16 73/22 74/6 74/16 76/20 77/13 77/25 78/1 78/3 126/23
provide [14] 16/7 25/6 38/25 70/25 76/15 76/22 129/1 140/19 149/17 203/4 203/7 219/12 224/14 229/22
provided [18] 2/17 6/22 13/20 17/14 34/5 52/25 54/12 99/11 112/7 132/13 133/24 133/25 134/2 168/23 204/5 204/7 219/14 226/8
providing [2] 53/11 203/21
proving [2] 198/25 206/6
provision [1] 55/24
provost [1] 168/1
proximity [1] 115/5
prudence [1] 188/17
prudent [1] 180/17
public [5] 30/13 32/20 168/9 168/14 170/16
publication [4] 2/6 2/11 132/2 132/7
published [2] 3/12 133/7
pull [1] 170/13
pulled [2] 105/6 173/14
purchase [1] 50/7
purchased [1] 55/13
purely [1] 13/3
purple [1] 109/3
purpose [9] 1/17 95/21 131/13 136/2 168/4 189/12 194/6 211/14 211/21
purposely [2] 2/4 131/25
purposes [9] 6/19 22/25 43/19 79/23 95/11 102/21 111/7 111/10 115/9
pursue [11] 5/17 30/12 31/12 32/19 47/7 185/2 185/11 190/1 194/13 219/12 219/24
pursued [5] 48/7 164/17 164/23 195/21 196/6
pursuing [4] 8/7 127/18 182/13 195/13
push [1] 222/8
pushing [2] 225/21 225/21
put [24] 6/5 29/8 29/16 30/24 34/14 42/4 44/2 49/9 51/17 68/15 70/12 95/13 116/12 123/18 136/17 138/4 157/17 164/19 171/7 177/25 195/4 216/14 218/7 235/23
puts [1] 220/23
putting [4] 122/24 129/19 145/13 157/21

Q

quality [3] 78/16 91/1 99/6
quantities [1] 49/10
quantity [1] 109/14
quarter [1] 65/6
question [19] 11/18 11/21 41/2 42/16 73/15 76/17 85/1 89/10 125/6 136/13 144/7 172/16 195/11 202/16 205/2 212/14 229/8 230/3 232/8
questions [32] 3/24 62/19 62/23 84/11 84/14 88/9 89/23 96/12 96/15 97/12 98/5 98/6 101/3 101/23 105/20 114/2 119/5 120/19 122/15 125/22 141/13 153/15 153/21 179/5 199/9 200/1 204/2 205/12 232/24 236/16 237/1 238/4
quick [1] 125/1
quickly [3] 33/11 103/5 194/18
quiet [1] 237/13
quite [7] 26/23 64/18 144/14 167/20 170/20 205/16 207/5
quotations [1] 217/17
quote [1] 85/13

R

RAF [3] 51/5 134/22 201/10
raise [2] 29/7 89/23
raised [6] 42/16 52/22 87/10 176/20 195/8 225/9
raises [1] 26/1
raked [1] 94/12
ranging [1] 223/17
rank [1] 151/16
ratchet [1] 173/19
rather [16] 5/3 34/11 39/16 46/19 53/22 58/24 62/2 63/21 109/9 112/8 123/7 125/24 184/18 194/17 201/22 203/22
rationale [10] 5/3 71/6 126/15 167/7 174/10 200/5 207/17 219/13 220/19 222/20
re [2] 20/10 179/1
re-examine [1] 20/10
reach [4] 108/9 108/12 210/24 211/5
reached [1] 180/10
reaching [1] 234/21
reaction [1] 34/17
read [14] 9/18 17/17 42/1 46/11 46/11 112/13 120/13 149/20 150/18 165/25 202/7 202/11 205/17 225/15
read-out [1] 150/18
reading [9] 101/9 173/21 181/9 190/7 194/19 205/16 206/12 211/9 213/25
reads [4] 112/19 163/7 206/15 229/16
ready [2] 51/19 143/24
real [1] 8/2
realised [1] 52/16
realism [2] 68/7 68/11
realistic [1] 68/4
really [16] 24/2 24/8 28/2 42/2 47/5 50/13 113/2 129/24 135/14 138/16 141/8 141/10 151/4 166/14 225/4 225/23
realms [1] 94/9
reason [21] 5/2 6/10 23/2 27/11 39/2 46/11 49/14 53/4 61/22 69/23 70/20 119/8 128/9 129/16 134/12 147/4 148/3 149/12 188/1 213/1 220/7
reasonable [27] 12/15 12/16 12/17 12/19 13/9 42/3 44/2 48/7 158/5 158/23 159/1 164/18 164/23 165/3 170/10 182/14 182/16 195/12 195/16 196/7 196/11 200/12 207/21 208/5 213/14 213/18 231/1
reasonably [1] 213/15
reasons [3] 15/6 18/25 204/5
recall [31] 19/13 27/6 40/23 52/5 64/22 65/3 87/4 119/11 130/3 137/14 138/7 138/10 139/1 144/6 150/7 152/19 153/1 153/21 168/19 170/2 172/20 177/10 181/8 198/1 200/14 215/23 216/15 223/4 225/9 232/19 233/13
received [7] 29/11 39/9 39/18 147/9 200/8 235/19 235/25
recent [4] 32/7 32/12 37/21 37/25
recently [1] 39/21
recognise [2] 29/1 81/3
recognises [1] 25/18
recognising [3] 26/3 33/14 147/14
recognition [2] 235/17 236/1
recollection [6] 26/19 59/1 71/21 138/22 170/2 172/5
recommend [1] 183/5
recommendation [6] 4/8 40/15 47/18 129/11 146/3 181/12
recommendations [39] 4/6 5/5 5/7 14/12 34/4 38/19 40/14 40/24 43/17 46/8 47/12 47/13 47/14 57/9 57/17 57/18 60/14 64/21 68/15 73/8 129/15 129/17 130/14 133/22 137/18 137/23 138/19 145/21 145/22 146/16 147/9 147/10 148/5 162/3 163/17 181/23 185/14 185/15 228/4
recommended [3] 63/3 206/6 229/19
recommending [1] 63/13
recommends [1] 146/21
reconstruction [1] 203/18
record [5] 39/6 134/19 152/20 177/14 177/15
recorded [4] 6/15 148/8 162/9 223/9
recording [4] 1/20 2/23 131/16 132/19
records [4] 75/23 147/8 177/6 206/1
recover [7] 5/22 11/19 16/3 33/7 33/11 40/1 46/14
recovered [5] 9/25 16/8 16/20 103/8 162/10
recovery [27] 4/25 5/17 6/19 6/19 9/1 9/4 9/9 9/16 10/11 16/13 19/16 19/25 21/12 22/20 24/14 24/25 24/25 25/3 26/24 26/25 27/5 27/13 39/5 46/10 63/7 63/9 80/2
recusal [1] 201/5
red [3] 108/25 113/11 115/1
redact [1] 112/6
redacted [8] 2/16 3/12 3/13 3/15 132/12 133/7 133/8 133/10
reduced [1] 144/17
redundant [10] 7/3 10/11 16/4 19/4 19/20 24/14 27/19 27/21 33/18 54/25
refer [6] 72/18 154/8 186/24 197/8 198/22 227/14
reference [3] 67/22 162/12 167/12
referencing [1] 221/5
referral [7] 25/13 159/20 186/23 190/23 201/18 201/21 204/6
referrals [2] 186/21 208/23

R

referred [2] 26/1 153/19
referring [8] 43/5 68/9 187/4 187/5 189/16 193/4 209/12 223/23
refers [1] 37/20
reflection [1] 6/4
Refreshingly [1] 68/3
regard [4] 183/22 197/2 204/5 225/17
regarded [2] 34/6 195/18
regarding [8] 36/1 38/25 41/2 77/13 77/17 83/9 164/17 220/14
regardless [3] 101/14 102/6 214/22
regards [21] 8/24 31/1 39/22 40/23 44/21 46/21 47/11 48/8 59/24 68/18 119/11 143/16 144/6 154/2 166/23 179/13 193/11 220/9 227/12 230/7 234/14
regiment [1] 37/17
regimental [3] 232/16 232/20 233/23
regiments [1] 175/9
rehearsal [1] 168/22
relate [2] 84/25 85/10
related [2] 61/24 62/9
relates [4] 62/3 69/25 79/16 229/8
relating [3] 1/4 44/14 130/25
relation [8] 3/3 103/14 121/2 132/24 202/3 221/4 223/21 230/9
relationship [3] 231/5 231/9 231/15
relatively [1] 102/23
relevance [2] 17/11 135/25
relevant [28] 1/13 6/17 9/11 13/13 13/19 16/15 17/20 17/22 22/21 22/23 23/3 28/15 41/13 41/14 44/7 44/13 45/6 50/25 53/12 63/9 63/10 74/3 81/14 100/14 131/9 154/13 165/18 179/5
reliable [3] 46/16 74/21 74/24
relieved [1] 172/18
rely [1] 74/12
remain [1] 179/12
remaining [6] 102/20 164/6 186/21 187/11 187/14 190/14
remarks [3] 189/10 192/17 211/20
remedial [1] 33/3
remember [30] 17/17 21/15 35/8 52/3 67/25 87/9 119/24 138/16 148/4 152/9 176/25 177/4 181/8 181/10 181/17 181/23 193/16 210/20 215/20 216/14 225/13 233/1 233/5 233/8 233/11 233/16 233/19 233/19 233/20 233/25
remembered [2] 137/19 138/13
remembers [1] 232/18
remind [4] 19/14 52/10 122/5 163/15
reminding [2] 103/13 180/13
reminds [1] 229/18
remit [2] 24/16 25/4
remove [1] 29/9
removing [2] 217/22 218/2
repeated [1] 141/1
repetition [2] 3/7 133/3
replaced [1] 66/22
replacement [1] 10/3
reply [2] 20/24 24/12
report [32] 4/17 26/21 32/22 32/24 35/17 37/5 38/18 38/24 39/22 62/3 62/6 87/7 87/10 135/25 136/2 136/5 145/19 145/21 146/3 146/10 148/4 174/21 193/13 193/17 193/18 200/9 215/21 220/15 224/19 224/19 224/19 229/13
reported [1] 36/21
reports [4] 4/15 61/2 122/20 225/15
repositories [1] 9/5
repository [3] 40/8 40/18 44/13
represent [1] 202/4
representing [2] 135/21 155/21
reputation [4] 143/4 143/16 187/23 188/3
reputational [1] 188/24
request [8] 2/15 3/1 74/6 132/11 132/22 150/7 150/15 150/18
require [3] 62/24 194/24 198/25
required [6] 13/9 22/25 49/20 70/2 72/10 193/19
requirement [2] 42/2 56/18
requiring [1] 207/17
requisite [1] 51/14
resolved [1] 23/18
respect [45] 1/14 15/13 33/10 36/22 38/3 40/22 43/8 44/17 44/23 46/9 53/5 57/17 57/18 61/2 62/15 62/20 66/25 67/21 68/10 72/1 72/14 73/4 73/7 73/12 129/15 131/10 154/9 157/23 160/11 162/6 171/6 172/6 175/16 176/5 176/14 178/3 182/8 196/19 211/6 217/4 217/12 228/13 229/12 232/23 235/6
respective [1] 193/19
respects [2] 212/20 212/21
response [7] 58/16 176/25 177/4 179/9 180/12 201/25 233/6
responses [1] 57/20
responsibilities [1] 187/24
responsibility [3] 196/20 227/12 230/3
responsible [4] 39/7 73/13 148/23 237/9
rest [1] 8/11
resting [2] 81/24 88/25
restrict [2] 2/6 132/2
restricted [4] 2/16 3/13 132/12 133/9
Restriction [28] 1/10 1/14 1/18 2/1 2/8 2/10 2/14 2/19 2/22 3/2 3/3 3/6 3/14 3/18 131/6 131/10 131/14 131/22 132/4 132/6 132/10 132/15 132/18 132/23 132/24 133/2 133/9 133/13
restrictions [1] 159/6
rests [1] 108/5
result [7] 15/11 15/14 20/11 25/5 33/4 47/14 215/4
resulted [1] 80/1
results [1] 46/16
retrieval [1] 41/5
retrieve [1] 12/22
return [2] 86/6 183/25
returned [1] 179/1
returning [1] 97/23
reused [1] 141/7
revealed [2] 176/22 179/16
reverse [1] 127/14
review [29] 13/25 17/14 33/22 34/4 41/22 41/23 46/3 58/22 66/4 70/9 79/20 84/23 85/2 85/18 99/16 112/10 139/6 139/19 158/9 177/7 190/11 190/20 193/25 194/24 197/16 206/5 219/15 224/4 230/1
reviewed [3] 70/23 128/25 129/21
revise [1] 108/20
ridiculous [1] 64/12
rifle [1] 94/16
right [138] 1/9 7/16 8/1 8/2 11/2 13/8 15/6 16/25 17/3 17/8 17/24 18/25 19/23 22/1 23/17 25/18 28/19 34/16 37/11 38/8 38/14 38/17 40/7 41/16 41/25 49/6 50/17 51/19 53/17 53/20 54/8 55/12 56/16 60/12 60/17 62/2 65/16 69/13 71/11 72/14 74/25 75/7 75/8 76/2 76/25 77/6 78/3 78/10 85/13 87/8 88/2 88/20 90/2 90/5 90/6 90/16 91/21 92/1 92/22 93/6 93/6 95/4 97/18 101/19 102/8 102/13 102/18 103/18 104/4 104/15 104/20 104/22 105/21 106/5 106/7 106/18 107/3 107/4 109/9 110/6 110/7 111/2 111/21 113/16 113/18 114/12 114/18 114/25 115/19 115/24 115/25 116/5 116/6 116/7 117/4 118/8 118/11 120/10 120/11 120/12 120/13 120/25 121/3 121/4 121/24 122/1 123/25 124/17 126/18 131/5 133/18 144/8 150/2 151/15 158/19 161/2 166/11 171/8 172/4 173/3 177/1 178/20 180/6 185/24 187/20 195/7 196/15 198/16 198/18 200/1 202/20 209/13 216/9 224/25 225/17 235/17 237/16 237/19
right-hand [15] 85/13 90/6 95/4 103/18 104/15 106/7 107/4 114/25 115/24 115/25 117/4 118/11 121/24 122/1 124/17
rightly [6] 7/16 18/14 23/22 64/18 171/9 235/1
Ripper [1] 121/2
rises [3] 210/5 210/22 211/7
risk [14] 5/14 5/17 9/22 24/7 52/2 139/10 139/17 139/18 143/4 151/19 160/17 177/13 177/16 177/22
risks [3] 16/21 20/13 20/15
RMP [26] 7/4 9/9 11/10 12/8 14/5 15/4 16/3 17/21 18/22 20/21 25/6 27/23 28/10 28/19 28/23 36/21 45/19 160/16 175/1 184/11 188/23 197/13 223/5 231/6 232/16 236/2
RMP's [1] 29/16
road [1] 47/22
robust [2] 204/22 205/1
robustness [1] 205/20
role [1] 166/14
roles [1] 236/9
rolled [10] 90/23 92/17 95/11 96/24 106/3 106/19 107/20 109/7 110/21 115/8
rolling [1] 95/21
room [37] 1/16 1/22 2/15 2/21 3/4 57/2 79/13 80/5 80/7 80/10 80/11 81/9 87/11 88/22 90/13 93/25 95/12 95/25 100/13 103/1 104/6 104/7 104/13 107/8 112/17 112/23 114/16 116/11 119/9 119/22 124/14 131/12 131/18 132/11 132/17 132/25 158/2
rot [2] 217/7 220/20
round [5] 81/9 86/17 95/24 113/12 163/17
rounds [2] 86/15 94/18
route [3] 134/6 134/9 143/11
row [2] 123/22 124/2
Royal [4] 37/3 37/9 174/6 231/9
rug [1] 108/1
rumours [1] 220/23
running [8] 21/13 89/4 90/7 93/5 176/15 207/6 207/9 207/14
runs [1] 98/16
Ryan [6] 166/2 167/15 209/22 210/15 211/2 223/4
résumé [1] 208/24

S

said [73] 2/18 3/4 3/5 26/16 27/7 33/15 34/23 35/11 37/4 38/2 40/20 43/22 44/9 44/11 45/5 45/13 47/4 52/20 64/10 66/7 68/16 76/8 77/2 77/5 77/11 86/24 99/18 99/23 102/5 112/9 118/15 119/12 121/9 122/12 123/23 124/9 127/5 130/9 132/14 132/25 133/1 138/4 140/20 144/24 145/24 146/10 146/17 148/5 150/16 152/12 158/1 160/11 161/16 166/13 170/10 175/13 176/20 177/16 182/15 185/18 187/1 188/19 196/10 199/8 200/24 211/1 214/4 214/20 215/7 218/4 218/10 222/10 222/14

Saifullah [4] 58/20 154/2 155/21 157/24

same [29] 6/8 12/5 20/11 29/17 39/20 78/13 78/16 102/21 104/6 106/12 112/23 119/9 123/23 124/22 141/22 142/6 142/9 142/11 145/17 148/1 153/5 156/2 159/25 165/9 165/15 189/6 221/10 221/18 234/13

sand' [1] 161/17

sandier [1] 124/18

sandier-coloured [1] 124/18

SAS [3] 101/12 101/13 102/4

sat [1] 230/5

saw [13] 45/18 50/17 106/19 140/16 140/17 140/20 140/21 156/20 156/20 160/12 185/4 201/12 215/7

say [85] 5/21 9/17 12/21 13/14 13/18 17/2 17/19 18/10 21/10 23/2 23/15 24/8 27/4 28/17 33/16 34/12 39/12 45/11 46/3 48/10 48/12 48/13 54/25 59/1 59/6 64/4 76/1 76/23 77/9 77/11 83/5 83/10 83/11 84/4 85/8 87/7 98/9 98/15 99/20 100/2 100/5 100/8 100/16 100/20 100/24 102/13 103/8 104/10 108/16 108/19 117/10 120/24 128/3 129/24 130/10 130/12 137/9 138/25 139/2 139/15 141/20 144/13 152/7 154/22 155/24 157/17 159/14 161/16 170/16 170/20 176/14 182/23 185/13 187/3 187/6 197/24 199/4 202/18 211/2 213/14 217/11 222/16 222/19 231/17 234/24

saying [40] 5/23 6/1 12/1 14/8 27/8 43/17 44/16 44/21 46/24 53/22 65/23 68/20 74/13 74/17 74/18 74/19 77/19 84/17 97/15 122/25 123/8 129/20 130/4 138/7 139/1 140/1 140/5 140/16 144/9 155/15 156/3 159/3 179/20 179/23 181/18 183/11 185/10 188/18 222/4 225/4

says [5] 38/6 75/20 84/7 84/10 155/2

scale [2] 65/10 183/2

scant [2] 219/11 219/16

scenario [3] 82/14 83/14 97/9

scenarios [2] 83/9 98/1

scene [7] 70/16 70/25 141/2 141/7 202/4 203/5 203/18

scenes [1] 221/11

schedule [1] 229/14

scheduled [1] 33/24

SCIDA [1] 52/5

scientific [3] 70/14 70/14 70/16

scope [6] 22/19 50/3 123/8 123/13 123/14 165/14

scoped [1] 164/15

scoping [38] 58/16 58/24 59/2 59/12 59/25 60/8 60/20 62/13 63/3 63/4 63/13 79/23 79/24 102/21 123/16 126/9 136/16 136/18 136/25 137/2 138/23 139/23 140/1 141/9 146/4 146/18 148/6 149/9 156/9 162/3 162/6 163/3 163/8 164/1 164/5 164/11 164/21 164/25

Scott [2] 215/22 215/24

screen [17] 3/11 78/13 79/7 80/5 88/15 88/19 90/3 95/2 106/11 106/24 111/25 114/19 114/22 115/1 115/25 123/18 133/6

screens [2] 78/22 103/1

scrolls [1] 107/2

scrutiny [5] 168/9 168/14 204/23 205/10 205/18

SDelete [2] 11/3 38/5

search [8] 20/17 20/22 22/4 41/5 49/6 53/12 54/17 212/24

searches [2] 46/13 46/16

second [9] 2/1 4/13 24/12 72/16 80/23 102/19 105/6 110/14 131/22

secret [1] 28/5

Secretary [6] 194/25 210/4 210/22 211/7 211/17 211/23

section [9] 24/9 64/19 77/20 77/21 139/7 165/9 195/24 198/20 199/5

section 9 [2] 64/19 77/21

secure [2] 7/8 16/2

secured [4] 7/4 9/12 9/24 16/15

security [6] 16/9 28/13 33/25 52/14 112/6 159/6

see [87] 8/14 15/18 24/4 24/25 34/12 41/24 46/5 46/10 50/3 57/12 57/16 78/20 80/22 88/13 88/15 89/4 90/6 90/21 91/24 92/2 93/4 94/17 104/7 104/13 104/25 106/6 106/8 106/12 106/23 107/4 107/23 109/4 110/17 111/14 112/24 113/2 113/3 113/4 113/5 113/11 113/16 114/19 114/23 115/1 115/17 116/7 117/2 118/10 120/10 121/20 123/7 123/15 123/21 128/15 130/10 134/9 135/7 137/25 138/18 150/8 156/15 158/3 158/16 159/12 161/16 165/11 176/10 178/19 179/2 179/9 186/15 186/16 191/25 195/20 209/13 209/16 209/25 213/11 218/8 218/19 219/8 221/15 221/16 225/25 229/9 232/19 235/23

seek [1] 31/16

seeking [3] 60/9 136/18 139/5

seem [12] 36/18 58/8 144/10 171/14 172/14 179/20 180/22 183/12 190/18 219/6 219/14 223/9

seemed [1] 59/9

seems [9] 31/15 71/13 155/19 167/15 172/22 173/12 182/21 206/10 221/21

seen [8] 13/11 14/5 32/23 68/20 106/2 194/5 209/1 214/4

seeped [1] 110/11

sees [1] 107/2

seize [20] 12/13 14/6 15/2 15/4 17/24 18/20 29/3 31/6 31/9 33/15 35/4 42/6 43/14 43/21 45/9 45/19 45/25 47/8 49/2 49/3

seized [4] 12/3 27/16 37/7 47/22

seizure [6] 15/7 15/10 18/18 27/17 36/1 41/6

selective [1] 20/13

send [5] 37/5 102/14 155/16 160/16 172/23

sending [3] 157/18 187/5 187/6

senior [7] 12/23 46/20 49/20 161/12 167/23 189/18 229/20

sense [19] 68/7 68/14 68/18 94/18 95/22 112/12 120/19 130/11 168/9 180/24 190/5 190/22 194/16 200/15 202/14 206/15 220/12 220/24 233/15

Sensible [1] 18/6

sensitive [1] 70/22

sensitivity [1] 28/7

sent [10] 16/1 102/8 102/16 121/8 206/21 209/22 211/10 213/3 227/2 228/14

sentence [2] 6/21 28/22

sentiments [1] 25/15

separate [5] 80/8 80/19 121/7 123/24 195/21

September [7] 7/1 7/21 53/7 53/21 53/23 128/20 146/10

sequence [3] 81/5 81/15 102/2

serial [4] 141/1 221/13 221/14 221/16

serialised [1] 221/6

serials [1] 221/12

series [2] 80/22 223/8

serious [9] 24/15 25/4 45/3 191/9 207/9 223/7 223/12 223/15 229/14

seriousness [1] 183/1

server [34] 7/4 7/8 7/13 7/22 8/9 8/14 9/1 10/11 10/19 11/19 14/25 16/4 16/20 17/20 17/24 19/4 19/19 22/23 23/19 24/14 27/16 27/18 27/19 27/22 29/19 29/25 35/3 37/7 37/9 45/6 45/19 53/13 55/1 224/3

servers [2] 9/6 54/12

service [10] 135/10 154/6 155/9 174/21 175/17 193/12 193/15 197/9 229/13 236/1

serving [1] 186/12

session [5] 3/19 133/14 153/3 153/6 153/24

set [27] 4/23 5/2 8/24 10/16 16/2 20/24 24/13 33/6 35/10 38/21 46/10 58/2 134/25 146/16 149/20 153/23 171/11 179/9 185/4 185/19 186/7 186/15 195/1 205/23 206/23 229/4 232/4

sets [5] 7/7 22/19 24/24 49/15 162/1

setting [4] 41/20 47/1 148/21 206/21

settle [1] 46/8

seven [4] 119/8 124/6 124/14 233/6

several [3] 38/7 59/8 168/25

SF [8] 6/20 7/23 27/9 27/12 28/17 28/19 175/17 231/20

SFHQ [3] 6/16 196/17 200/23

shake [1] 174/3

shared [9] 17/16 41/21 42/15 43/2 128/15 175/3 177/8 197/18 206/18

shoot [4] 86/12 87/15 120/8 125/18

shooting [10] 71/25 72/22 84/23 85/2 85/17 86/10 87/7 87/9 217/21 218/1

shootings [1] 158/2

short [6] 33/8 49/15 69/16 94/19 130/22 186/1

shot [56] 24/3 79/13 80/4 81/6 82/10 82/14

S

shot... [50] 82/20 82/23 83/6 89/11 89/16 89/21 90/14 91/7 91/9 91/12 92/15 92/21 93/4 93/13 93/25 94/3 94/7 94/21 95/16 97/3 97/7 98/9 98/11 98/12 100/12 103/24 105/24 109/19 109/20 109/22 110/4 111/5 111/6 113/25 115/12 118/22 119/3 119/3 119/14 121/7 121/10 124/3 124/7 124/14 124/22 125/2 125/14 125/19 218/6 218/15
shots [5] 87/3 87/25 88/2 100/17 100/25
should [33] 4/15 7/2 10/24 29/9 47/24 48/5 54/13 55/24 55/25 60/9 77/14 135/15 139/8 149/16 156/14 157/18 175/13 183/21 183/24 186/7 189/16 197/13 198/3 202/13 202/21 204/16 206/7 209/16 217/4 219/17 229/5 230/1 230/16
shoulder [10] 88/20 96/22 109/2 109/9 109/16 109/20 111/2 113/12 115/18 116/6
shoulders [3] 18/10 75/16 120/24
shouldn't [2] 48/4 123/22
show [9] 20/3 60/15 60/19 61/11 115/5 137/12 146/3 146/17 212/4
showcase [2] 212/7 212/12
showed [1] 231/15
shown [9] 3/11 13/19 41/12 67/1 68/4 69/4 103/4 133/6 140/25
shrug [3] 18/10 75/16 120/24
shut [1] 173/20
shutdown [1] 155/11
side [14] 88/19 90/4 90/6 90/7 92/1 95/4 104/11 104/15 108/25 109/9 117/4 121/15 122/1 129/19
sided [1] 182/3
sides [1] 110/13
sight [3] 5/5 56/1 177/11
sign [8] 4/16 12/23 13/18 23/17 160/7 172/10 182/10 194/17
sign-off [4] 4/16 12/23 23/17 172/10
signed [25] 31/2 41/19 42/14 42/19 42/24 43/11 67/13 67/15 69/24 71/19 71/22 74/13 76/10 76/19 77/3 77/12 77/15 77/23 161/12 162/19 162/22 164/22 171/5 177/24 220/6
significance [2] 8/25 59/24
significant [1] 236/9
signs [1] 210/3
silent [2] 1/7 131/3
Silver [5] 39/21 66/23 70/3 70/21 147/11
similar [11] 12/3 12/5 30/23 56/13 58/17 58/18 114/17 136/13 162/6 163/4 170/25
simple [2] 51/7 205/23
simply [8] 57/14 81/6 81/11 103/2 103/15 178/25 203/13 222/10
since [9] 55/1 66/4 67/9 71/25 93/3 108/2 123/19 152/3 193/8
SINCREPs [2] 63/7 137/5
single [5] 4/8 72/12 94/21 119/9 125/19
SIO [29] 4/23 31/3 39/23 39/24 47/6 59/16 59/23 66/23 69/24 71/3 128/16 128/20 129/4 129/20 130/1 135/19 135/21 137/11 145/18 148/11 151/21 179/16 181/11 181/21 181/24 182/18 193/8 228/9 228/25
SIOs [2] 163/18 182/22
sir [102] 4/1 4/3 6/7 6/9 8/20 14/21 17/2 17/5 25/1 32/2 36/22 38/2 42/22 46/23 53/4 53/23 60/5 63/2 66/1 67/5 69/10 69/19 69/21 72/17 76/17 78/23 79/9 84/22 85/13 85/15 88/18 91/23 96/3 106/8 113/1 115/23 118/11 123/25 128/14 129/24 130/16 133/20 135/3 137/10 138/7 141/16 148/12 148/15 149/16 149/17 149/21 150/2 151/10 151/25 152/7 152/10 153/1 153/6 153/10 153/15 165/22 167/8 174/13 174/24 175/14 175/22 175/23 176/1 179/8 180/24 183/9 185/21 186/4 191/25 195/9 196/6 196/10 199/9 207/20 215/18 216/23 217/3 217/24 219/6 219/15 219/15 220/18 221/3 222/9 224/11 225/3 225/8 225/9 225/11 227/1 228/13 229/9 234/11 235/10 236/16 236/21 237/4
Sir Jon [24] 135/3 138/7 148/12 148/15 149/16 149/21 151/10 151/25 152/7 152/10 153/1 153/10 153/15 175/22 175/23 176/1 196/6 196/10 207/20 216/23 217/3 219/6 225/9 225/11
Sir Jon Murphy [3] 150/2 165/22 219/15
Sir Jon's [2] 149/17 219/15
SIRs [3] 63/9 137/5 221/7
site [2] 50/24 70/22
sitting [5] 1/24 89/16 131/20 163/17 205/12
situation [2] 32/6 72/8
size [1] 56/3
skill [2] 51/14 96/14
skills [1] 50/19
skip [2] 9/8 50/1
slightly [11] 18/10 46/4 107/2 109/4 109/7 113/18 124/16 124/18 130/16 138/3 150/9
slim [1] 192/8
small [3] 164/19 177/25 190/16
Smith [2] 72/6 85/8
smoking [6] 25/23 140/2 140/4 140/8 140/12 140/14
so [294]
SO1 [3] 38/22 39/25 216/2
soft [1] 90/9
soldier [3] 214/4 215/8 233/4
sole [1] 212/17
Solicitor [2] 2/25 132/21
solicitors [1] 155/21
some [57] 2/7 7/21 13/11 14/25 17/18 21/7 25/25 34/4 35/2 38/4 54/14 54/22 64/3 67/24 77/7 78/19 79/22 80/12 80/13 84/11 90/9 91/10 93/24 96/3 103/19 105/19 107/13 107/22 112/6 113/20 116/23 117/22 124/12 125/2 129/16 132/3 137/16 141/12 141/13 141/13 144/4 179/4 184/4 190/2 199/19 200/1 203/2 212/19 212/21 216/19 227/18 233/6 234/16 235/3 236/9 236/9 236/25
somebody [10] 36/13 43/6 43/7 52/4 68/20 68/21 107/20 184/22 187/5 212/12
somehow [4] 89/17 110/19 121/23 125/18
someone [42] 15/9 24/7 25/25 36/23 49/25 50/12 64/10 74/13 81/9 83/6 86/17 87/5 87/11 90/23 92/4 93/25 94/3 98/11 98/11 100/13 101/1 101/25 101/25 119/1 119/16 120/1 122/12 140/20 144/23 145/8 151/1 154/8 155/16 156/20 160/1 170/13 175/13 179/7 217/21 218/1 218/7 219/23
something [33] 2/18 11/14 12/2 13/1 13/3 17/2 22/8 30/23 49/2 50/1 52/25 55/14 56/12 59/4 70/17 77/9 78/8 86/24 89/24 90/25 111/19 120/24 132/14 137/18 142/23 168/8 170/25 182/9 213/22 214/1 227/14 233/11 233/12
somewhere [4] 52/20 54/2 127/16 218/5
soon [1] 9/25
sorry [56] 14/1 16/24 17/2 18/12 19/8 22/18 24/25 36/24 38/14 42/23 46/23 53/16 54/4 60/11 64/24 65/17 65/24 67/14 70/17 72/15 73/17 77/11 79/8 94/15 101/17 113/3 120/10 129/10 129/24 130/17 133/24 139/20 143/10 148/8 152/8 164/8 164/10 165/9 168/19 174/24 176/7 177/21 193/13 196/9 197/23 197/24 221/14 222/8 228/16 229/9 229/10 233/2 235/21 235/24 236/4 237/17
sort [18] 24/3 28/6 47/5 80/12 103/19 105/19 107/13 107/22 113/20 116/23 117/22 120/24 166/21 166/23 171/14 177/13 201/5 215/12
sorts [1] 120/19
sound [5] 46/12 46/18 120/11 143/6 225/4
sounding [1] 149/25
SPA [9] 135/7 154/7 155/8 165/25 171/17 171/19 172/15 189/18 193/20
space [1] 168/6
spatter [3] 117/9 121/14 121/17
SPCR [2] 193/13 193/16
speak [8] 21/25 63/17 140/3 151/15 154/15 159/10 160/20 168/7
speaking [12] 62/24 63/14 72/12 73/6 73/18 129/11 129/12 140/15 165/18 180/17 189/8 192/13
special [49] 17/21 20/20 25/8 25/20 26/12 29/15 29/24 34/6 35/2 37/10 37/12 41/20 44/5 52/11 54/12 54/25 60/25 61/4 61/22 62/25 64/7 72/21 75/11 85/1 127/3 127/12 127/22 140/18 140/19 141/21 172/8 174/5 174/7 175/6 180/18 196/24 208/23 224/2 224/7 224/14 227/8 228/22 230/23 231/6 231/13 231/21 232/5 232/12 232/23
specialists [2] 163/3 164/11
specific [6] 1/14 131/10 177/4 215/19 232/18 233/9
specifically [4] 119/24 215/23 229/11 236/4
spending [3] 50/18 223/22 224/13
spent [2] 223/24 223/25
sphere [1] 229/7
SPIS [2] 193/13 207/5
split [1] 13/6
spoke [2] 160/10 204/1
spoken [18] 17/15 35/9 73/9 77/14 77/17

S

spoken... [13] 77/24 137/17 158/11 158/13 158/20 159/4 160/5 200/16 216/22 218/9 225/10 226/23 230/24
spot [2] 70/12 152/5
spray [1] 121/17
SSE [3] 70/22 146/11 206/2
St [6] 51/5 51/24 53/24 55/8 134/22 201/10
St Mawgan [6] 51/5 51/24 53/24 55/8 134/22 201/10
stab [1] 80/4
stack [1] 128/6
staff [6] 72/23 73/1 125/16 144/18 169/5 216/6
stage [10] 14/17 17/12 26/23 44/12 50/13 154/11 158/5 186/20 195/13 228/6
staining [22] 89/1 89/4 89/7 91/25 103/17 104/16 105/4 105/7 110/22 110/23 111/1 116/5 116/13 116/15 117/2 117/6 117/8 117/14 117/19 121/13 121/19 121/25
stand [2] 141/24 201/18
standard [1] 24/18
standards [1] 235/13
standing [2] 89/17 115/12
standpoint [1] 188/23
star [1] 234/11
start [7] 8/17 21/12 79/6 80/21 103/15 145/2 224/4
started [3] 73/16 160/4 214/15
starting [2] 4/12 173/5
starts [2] 57/13 155/4
state [4] 22/24 194/25 211/18 211/23
stated [1] 162/11
statement [26] 13/18 42/14 42/19 42/24 43/11 45/12 64/4 67/13 67/15 71/19 71/22 74/13 77/3 77/13 77/15 77/20 77/23 85/7 122/20 140/20 156/19 166/13 231/4 232/4 234/5 235/16
statements [15] 17/14 41/19 63/20 63/22 63/24 64/1 64/7 64/20 64/20 76/10 76/20 77/21 77/21 129/5 162/8
status [3] 41/10 136/15 159/6
statute [1] 229/15
statutory [1] 229/12
stay [1] 224/23
Stephen [2] 4/20 165/25
stepped [1] 119/13
steps [1] 32/16
stick [1] 62/3
sticks [1] 101/8
still [23] 14/2 40/11 54/8 57/4 62/20 69/2 82/4 111/11 123/17 138/1 155/16 156/9 160/16 160/23 169/1 171/4 171/23 173/13 173/16 173/17 186/10 186/13 191/1
stink [2] 130/2 130/5
stock [4] 113/14 115/20 116/1 118/9
stone [1] 185/19
stonewalled [1] 233/15
stop [14] 27/18 27/22 27/24 119/22 129/24 155/9 157/4 161/3 161/3 171/9 184/22 184/24 222/4 222/21
stopped [2] 2/24 132/20
stopping [2] 184/11 185/1
storage [1] 50/24
stored [14] 9/4 9/11 9/23 16/8 16/14 22/21 22/24 23/3 40/9 40/19 52/13 54/11 56/6 187/24
story [1] 94/10
straight [2] 33/19 88/7
straightaway [1] 33/17
strap [4] 113/11 124/16 124/17 124/18
strategic [2] 149/19 168/6
strategies [2] 162/13 184/19
strategy [17] 69/25 70/2 70/23 72/14 139/13 143/6 143/9 143/11 148/23 166/7 168/20 171/24 184/8 184/18 191/23 192/3 204/17
strategy' [1] 183/25
stream [4] 2/3 2/23 131/24 132/19
strike [3] 36/12 46/19 150/5
striped [3] 106/6 107/2 107/7
struggle [2] 83/13 181/14
struggled [1] 225/19
struggling [1] 181/13
stuff [4] 24/4 24/8 141/13 144/19
sub [16] 86/4 86/8 86/14 102/5 118/15 119/21 142/6 142/9 152/11 168/10 192/18 193/23 194/9 211/14 211/25 230/9
sub-bullet [4] 192/18 193/23 194/9 211/14
sub-points [1] 168/10
sub-unit [9] 86/4 86/8 86/14 102/5 118/15 119/21 142/6 142/9 152/11
sub-units [1] 230/9
subject [12] 2/4 3/6 3/16 11/20 58/22 62/6 79/19 129/1 131/25 133/2 133/11 206/3
subjective [1] 211/9
submission [3] 129/13 172/12 193/20
submissions [2] 2/22 132/18
submit [4] 161/2 163/23 170/10 172/6
submitted [16] 102/12 126/17 126/24 138/24 146/9 154/3 155/20 161/6 164/14 169/13 169/25 172/3 179/25 202/22 206/3 206/7
submitting [4] 140/11 154/19 163/2 164/9
subsequent [3] 9/12 16/16 190/11
subsequently [4] 3/11 108/18 133/7 134/2
substantial [1] 183/24
subunit [1] 120/5
such [20] 9/14 23/18 27/1 30/1 50/16 73/9 76/19 77/17 77/18 82/11 96/24 104/11 125/10 156/17 177/15 190/2 203/6 203/14 233/7 235/15
sufficiency [3] 155/25 160/8 172/16
sufficient [1] 180/23
suggest [10] 48/24 59/5 75/23 81/5 149/21 162/16 180/9 212/17 221/18 231/1
suggested [7] 30/7 63/21 66/11 93/24 153/22 176/2 181/11
suggesting [4] 36/7 43/10 65/22 160/13
suggestion [6] 25/9 63/20 104/9 122/5 214/15 215/1
suggests [1] 199/11
suitable [1] 204/17
suite [1] 65/12
sum [1] 50/18
summaries [4] 61/7 61/16 61/24 100/10
summarise [2] 1/16 131/13
summarised [2] 61/25 123/4
summary [11] 35/11 53/9 61/2 86/3 120/13 193/3 193/15 211/24 212/2 212/6 212/10
supplied [3] 2/5 41/14 132/1
support [9] 44/2 52/9 59/10 70/16 76/20 157/21 159/12 169/5 190/23
supported [1] 182/13
supporting [4] 73/10 128/23 137/6 140/19
supports [2] 25/12 42/3
suppose [29] 5/23 11/18 28/4 33/13 36/5 55/23 66/9 123/14 130/13 130/15 138/15 150/16 151/24 167/3 167/5 168/17 173/22 184/12 184/13 184/20 185/12 188/17 190/24 198/9 205/3 205/15 222/25 234/1 234/23
suppressing [1] 25/10
sure [23] 23/15 25/8 44/6 45/5 45/21 47/5 60/6 62/8 67/19 68/9 77/19 85/21 87/4 101/8 101/8 139/15 146/6 174/10 175/14 178/3 179/24 184/12 232/13
surprise [4] 28/1 93/3 174/3 175/3
surprised [2] 40/19 166/5
surround [1] 219/9
suspect [3] 36/23 41/4 41/10
suspected [1] 36/13
suspend [5] 156/16 157/3 195/12 206/23 207/12
suspending [1] 206/24
suspicion [5] 25/20 127/6 127/12 159/19 233/24
suspicions [1] 125/5
suspicious [4] 82/24 84/8 157/14 232/11
sustained [8] 87/22 87/24 88/14 95/7 96/19 107/13 107/22 113/20
sustaining [1] 88/2
swimming [1] 138/3
switch [1] 51/17
switched [2] 1/6 131/2
system [8] 6/22 10/2 12/4 27/13 33/7 33/11 53/11 56/12
systemic [2] 167/6 167/9

T

tab [28] 4/14 8/18 15/19 15/19 15/24 26/20 38/12 39/20 53/3 53/4 57/13 69/22 79/5 79/6 79/8 79/9 128/14 134/18 145/17 153/5 165/10 178/24 189/7 191/19 201/9 205/22 211/10 220/5
tab 104 [1] 69/22
tab 108 [1] 128/14
tab 11 [1] 57/13
tab 114 [1] 134/18
tab 115 [1] 145/17
tab 123 [1] 153/5
tab 124 [1] 165/10
tab 139 [1] 189/7
tab 142 [1] 191/19
tab 144 [1] 201/9
tab 145 [1] 205/22
Tab 15 [1] 178/24
tab 162 [1] 220/5
tab 176 [2] 79/5 79/9
tab 50 [1] 15/19
tab 51 [1] 8/18
tab 59 [1] 26/20
tab 7 [2] 53/3 53/4
tab 71 [1] 38/12
table [1] 170/20
tactical [1] 148/25
tags [1] 112/3
take [32] 1/22 4/12 4/25 7/3 13/16 18/23 32/16 32/18 33/22 35/13 60/1 62/13 64/19 69/20 72/4 73/6 83/2 90/24 94/7 118/5 118/23 131/18 150/1 160/23 183/21 210/2 210/25 211/1 211/3 212/22 212/24 235/15
taken [36] 7/10 19/1 19/5 19/16 20/5 23/23

T

taken... [30] 33/23 50/7 51/8 53/7 62/16 72/3 75/21 78/6 78/6 84/15 84/22 92/18 95/13 104/23 106/21 108/8 117/6 121/23 136/6 138/18 140/3 153/4 179/14 179/21 179/22 214/24 219/18 226/5 230/16 234/13
takes [1] 148/2
taking [16] 1/23 6/10 46/21 53/4 63/20 69/23 131/19 134/12 150/19 150/20 150/21 175/4 208/23 213/1 217/23 218/3
talk [1] 221/12
talking [6] 14/11 17/4 45/15 47/8 48/18 181/15
tandem [1] 33/25
tangible [22] 13/3 13/21 40/25 42/2 43/25 59/10 59/10 68/17 156/15 156/19 156/23 165/16 184/23 190/23 213/22 214/1 214/2 214/7 216/10 217/7 222/3 226/18
tapes [1] 26/18
target [5] 58/5 69/7 101/14 152/13 214/22
task [1] 33/5
tasked [1] 73/3
tasking [2] 203/4 203/6
tea [1] 237/15
team [56] 4/7 6/17 8/25 20/21 39/23 39/24 44/9 45/11 48/19 52/21 57/18 57/18 57/20 58/5 64/6 64/16 66/23 69/25 71/3 71/13 77/2 78/16 83/8 98/4 119/5 120/21 128/20 129/20 135/21 135/22 144/16 144/19 145/18 148/11 157/4 160/10 160/18 164/24 178/13 181/17 181/21 181/24 182/18 182/23 190/23 190/25 191/2 203/3 215/4 219/12 226/17 231/18 236/23 237/6 237/9 237/11
Team 1 [15] 4/7 6/17 8/25 39/23 39/24 57/18 66/23 69/25 71/3 129/20 135/21 144/19 145/18 148/11 157/4
Team 2 [5] 57/18 57/20 58/5 135/22 144/16
tearing [1] 202/7
tech [3] 215/25 219/19 237/11
technical [2] 10/2 21/7
teeing [1] 190/9
tell [16] 22/3 24/2 40/20 81/6 83/13 84/20 91/1 91/14 92/12 93/16 97/9 100/3 103/21 115/3 228/9 235/10
telling [3] 164/24 218/13 228/25
tells [1] 99/22
temporarily [2] 2/24 132/20
ten [14] 3/5 58/16 58/18 102/20 133/1 136/13 148/13 156/9 156/24 162/6 162/24 164/6 164/21 171/6
tenuous [1] 168/18
tenuously [1] 168/17
tenure [1] 208/19
term [9] 51/7 121/1 123/14 126/23 184/23 191/15 232/15 232/20 232/22
termed [7] 80/4 80/24 103/10 119/12 119/15 232/11 233/23
terminology [1] 228/16
terms [33] 27/3 30/21 45/16 48/6 58/24 62/13 67/22 72/3 94/11 135/15 142/17 145/16 153/3 158/4 162/3 162/15 167/12 168/12 168/22 173/3 182/12 183/16 185/1 191/19 198/19 199/22 201/21 203/21 205/23 206/24 211/13 220/4 230/21
terrible [1] 30/6
test [3] 155/25 160/8 172/16
TG [1] 112/15
than [24] 5/4 15/9 20/5 30/15 34/11 39/16 40/4 58/24 59/3 63/21 70/18 81/14 99/8 109/9 112/8 122/15 123/8 125/24 160/3 162/11 184/18 221/18 225/4 232/3
thank [28] 4/2 4/3 49/6 69/12 69/13 69/18 70/18 79/4 90/4 108/22 123/20 130/19 130/20 133/18 133/20 183/15 185/23 218/20 226/2 236/18 236/22 236/24 237/2 237/6 237/9 237/12 237/13 237/20
that [1172]
that's [110] 6/14 11/5 12/8 13/21 14/9 17/22 18/12 21/3 26/4 28/10 30/10 30/15 36/22 37/18 39/11 46/10 47/23 48/25 50/1 51/11 52/14 55/9 55/12 57/11 59/19 63/3 65/20 69/22 71/16 72/8 74/19 84/23 86/12 88/25 93/13 100/21 104/19 109/4 109/25 110/12 116/23 120/15 122/12 122/25 124/9 124/9 124/24 126/21 135/9 135/16 136/16 137/22 138/18 139/18 141/15 145/2 149/17 152/21 152/21 153/14 154/9 154/21 156/5 164/1 164/13 165/16 167/14 167/22 170/20 173/24 174/13 175/10 179/23 180/16 182/24 184/24 186/23 186/25 188/5 188/13 190/19 190/24 191/17 192/10 192/20 193/4 195/6 198/6 198/16 203/11 203/15 205/2 205/10 206/10 206/19 209/11 209/15 209/18 219/18 221/17 223/23 224/1 225/6 228/1 229/5 232/19 233/4 234/23 237/7 237/18
theatre [10] 159/5 159/6 160/10 160/17 195/22 196/25 213/5 215/4 227/7 230/23
their [50] 13/24 14/12 36/14 36/25 37/9 38/19 39/3 42/12 44/17 46/3 68/15 70/9 71/16 73/12 77/20 78/22 87/12 97/17 98/12 120/24 125/17 126/17 129/17 130/14 141/23 144/17 160/18 181/3 181/22 182/5 183/16 185/14 185/15 188/17 192/8 193/24 197/7 198/5 198/10 198/19 201/14 204/12 223/9 224/21 228/3 230/4 230/8 230/9 232/9 237/13
them [69] 5/8 5/9 11/23 22/3 31/13 31/16 31/20 35/16 35/19 36/9 41/7 44/20 52/6 60/15 60/19 67/1 71/6 71/14 74/15 76/22 78/21 78/24 78/25 79/1 80/13 81/11 81/12 86/10 95/24 99/16 101/9 102/14 103/5 103/12 115/9 120/8 124/2 125/18 126/17 126/24 129/12 136/14 136/17 137/12 141/21 141/24 141/25 144/4 146/4 146/17 150/17 156/24 169/21 171/3 173/18 180/23 181/5 182/13 192/8 194/14 198/22 199/19 200/1 231/16 231/24 231/25 232/2 232/24 233/10
themselves [4] 39/7 61/4 62/17 102/23
then [81] 5/23 6/1 6/21 7/7 9/8 10/4 14/9 15/19 20/2 20/13 22/18 22/19 22/19 23/17 24/11 24/24 27/10 29/5 29/10 30/7 30/18 30/24 31/9 31/24 32/15 36/21 39/2 56/1 59/4 60/3 66/1 67/24 70/4 84/13 88/11 89/15 95/1 96/17 108/17 112/19 112/20 113/16 114/24 116/22 119/14 119/22 121/10 134/2 138/24 150/11 151/12 153/13 156/15 160/12 163/12 167/23 173/25 186/15 187/9 187/14 187/20 190/10 194/5 194/9 194/22 198/11 198/12 199/7 201/7 208/2 211/24 213/17 217/21 217/23 218/2 219/10 221/7 227/24 229/18 229/24 232/17
there [248]
there'd [1] 71/24
there'll [1] 78/21
there's [23] 12/21 13/17 17/19 23/13 46/7 58/9 69/8 76/9 84/8 100/20 111/19 117/14 117/19 125/1 127/25 144/16 149/9 156/4 165/8 169/15 177/22 184/2 229/14
there.' [1] 218/7
thereabouts [1] 11/4
therefore [23] 11/22 19/4 28/5 52/2 64/5 82/7 105/3 122/13 143/19 144/17 147/11 158/3 160/15 163/13 163/22 164/14 184/22 184/25 196/13 222/2 222/21 225/23 235/22
these [51] 1/14 2/3 2/13 5/3 19/13 19/14 29/9 31/1 35/12 42/1 59/17 59/25 60/25 61/4 76/5 84/22 84/25 85/5 85/9 88/10 93/24 97/16 100/8 100/12 100/24 101/15 102/8 118/5 120/19 121/4 122/19 123/8 126/5 127/8 130/1 131/11 131/24 132/9 137/10 137/11 138/5 138/23 141/21 147/9 151/23 171/2 194/17 209/6 227/19 229/19 237/8
they [207] 10/19 28/5 29/18 31/13 31/17 31/17 34/12 36/9 36/15 36/20 37/16 39/9 40/25 41/3 41/11 41/18 41/21 42/10 42/10 44/21 44/21 44/22 45/17 46/1 46/3 47/15 50/14 56/11 56/11 56/14 57/14 57/16 58/3 58/21 59/1 59/2 59/4 59/8 60/18 61/4 61/11 66/7 66/12 68/7 70/25 71/8 71/10 71/22 74/19 74/19 77/5 77/8 77/14 77/16 77/19 77/20 78/6 78/6 78/14 78/15 78/16 80/10 80/25 81/5 81/6 83/10 83/11 85/4 86/5 86/9 87/6 94/6 97/17 97/23 98/15 98/17 98/23 98/24 99/5 99/6 99/14 99/18 99/22 100/2 100/5 101/14 102/6 102/12 102/12 102/16 102/16 103/1 103/2 103/2 103/9 103/10 103/13 103/14 110/24 115/8 115/8 115/11 115/12 118/18 118/18 119/22 121/19 122/22 123/15 123/21 124/14 124/19 124/19 125/7 125/9 125/10 125/13 125/13 125/17 126/8 126/12 126/14 126/23 128/1 128/6 128/10 129/23 130/12 134/2 135/22 136/9 137/25 139/1 140/1 140/20 141/23 142/2 142/2 142/7 145/24 146/6 146/7 146/8 146/17 148/5 153/18 154/22 155/5 159/12 160/10 169/11 171/4

T

they... [55] 179/13 179/14 179/20 179/21 179/22 179/22 179/23 179/24 179/24 180/10 180/22 182/1 182/9 182/12 182/15 183/5 183/10 185/13 188/22 192/5 192/6 197/7 197/9 198/12 198/14 198/17 198/22 199/7 199/22 200/4 200/5 201/12 202/10 202/20 204/7 204/10 204/18 204/19 206/5 206/22 206/23 207/25 210/13 214/4 214/6 214/6 214/22 221/4 223/23 224/23 225/4 225/4 226/18 233/1 233/15
they'd [15] 5/24 62/11 68/16 68/20 71/19 87/24 94/8 96/3 127/25 128/3 140/2 141/7 212/19 219/6 232/6
they'll [1] 103/3
they're [17] 12/1 28/4 37/12 47/8 63/13 65/16 65/21 65/23 66/10 68/9 74/17 123/20 146/2 169/10 175/8 202/17 202/19
they've [4] 66/11 81/11 81/11 136/17
thing [15] 5/24 11/17 20/21 30/10 34/24 47/20 64/16 83/9 141/22 144/1 167/8 177/1 201/5 231/17 234/1
things [10] 21/23 27/12 28/6 72/4 76/22 98/20 139/19 144/24 200/6 201/18
think [127] 4/7 12/14 13/2 13/14 17/1 17/3 25/17 27/7 27/12 27/21 27/22 28/16 28/16 29/17 37/18 38/2 38/3 38/5 39/12 42/10 42/21 43/20 45/17 46/3 46/4 52/14 53/16 56/13 59/1 59/5 60/21 62/16 63/24 64/18 65/19 67/10 67/14 68/18 69/21 71/21 72/18 72/25 73/12 73/12 75/20 77/10 77/13 77/16 84/8 87/22 92/21 94/2 96/2 101/10 104/2 104/19 105/21 110/2 111/15 111/19 111/25 112/13 113/24 117/4 118/8 121/1 128/8 130/1 130/9 137/12 138/12 142/12 142/14 142/15 145/14 146/6 150/1 152/9 154/23 156/3 157/18 170/21 170/24 173/24 175/10 175/13 176/7 176/20 176/21 177/21 190/21 191/17 192/10 193/2 193/4 193/4 193/11 193/18 195/6 199/23 200/1 200/4 201/23 202/12 209/11 211/3 211/9 212/6 214/20 216/1 216/23 219/17 221/9 223/18 223/21 223/23 224/1 225/1 225/14 228/11 230/18 231/13 231/17 234/13 234/16 234/18 237/14
thinking [6] 11/2 17/4 47/17 47/21 95/20 150/9
thinks [3] 106/4 117/1 119/25
third [8] 20/10 86/14 118/7 171/16 204/24 205/10 205/19 208/2
thirds [1] 211/25
this [246]
This is [1] 16/14
thorough [8] 46/13 48/6 48/15 204/22 205/1 205/5 205/8 224/15
thoroughness [2] 205/20 230/21
those [74] 1/12 2/5 4/24 28/5 31/12 34/14 34/15 39/7 47/12 48/9 58/19 59/21 61/9 61/23 61/23 68/3 73/2 73/10 75/18 82/17 83/9 83/23 84/15 85/22 96/15 98/6 98/20 99/5 99/8 99/11 101/3 102/25 110/24 119/8 125/22 129/12 131/8 132/1 133/24 135/1 139/6 141/1 153/21 156/24 164/14 168/24 169/22 170/4 170/19 175/20 175/21 175/22 176/19 176/23 177/6 185/4 185/6 189/16 189/25 194/11 196/19 197/2 204/5 207/25 213/8 213/23 217/20 221/5 226/21 234/16 235/2 235/4 236/14 237/9
though [2] 204/3 226/11
thought [12] 3/15 37/25 71/8 101/17 118/4 133/10 157/25 158/23 212/19 216/20 229/6 230/16
thousand [1] 99/22
thread [1] 170/13
threat [5] 101/14 102/6 121/10 125/11 214/22
three [25] 10/5 46/25 48/4 48/9 79/5 80/2 80/23 103/8 123/23 123/24 124/12 124/20 124/20 124/21 124/22 151/10 151/12 155/13 173/4 186/15 204/5 204/13 205/15 220/20 231/25
three-week [1] 231/25
threshold [2] 12/16 12/21
through [14] 4/8 8/13 49/24 72/6 74/10 80/25 86/14 88/7 101/3 136/22 147/14 158/1 209/16 235/3
throughout [2] 198/1 198/11
throw [1] 62/23
thrown [1] 88/9
thus [3] 137/23 154/15 192/6
tick [1] 48/8
Ticked [1] 220/11
ticking [6] 19/18 21/16 26/22 26/23 121/4 220/12
tide [1] 138/4
tied [1] 47/2
time [63] 1/23 6/12 7/9 11/10 15/5 21/13 23/18 27/1 34/3 34/13 46/8 66/16 68/16 73/9 74/4 74/16 75/17 76/3 76/19 84/5 94/19 101/4 111/11 112/15 112/18 126/11 131/19 137/12 146/24 146/25 151/13 152/3 156/17 157/22 158/2 158/7 158/23 158/25 162/18 171/4 179/12 180/7 181/14 184/7 184/17 190/3 193/8 196/22 196/25 197/9 199/10 200/23 206/4 207/3 216/2 225/10 227/8 230/3 230/10 230/23 234/25 237/14 237/18
timeframe [1] 142/4
timeline [5] 49/16 129/10 129/14 149/11 158/16
timely [2] 143/3 179/18
times [9] 123/23 124/20 124/22 151/10 151/12 151/12 157/19 176/20 197/25
timetable [4] 190/4 194/23 211/16 211/22
title [1] 220/11
today [2] 235/4 236/14
today's [4] 189/12 194/7 211/15 211/21
toe [1] 141/9
together [9] 25/7 62/11 80/5 80/19 81/17 85/4 116/15 128/13 141/24
told [25] 5/7 14/19 17/21 23/7 32/4 41/18 42/14 42/25 44/6 56/9 59/15 100/21 101/13 101/25 101/25 108/18 118/14 151/22 156/1 164/2 172/23 184/22 187/17 208/9 214/21
tomorrow [1] 237/16
too [2] 206/12 213/18
took [23] 6/13 52/23 71/19 71/23 72/6 72/22 73/19 73/21 74/14 74/15 75/23 77/4 78/3 85/8 85/15 103/6 115/9 179/21 219/24 234/14 234/16 234/20 234/25
Tooke [1] 135/4
top [12] 8/21 20/24 85/13 90/21 95/2 104/25 107/4 110/10 114/19 124/2 138/11 189/17
torso [6] 88/16 89/8 90/22 113/19 116/22 117/23
total [5] 16/3 24/25 24/25 25/3 46/13
touching [1] 114/8
towards [10] 59/17 63/5 109/15 112/25 136/14 175/17 184/18 190/5 198/3 199/21
tracking [5] 83/16 83/17 93/5 93/9 190/2
tracks [1] 117/3
trail [3] 90/7 98/16 130/14
trained [1] 166/18
translate [1] 168/8
transparent [1] 25/9
trauma [1] 88/16
traumatic [5] 81/20 91/4 96/19 107/13 113/21
traumatised [1] 102/24
travel [1] 191/2
treated [1] 16/8
treatment [1] 37/16
trespassed [1] 130/16
tried [3] 47/19 205/4 221/4
trip [1] 215/16
trips [1] 195/21
troubled [1] 49/2
trust [1] 177/1
truth [3] 218/13 234/2 234/3
try [10] 56/11 60/21 60/23 71/23 73/5 76/17 82/19 103/4 134/8 168/8
trying [15] 5/25 13/2 13/14 46/25 52/2 70/18 129/11 168/19 177/21 181/8 181/10 181/22 183/1 199/22 216/14
TTP [4] 58/22 70/9 112/10 197/16
tucked [1] 104/12
Tuesday [1] 1/1
turn [28] 19/12 20/14 22/6 24/11 26/20 38/11 50/21 51/20 57/8 60/7 63/2 69/22 77/7 79/4 80/21 128/13 136/9 145/17 148/1 178/20 179/4 186/6 189/6 191/19 195/24 209/3 220/5 224/9
turned [1] 56/21
turning [3] 6/9 49/14 102/18
twice [1] 221/10
two [39] 5/20 5/25 7/17 10/17 15/15 18/14 20/14 33/17 35/4 38/4 40/4 40/17 50/6 79/23 80/22 80/23 86/8 86/10 86/12 103/6 114/18 115/6 115/24 123/19 123/21 139/6 151/10 165/19 183/5 184/2 184/13 194/9 195/21 199/3 211/25 215/13 217/5 227/11 231/25
two-thirds [1] 211/25
Tyburn [12] 58/20 70/4 154/9 156/6 157/23 160/11 160/14 160/21 162/19 165/12 187/9 195/12
tying [1] 14/14
type [3] 12/5 83/9 126/18
typed [1] 186/7
typeface [1] 177/25
typo [1] 216/23

U

UK [4] 25/8 172/7

U

UK... [2] 174/7 186/11
ultimate [3] 230/3 234/6 234/8
Um [10] 27/6 27/17 130/13 139/24 168/19 174/4 174/17 202/9 212/9 217/9
unable [3] 7/8 46/12 206/9
unaccounted [1] 86/20
unarmed [1] 125/13
unattractive [1] 145/11
unbeknownst [1] 23/22
uncharitable [2] 220/14 221/24
unclear [13] 15/23 22/18 41/9 90/24 99/21 110/5 154/12 155/18 186/25 188/8 192/11 193/2 212/25
uncomfortable [4] 78/25 96/11 202/11 205/17
uncover [1] 190/23
undecisive [1] 210/12
under [15] 12/19 24/16 25/4 35/20 45/24 168/21 180/19 180/24 181/19 183/6 197/7 205/4 230/4 230/9 230/24
undergoing [1] 10/2
understand [24] 5/19 14/3 37/23 56/2 58/25 60/5 97/16 103/3 135/15 135/24 137/2 144/8 155/7 166/14 181/13 181/14 183/13 193/5 205/17 209/25 219/5 226/5 232/8 233/10
understandable [2] 18/1 39/19
understanding [10] 8/13 60/14 72/2 73/8 74/11 126/21 138/22 146/6 166/20 235/25
understands [2] 146/2 206/15
understood [10] 14/21 44/21 71/6 126/15 126/20 176/1 182/1 197/6 198/9 225/6
undertake [1] 142/25
undoubtedly [6] 29/7 96/18 105/16 115/3 159/24 231/8
undue [2] 25/5 231/15
unease [1] 200/15
unfair [15] 46/4 50/1 106/17 141/14 141/17 167/20 173/21 173/23 192/5 203/25 204/3 205/11 212/16 212/24 217/8
unfortunate [3] 207/7 219/23 220/11
unit [18] 11/20 21/22 35/24 77/21 86/4 86/8 86/14 102/5 118/15 119/21 142/6 142/9 152/11 159/10 174/17 174/19 174/23 176/17
units [3] 64/3 176/18 230/9
unlawful [10] 43/7 43/12 44/23 67/18 68/20 155/12 168/13 172/7 197/19 215/2
unlawfully [1] 43/7
unless [9] 60/2 62/3 107/7 107/20 125/1 155/3 184/25 192/10 213/22
unlikely [11] 93/3 94/2 94/5 94/6 95/25 96/5 109/19 165/13 201/20 209/25 210/7
unnecessary [1] 25/7
unpick [1] 134/8
unpopular [5] 27/14 27/20 28/23 30/16 35/5
unreasonable [1] 150/18
until [31] 3/8 5/1 5/4 7/8 23/18 27/1 38/7 46/2 73/9 74/13 76/9 76/19 130/20 133/4 136/25 146/4 146/17 148/6 156/17 164/1 171/20 171/25 172/23 177/15 198/9 199/20 200/17 208/4 210/12 237/16 237/22
untoward [1] 127/8
untrained [1] 127/5
unusual [5] 36/12 36/18 36/23 37/2 175/5
Unwelcome [1] 226/11
up [60] 6/13 7/17 17/4 22/6 26/17 38/10 50/17 51/20 52/18 53/3 53/7 56/13 62/23 69/20 77/8 78/12 79/4 79/6 80/5 88/9 88/15 88/19 90/4 91/11 95/2 95/24 97/6 99/5 104/12 113/16 122/21 123/1 123/4 123/18 125/1 128/6 134/5 134/6 136/10 136/15 137/17 138/4 139/6 157/17 159/25 173/8 173/11 173/18 173/19 176/11 180/6 182/10 187/5 190/9 195/7 213/12 215/21 220/21 224/4 225/7
update [5] 128/20 162/7 169/18 174/1 189/12
updated [2] 70/1 148/12
updates [2] 70/2 174/21
upgrading [1] 10/6
upon [14] 6/6 13/7 13/24 40/24 41/1 43/18 44/24 45/21 48/10 149/11 175/24 180/16 222/7 222/12
upper [5] 89/8 116/21 117/23 163/19 163/19
upper-upper [1] 163/19
upright [2] 89/17 93/3
ups [1] 211/12
upset [2] 78/23 102/24
urging [1] 224/18
us [20] 5/7 25/11 28/17 32/4 32/16 35/12 36/9 37/5 37/5 59/15 81/14 100/21 101/25 111/23 148/2 164/2 208/9 213/19 232/5 235/11
use [12] 2/11 51/1 52/9 65/10 71/10 77/23 96/9 132/7 171/24 184/23 215/15 234/5
used [17] 20/11 24/18 29/18 29/18 35/9 50/12 55/9 64/2 73/23 77/24 135/16 202/5 214/9 215/1 232/15 232/21 232/22
using [6] 55/1 93/25 101/1 119/18 193/13 221/10
utilise [1] 56/12

V

valuable [3] 67/6 127/19 127/23
value [11] 60/3 62/21 64/8 178/7 178/8 180/3 203/9 203/17 213/23 214/2 214/3
valueless [1] 63/25
variance [1] 36/19
various [5] 87/21 116/5 189/13 197/25 226/4
VARP [1] 213/13
varying [1] 69/8
VCDS [3] 29/7 29/8 29/14
venues [1] 52/24
verbally [1] 29/11
verbatim [1] 27/6
verified [2] 222/13 222/14
version [1] 143/24
versions [1] 112/1
very [72] 8/6 8/17 10/15 12/14 13/2 19/8 20/25 22/1 35/9 35/14 46/22 47/23 48/10 48/13 49/8 49/20 49/20 49/21 51/7 55/13 66/17 72/4 79/9 85/22 87/2 90/21 95/6 108/4 114/17 143/18 162/16 168/7 169/18 174/22 175/14 178/17 178/17 186/9 191/9 192/6 198/13 198/14 204/18 205/23 205/24 209/15 209/18 210/4 210/21 212/19 212/22 213/8 219/11 219/16 220/7 222/6 224/23 231/4 231/23 233/20 234/1 234/4 234/19 235/21 236/5 236/9 236/13 236/19 236/22 236/24 237/2 237/3
veteran [1] 186/12
via [2] 2/3 131/24
viable [2] 179/15 202/5
video [2] 1/20 131/16
view [42] 7/10 11/18 11/25 28/14 28/16 29/14 30/4 45/18 55/14 60/1 60/8 61/22 63/6 77/20 80/7 92/7 94/22 104/24 129/4 141/15 154/19 178/12 180/13 180/20 180/22 183/25 184/21 190/9 190/10 190/18 190/24 192/8 200/12 209/2 213/20 216/13 219/16 221/21 223/5 223/14 223/24 231/22
viewing [2] 1/12 131/8
vigorous [1] 58/9
vigorously [1] 57/22
visible [1] 110/22
visit [7] 139/9 174/22 175/2 179/2 183/21 186/8 215/4
vital [1] 128/22
voice [1] 185/14
voiced [4] 67/5 170/24 197/25 199/18
vote [1] 209/7

W

wait [5] 14/20 34/11 38/15 130/10 199/20
waited [3] 10/17 14/25 119/21
waiting [9] 13/24 19/3 27/5 27/8 129/5 155/16 191/2 215/13 217/5
wall [8] 55/22 88/20 88/21 104/12 116/16 117/9 117/14 182/9
want [53] 4/22 11/15 13/1 13/3 13/15 13/21 14/3 14/22 20/19 21/23 21/24 21/24 27/23 28/5 28/17 31/12 31/16 31/19 33/19 35/12 44/14 72/25 76/4 76/5 76/15 76/21 77/18 78/20 82/19 91/19 92/24 97/16 98/2 102/24 103/1 110/2 127/25 128/3 128/9 128/10 150/16 151/4 157/8 161/3 169/22 169/25 169/25 170/13 176/10 178/3 199/9 222/3 231/23
wanted [32] 8/10 8/13 15/2 32/4 43/22 44/22 54/15 64/19 67/1 71/22 77/11 77/16 77/21 86/25 96/12 99/11 125/21 126/23 139/12 146/7 146/8 157/12 160/23 170/4 185/2 185/10 191/14 199/19 207/25 208/10 227/11 236/15
wanting [4] 27/3 77/25 119/2 126/15
wants [8] 19/20 20/21 30/10 50/1 70/1 71/3 104/22 136/8
war [1] 162/13
warning [4] 24/3 78/13 102/21 163/7
warrant [1] 12/23
was [451]
wasn't [20] 24/9 51/3 51/15 55/11 67/22 68/11 77/14 119/2 140/8 140/12 159/9 161/8 166/20 183/11 184/12 197/24 201/2 210/18 218/24 226/13
waste [1] 84/5
watching [2] 78/19 102/25
water [1] 141/9
watertight [1] 207/17
wave [1] 184/10

W

way [60] 10/24 15/10 23/20 25/20 30/1 35/10 35/10 36/12 45/22 48/22 76/9 78/10 82/11 83/21 83/25 85/23 86/1 95/15 96/25 98/16 103/24 105/8 106/3 114/5 120/14 122/24 122/25 123/11 125/5 125/10 129/6 134/3 137/17 141/14 145/13 147/24 150/16 163/18 166/18 173/21 174/21 177/5 177/15 183/11 186/21 187/10 191/9 191/14 201/23 210/23 211/25 214/12 220/14 222/22 227/18 229/22 231/13 231/20 233/23 236/24

ways [1] 11/25

we [256]

we'd [2] 10/4 220/4

we'll [8] 4/7 36/9 36/9 69/12 92/5 103/15 137/25 185/24

we're [15] 14/15 17/7 44/11 46/25 102/18 102/22 123/19 138/8 142/19 155/15 156/4 165/10 173/18 187/17 195/4

we've [22] 10/17 10/19 32/23 35/3 35/4 46/6 47/5 47/7 47/7 66/12 99/23 102/4 124/12 134/14 147/6 154/23 181/18 192/14 194/5 209/1 223/24 235/6

weapon [18] 44/10 87/14 122/11 123/23 124/2 124/9 140/16 140/21 140/22 141/7 156/20 214/5 215/8 215/9 217/21 218/1 221/10 221/18

weapons [23] 43/8 43/12 44/24 67/16 67/20 118/15 122/8 122/17 123/20 124/12 124/20 124/20 124/21 124/24 125/2 141/6 214/16 214/24 215/1 221/1 221/5 221/8 222/15

weapons' [1] 220/23

webbing [1] 124/16

website [2] 1/15 131/12

week [5] 173/9 210/1 219/8 231/25 231/25

weeks [11] 6/12 7/3 8/23 10/8 32/7 32/12 34/20 37/21 38/1 38/4 38/7

weight [1] 69/6

welcome [1] 28/19

well [84] 5/20 6/1 13/17 14/9 15/18 16/5 18/13 19/12 23/6 24/8 29/8 30/15 33/14 33/22 36/7 37/14 40/20 40/22 43/8 44/9 44/19 44/25 45/11 46/5 47/25 53/16 55/11 61/18 62/21 68/25 69/2 73/15 74/20 74/25 75/16 75/20 76/4 76/8 76/12 77/6 78/10 81/9 88/5 94/2 94/8 100/17 100/20 108/18 108/19 109/14 116/7 117/10 118/20 122/12 124/1 130/9 130/13 139/4 140/6 141/16 142/6 143/24 151/25 157/2 160/22 161/2 161/23 171/6 174/12 175/22 178/5 180/4 193/20 199/8 203/11 203/15 203/19 205/13 211/4 211/4 221/3 232/16 234/17 236/11

went [17] 12/3 31/24 31/25 34/22 47/4 52/18 79/24 101/3 119/22 124/3 124/5 127/1 137/17 170/7 178/1 215/19 215/21

were [204] 8/18 11/12 11/19 12/19 13/4 13/16 16/11 17/2 17/12 21/8 21/15 22/1 22/3 23/15 23/25 26/12 27/8 27/11 29/14 29/21 31/17 31/18 32/8 33/1 34/2 34/14 34/18 37/16 38/14 40/19 41/11 41/18 41/19 42/10 43/17 44/21 45/5 45/17 45/21 47/12 48/14 48/15 52/7 54/20 55/21 56/11 57/4 59/2 59/9 61/22 61/25 62/8 62/8 63/24 64/7 64/21 65/13 65/13 74/19 77/9 77/19 78/6 78/6 79/14 81/5 83/10 84/22 86/5 96/11 96/11 96/12 97/17 97/23 101/3 101/24 102/12 102/16 102/16 103/7 103/8 103/9 103/10 103/14 105/23 108/7 108/8 112/3 115/8 115/11 115/12 118/15 118/18 119/9 119/23 120/20 122/8 122/15 123/15 124/14 125/6 125/7 125/13 125/14 126/8 126/8 133/24 134/2 134/10 135/22 135/25 136/1 137/25 138/16 139/21 140/4 141/6 142/2 142/5 142/6 143/2 146/9 147/3 150/10 152/24 153/21 155/5 160/7 164/6 164/14 164/15 165/3 166/5 167/9 171/4 172/18 172/21 172/22 174/12 174/24 177/6 179/14 179/21 179/22 179/23 179/24 179/24 180/9 181/15 182/12 182/15 184/17 185/9 185/10 185/15 188/23 189/1 191/10 193/22 197/6 197/18 198/12 198/14 200/8 200/11 200/24 201/12 202/7 205/4 205/6 205/7 205/8 207/8 207/12 207/24 208/17 211/11 212/16 213/8 214/6 221/5 221/15 223/8 223/23 225/4 225/21 226/8 226/21 227/21 228/9 228/13 228/14 231/4 231/14 231/18 232/5 232/11 233/7 233/15 234/4 234/5 234/5 234/20 235/4 235/11

weren't [17] 46/1 64/23 64/25 65/2 65/14 94/24 102/12 126/14 129/23 139/1 140/11 140/24 142/2 142/7 167/9 200/9 226/14

what [235] 3/15 4/4 4/12 4/19 5/21 6/3 6/21 8/14 8/24 10/14 10/17 11/12 13/14 14/5 14/8 14/22 18/13 19/24 21/8 22/3 23/6 24/3 24/13 28/3 30/2 30/21 30/21 31/17 31/21 32/23 34/6 35/11 35/12 35/22 36/7 36/8 36/10 36/22 37/6 37/16 39/6 40/15 40/20 40/25 43/5 43/15 43/17 43/22 44/16 44/21 45/14 46/24 47/8 47/23 48/25 54/15 54/20 55/4 55/8 55/9 55/9 55/11 56/2 57/1 57/8 57/16 58/3 58/24 59/2 59/14 60/4 60/5 60/6 60/11 62/13 64/4 65/21 66/11 66/12 68/7 68/9 70/13 72/11 74/17 74/19 77/6 79/11 80/18 81/20 81/23 82/5 82/7 82/12 82/13 83/10 83/11 83/11 84/17 88/12 88/20 89/18 89/19 90/5 90/6 90/8 91/1 91/5 91/25 93/4 94/17 96/11 96/25 97/9 101/23 102/13 103/18 105/19 106/7 107/3 108/1 109/3 109/15 111/14 112/5 112/19 112/24 113/7 114/9 115/5 116/15 116/22 119/11 119/12 119/14 126/23 130/10 133/10 134/8 134/18 135/12 135/15 136/10 137/3 138/15 138/18 141/3 141/18 141/20 143/25 144/9 144/13 144/24 146/20 148/8 150/3 150/15 151/22 153/18 153/19 153/21 153/22 155/6 155/23 156/5 157/20 157/22 158/1 158/9 159/4 166/14 167/15 174/10 176/1 176/5 176/21 176/25 177/7 177/16 178/3 179/23 181/14 182/23 185/10 185/13 186/15 186/25 187/1 187/1 187/9 190/13 193/1 193/4 194/2 194/5 195/5 195/6 196/7 196/9 197/13 198/4 198/9 199/10 199/11 199/23 205/24 208/9 209/1 209/11 211/1 211/2 212/4 213/11 214/20 215/18 216/14 216/15 217/4 217/10 219/5 220/4 222/19 223/23 224/18 225/1 225/6 225/20 225/25 229/3 231/24 232/11 232/16 234/23 234/24 235/10 235/23

what's [8] 51/22 63/21 68/22 80/4 93/24 151/21 173/3 221/3

whatever [4] 130/12 198/6 208/18 234/22

when [116] 5/21 9/17 12/21 18/10 19/3 20/5 27/11 29/18 31/23 37/5 37/24 42/14 44/9 45/11 48/12 51/19 52/16 59/1 68/14 73/25 74/2 75/13 77/11 78/6 78/13 79/22 80/10 82/14 82/20 84/14 86/2 86/23 87/21 89/10 91/18 92/20 93/23 95/16 97/7 101/9 101/10 101/23 102/13 103/8 103/24 104/2 105/17 105/24 108/18 110/1 110/4 111/5 111/11 111/15 112/9 114/2 114/23 115/12 118/2 118/12 118/18 119/3 119/21 120/5 120/17 120/23 122/4 122/21 123/6 125/6 125/7 125/13 137/3 138/15 141/23 143/1 144/20 144/25 148/4 151/25 153/1 160/9 162/18 164/17 164/24 170/19 172/18 173/10 173/20 174/5 174/12 175/24 176/17 177/14 177/24 178/1 179/13 179/21 180/10 182/25 183/10 197/16 197/18 202/7 204/2 205/17 214/6 215/10 218/8 221/12 225/19 227/15 228/12 232/17 232/23 233/23

where [56] 2/4 2/15 2/16 3/10 11/24 12/3 13/23 27/7 29/21 30/25 31/6 31/7 36/19 39/17 46/2 53/2 58/3 64/1 72/21 73/15 73/25 74/2 75/13 78/6 93/13 104/16 105/4 106/5 109/18 112/25 116/11 117/6 117/16 117/19 126/21 131/25 132/11 132/12 133/5 137/8 139/15 140/4 140/14 146/7 157/24 179/13 179/22 191/19 198/22 205/11 206/6 206/10 207/8 209/11 225/20 229/13

whereby [2] 32/7 147/8

wherever [4] 175/2 176/11 189/4 208/8

whether [56] 2/22 6/3 6/5 28/15 36/15 42/16 47/25 52/24 62/18 69/5 71/24 73/20 88/25 90/10 90/23 92/12 92/14 92/17 92/20 93/16 93/20 95/10 95/12 95/15 97/17 97/23 103/21 110/3 111/4 115/7 115/8 115/10 118/12

W

whether... [23] 120/18 121/17 123/7 132/18 145/4 154/8 159/12 166/11 166/11 185/13 187/18 188/6 193/22 197/7 199/22 200/24 216/9 220/1 221/8 224/12 229/8 232/5 234/2
which [152] 1/11 1/15 1/22 2/2 2/8 2/19 5/6 10/4 13/7 13/20 16/1 17/15 17/16 20/20 22/8 22/24 24/11 25/19 25/25 30/23 32/8 33/23 34/23 35/13 35/19 38/24 39/17 39/19 39/24 41/14 42/3 42/15 42/25 43/1 44/2 49/8 49/18 50/22 52/5 57/8 60/21 63/20 65/11 67/6 73/22 74/3 74/4 74/14 75/17 78/10 79/8 79/13 80/8 81/5 81/24 82/4 83/21 84/1 84/21 85/5 85/15 86/2 86/20 86/24 88/24 90/20 92/7 93/17 95/3 95/16 95/16 96/7 99/5 100/25 101/12 102/19 102/23 103/3 103/6 103/12 103/14 103/15 103/22 103/23 103/23 104/18 106/1 106/19 108/5 108/24 109/14 110/2 111/5 111/6 111/7 111/24 112/20 115/9 115/11 118/8 120/2 122/19 124/12 124/14 127/2 128/17 131/7 131/11 131/18 131/23 132/4 132/15 134/13 136/17 139/25 140/20 142/13 147/6 153/4 153/23 157/12 157/17 160/9 160/22 161/4 162/1 162/3 164/6 164/21 166/6 168/7 175/17 178/21 180/6 183/16 186/11 187/4 187/10 192/12 193/19 194/6 194/23 206/18 208/8 211/16 215/23 216/2 231/20 236/8 236/24 237/2 237/11
whilst [18] 7/16 21/15 24/2 28/22 56/21 59/25 82/4 83/6 89/11 89/16 89/21 90/14 91/12 98/4 98/11 98/12 124/2 172/14
white [1] 116/21
who [70] 1/12 2/5 3/19 17/13 17/19 17/21 36/13 42/13 51/17 62/16 66/22 70/13 71/23 72/3 72/12 72/13 73/13 73/18 73/25 77/4 78/3 78/19 78/20 80/24 83/6 84/15 90/2 90/13 92/5 102/25 104/21 105/17 113/17 122/13 123/4 125/2 125/18 129/20 131/8 132/1 133/14 137/3 140/22 142/5 142/13 151/1 156/20 156/20 163/7 169/17 175/15 179/21 189/15 191/8 199/18 206/6 206/9 206/14 210/15 215/7 217/2 218/6 218/21 219/24 226/8 226/14 226/15 226/23 227/7 231/14
who'd [2] 200/20 222/13
who's [5] 35/18 89/11 101/25 212/12 233/4
whoever [2] 71/19 73/6
whole [6] 16/20 20/21 33/7 33/11 41/2 46/14
whom [2] 179/13 228/14
why [25] 6/1 21/8 21/20 27/19 28/10 56/14 76/5 87/6 143/25 170/16 171/1 171/2 174/4 174/5 174/13 181/10 181/11 182/1 188/18 198/6 200/5 207/17 212/14 228/9 235/23
wide [1] 223/17
wide-ranging [1] 223/17
wider [1] 232/16
wielding [2] 94/3 100/13
will [73] 1/16 2/4 2/23 3/19 5/4 5/6 7/13 7/14 7/23 9/9 9/24 10/6 10/11 12/1 14/10 16/8 19/1 19/13 21/9 21/10 25/5 25/10 26/5 26/25 33/3 38/24 38/25 39/6 46/16 49/1 49/25 53/12 65/4 65/9 70/3 76/18 78/25 103/4 104/8 105/16 115/3 120/16 121/17 126/20 130/20 131/12 131/25 132/19 133/14 136/12 136/21 145/20 147/12 147/19 148/12 155/21 157/4 164/24 173/19 179/8 187/2 188/20 195/20 198/5 204/23 206/2 211/17 213/19 220/9 223/3 233/2 237/5 237/15
Williams [2] 60/22 67/24
window [2] 86/14 232/1
winter [1] 15/1
wires [1] 17/3
wise [2] 65/17 65/24
wish [2] 5/22 25/8
within [27] 1/13 2/12 8/23 11/9 48/14 51/23 59/21 65/11 82/17 86/3 87/9 94/19 102/25 104/6 112/23 119/9 119/15 131/10 132/8 145/22 165/5 181/17 205/3 208/18 221/6 232/12 232/15
without [24] 1/20 1/24 3/12 18/15 31/20 45/11 69/3 77/3 78/25 96/1 108/9 112/4 131/16 131/20 133/8 140/24 150/12 163/2 164/9 172/8 195/13 206/6 207/3 208/23
withstand [2] 205/10 205/18
witness [29] 13/18 17/14 41/19 42/14 42/19 42/24 43/11 43/12 45/12 63/22 67/13 67/15 68/19 68/22 76/19 98/10 99/13 121/6 122/20 166/13 167/11 189/22 190/14 213/16 218/13 231/4 232/4 234/5 235/16
witnessed [1] 222/14
witnesses [4] 63/14 140/15 162/8 183/5
witnessing [1] 43/6
WO2 [1] 21/2
won't [6] 7/23 36/8 72/24 164/1 165/16 208/14
wondered [1] 232/4
word [4] 4/22 73/22 96/9 212/23
worded [1] 155/17
words [26] 20/19 35/8 50/25 57/24 77/23 87/11 87/14 99/22 119/16 122/21 124/20 136/5 136/21 139/12 144/23 145/23 146/23 154/6 155/23 156/19 157/4 173/12 176/19 195/1 196/6 208/14
work [12] 51/15 53/1 64/14 82/19 122/22 125/16 142/13 162/11 178/12 199/22 204/22 237/7
worked [2] 40/16 72/25
working [3] 142/5 167/6 205/4
workload [1] 144/17
works [1] 134/9
world [2] 6/20 12/6
worried [3] 94/24 184/17 188/23
worry [4] 36/8 37/4 65/23 177/2
worse [1] 225/4
worst [2] 34/24 36/6
would [215] 6/19 7/17 8/1 9/17 11/20 11/24 13/1 13/3 13/15 14/25 21/24 23/15 23/18 25/8 25/15 27/14 27/19 28/17 31/12 31/16 31/19 35/11 36/12 37/3 37/6 37/9 37/17 37/24 43/13 44/2 45/21 45/23 47/17 47/19 47/21 48/13 51/14 51/24 52/1 52/9 53/2 54/8 54/15 54/25 56/1 56/2 56/5 56/6 56/9 56/17 56/18 56/19 58/8 58/8 59/2 59/16 60/3 62/19 62/23 62/24 63/15 63/16 64/3 64/8 68/25 69/10 70/6 70/14 71/3 71/15 72/11 73/5 74/18 76/1 76/4 76/15 77/16 80/10 84/19 86/17 88/24 92/1 93/12 94/6 95/25 95/25 96/1 96/18 96/24 99/25 100/14 104/11 106/18 107/9 107/21 108/3 109/6 109/15 110/12 111/1 113/1 113/12 116/12 116/12 117/16 117/20 119/5 121/12 124/19 126/6 127/21 128/11 128/14 130/7 130/17 130/19 137/16 138/3 138/24 140/3 141/2 141/3 141/5 141/5 141/18 142/25 149/11 150/9 150/21 152/19 157/25 158/15 160/16 161/9 162/15 167/20 168/21 170/4 170/9 170/23 171/14 172/6 172/14 174/6 174/18 174/20 174/20 174/22 175/19 175/20 176/2 176/22 178/10 178/15 179/7 180/9 180/17 181/10 181/12 184/21 185/12 185/16 185/21 185/23 187/9 187/16 188/1 190/18 193/20 194/20 194/23 195/15 198/13 198/14 199/24 201/4 201/5 202/10 203/3 203/6 203/17 203/17 204/1 204/4 204/25 205/22 207/7 207/8 208/9 209/7 210/13 211/1 211/2 211/16 211/20 212/10 212/16 212/23 212/24 214/7 215/15 218/6 218/6 218/7 218/12 219/6 219/14 221/24 225/24 231/1 233/10 234/13 234/18 234/24 235/3
wouldn't [22] 22/2 27/10 27/15 31/20 39/9 65/7 68/25 72/25 78/24 88/6 100/14 100/16 112/22 128/1 182/7 182/9 184/24 207/7 209/21 210/25 212/22 234/16
wound [3] 88/14 95/6 107/22
wounds [1] 88/14
Wright [37] 4/23 5/12 6/11 7/22 8/7 8/20 8/24 9/16 13/10 13/17 13/18 14/19 14/24 15/16 15/22 16/1 17/19 19/3 19/15 19/20 21/1 22/7 23/7 23/9 25/16 26/3 31/2 32/5 37/20 38/18 39/10 39/24 41/12 41/18 46/9 66/17 66/21
Wright's [5] 4/14 10/15 14/4 17/24 24/12
write [5] 23/17 46/20 122/21 123/1 123/4
write-up [3] 122/21 123/1 123/4
writes [9] 10/1 20/7 20/13 24/12 39/2 39/21 39/23 49/16 146/20
writing [3] 29/12 156/6 193/12
written [7] 10/8 14/19 154/7 156/1 167/12 192/23 193/1
wrong [29] 11/22 36/10 45/14 45/17 47/20 52/17 65/16 76/3 115/3 117/10

W

wrong... [19] 123/5 127/6 128/4 159/14 166/12 180/10 193/11 198/2 202/10 202/17 202/19 202/20 203/11 203/15 203/19 204/6 212/19 229/10 237/18
wrongdoing [1] 127/3
wrongly [2] 171/9 235/1
wrote [9] 4/19 15/22 16/18 21/1 32/5 209/24 216/20 219/10 227/6

Y

yeah [343]
year [6] 7/17 143/1 143/7 145/6 158/18 187/17
Year's [1] 235/18
years [20] 5/20 5/25 6/2 10/17 15/15 18/14 33/17 35/4 40/4 40/17 46/25 48/4 50/6 137/16 155/13 215/13 217/5 217/6 224/3 233/6
yes [698]
yesterday [10] 5/7 11/24 13/3 13/14 48/21 60/16 129/17 196/3 208/17 214/2
yet [4] 33/23 36/8 40/11 102/8
you [900]
you'd [24] 29/14 54/17 54/20 55/25 56/1 56/23 56/23 73/9 86/24 88/6 100/20 128/8 138/4 142/20 185/1 185/6 195/7 212/23 215/13 217/5 224/3 225/15 227/9 236/16
you'll [5] 46/8 80/22 106/6 108/23 148/4
you're [39] 17/3 17/4 17/4 21/22 45/2 45/14 45/14 46/24 58/17 69/4 91/16 94/2 97/15 123/6 129/4 137/18 138/12 141/8 141/12 144/9 144/12 152/17 152/17 154/19 155/23 157/6 157/14 159/3 170/4 174/10 177/15 177/22 182/25 187/5 205/11 231/24 231/25 232/1 235/10
you've [45] 17/20 17/21 32/4 33/15 33/17 37/5 44/2 45/9 48/3 49/2 49/13 52/16 52/17 60/15 66/10 70/18 73/22 75/17 75/18 77/24 82/2 92/8 94/22 133/21 143/25 143/25 146/4 146/17 153/5 154/11 154/13 154/15 155/12 159/14 162/24 164/2 167/23 182/15 207/19 209/15 214/9 222/3 228/25 235/23 236/25
your [130] 1/8 4/4 4/22 8/13 11/20 13/17 15/6 18/10 18/25 19/13 20/24 21/7 21/24 22/7 22/10 22/16 23/13 24/1 27/23 29/3 31/6 31/12 38/16 44/19 45/22 45/24 45/24 46/20 47/1 47/25 49/6 53/4 53/8 53/22 55/21 56/7 57/11 60/14 69/8 69/21 71/10 73/8 74/11 75/16 76/12 76/13 76/18 78/16 79/12 89/23 91/18 97/12 98/4 99/16 100/14 101/3 101/6 101/23 101/24 106/10 119/5 120/20 122/20 123/8 125/5 125/5 126/3 126/12 127/5 128/14 128/15 129/4 129/20 131/4 134/11 136/22 138/4 141/9 150/8 150/13 150/17 150/20 153/8 157/11 157/14 157/17 164/24 166/13 166/20 174/3 174/15 177/6 178/12 178/13 178/20 182/3 185/14 190/22 195/15 202/7 202/21 215/4 215/15 219/2 220/24 221/3 223/14 224/13 226/6 226/13 226/17 228/9 228/17 228/25 231/4 232/4 232/22 233/13 233/18 234/4 234/5 234/6 235/16 235/17 235/25 236/13 236/14 237/2 237/6 237/11
yours [1] 43/21
yourself [23] 26/15 52/17 63/24 76/2 76/8 82/20 87/23 89/11 92/21 94/2 97/15 100/16 101/11 104/3 110/2 111/15 113/24 114/3 120/20 122/5 151/7 218/8 222/2
yourselves [1] 26/10
YouTube [4] 2/3 2/23 131/24 132/19

Z

zone [1] 191/6